

Kansas Judicial Council Bulletin

DECEMBER, 1975

FORTY-NINTH ANNUAL REPORT



RICHARD L. BECKER
President, the Kansas Bar Association

PROPOSED UNIFORM DISTRICT COURT RULES
AND STATUTORY AMENDMENTS

COURT DAYS 1976

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alfred G. Schroeder, Chairman, (1963-)	Newton
Justice of the Supreme Court	
James D. Waugh, Secretary, (1963-)	Topeka
J. C. Tillotson, (1973-)	Norton
Chairman, Senate Judiciary Committee	
John F. Hayes, (1973-)	Hutchinson
Chairman, House Judiciary Committee	
Robert H. Cobean, (1947-)	Wellington
Jack E. Dalton, (1969-)	Dodge City
Marvin E. Thompson, (1969-)	Russell
Herbert W. Walton, (1975-)	Olathe
Judge, Tenth Judicial District	
James J. Noone, (1975-)	Wichita
Judge, Eighteenth Judicial District	

FORMER MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

W. W. HARVEY, Chairman, (1927-1941)	Ashland
Justice of the Supreme Court	
WALTER G. THIELE, Chairman, (1941-1954)	Lawrence
Justice of the Supreme Court	
ROBERT T. PRICE, Chairman, (1954-1963)	Topeka
Justice of the Supreme Court	
J. C. RUPPENHAAL, Secretary, (1927-1941)	Russell
RANDAL C. HARVEY, Secretary, (1941-1953)	Topeka
WILLIAM M. MILLS, JR., Secretary, (1953-1957)	Topeka
WALTER G. THIELE, Secretary, (1957-1963)	Topeka
EDWARD L. FISCHER, (1927-1943)	Kansas City
ROBERT C. FOULSTON, (1927-1943)	Wichita
CHARLES L. HUNT, (1927-1941)	Concordia
CHESTER STEVENS, (1927-1941)	Independence
JOHN W. DAVIS, (1927-1933)	Greensburg
C. W. BURCH, (1927-1931)	Salina
ARTHUR C. SCATES, (1927-1929)	Dodge City
WALTER PLEASANT, (1929-1931)	Ottawa
ROSCOE H. WILSON, (1931-1933)	Jetmore
GEORGE AUSTIN BROWN, (1931-1933)	Wichita
RAY H. BEALS, (1933-1938)	St. John
HAL E. HARLAN, (1933-1935)	Manhattan
SCHUYLER C. BLOSS, (1933-1935)	Winfield
E. H. REES, (1935-1937)	Emporia
O. P. MAY, (1935-1937)	Atchison
KIRKE W. DALE, (1937-1941)	Arkansas City
HARRY W. FISHER, (1937-1939)	Fort Scott
GEORGE TEMPLAR, (1939-1941, 1943-1947, 1953)	Arkansas City
EDGAR C. BENNETT, (1938-1951)	Marysville
SAMUEL E. BARTLETT, (1941-1951)	Wichita
PAUL R. WUNSCH, (1941-1943)	Kingman
WALTER F. JONES, (1941-1945)	Hutchinson
GROVER PIERPONT, (1943-1944)	Wichita
I. M. PLATT, (1943-1945)	Junction City
C. A. SPENCER, (1944-1951)	Oakley
CHARLES VANCE, (1945-1947)	Liberal
RICHARD L. BECKER, (1949-1951)	Coffeyville
W. D. VANCE, (1951-1952)	Belleville
JOHN A. ETILING, (1945-1953)	Kinsley
DALE M. BRYANT, (1947-1949, 1951-1953)	Wichita
FRANKLIN B. HETTINGER, (1952-1953)	Hutchinson
JOHN H. MURRAY, (1953-1957)	Leavenworth
A. K. STAVELY, (1951-1959)	Lyndon
MAX L. DICE, (1957-1959)	Johnson
WILLIAM L. MITCHELL, (1959-1961)	Hutchinson
JOSEPH J. DAWES, (1953-1960, 1961)	Leavenworth
WILFORD RIEGLE, (1953-1960, 1961)	Emporia
GLEE S. SMITH, (1961-1963)	Larned
SPENCER A. GARD, (1959-1964)	Iola
CLYDE HILL, (1961-1965)	Yates Center
JAMES E. TAYLOR, (1941-1969)	Sharon Springs
J. WILLARD HAYNES, (1951-1969)	Kansas City
STEADMAN BALL, (1963-1973)	Atchison
JACK R. EULER, (1965-1973)	Wathena
ALEX HOTCHKISS, (1964-1973)	Lyndon
ALBERT B. FLETCHER, JR. (1973-1975)	Junction City
DOYLE E. WHITE, (1961-1975)	Arkansas City

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Foreword	2
By Justice Alfred G. Schroeder, Chairman, Kansas Judicial Council	
A Bar Association's Obligation	6
By Richard L. Becker, President of the Kansas Bar Association	
Proposed Uniform District Court Rules & Statutory Amendments:	
Introductory Letter	8
By Hon. Harold R. Fatzer, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court	
Comment Upon The Tentatively Approved Rules Relating to District Courts	9
By Hon. Frederick Woleslagel, Chairman of the Bench-Bar Committee	
Proposed Rules and Statutory Amendments	12
Statistical Summary of the Supreme Court	56
Table A-1—Roster of Judicial Officials as of July 1, 1975	65
Table A-2—Summary of District Courts, by Districts—Year Ending June 30, 1975	68
Table A-3—Summary of District Courts, by Counties—Disposition of Civil Cases, Including Domestic Relations—Year Ending June 30, 1975	73
Table A-4—Summary of District Courts, by Counties—Disposition of Domestic Relations Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975	77
Table A-5—Summary of District Courts, by Counties—Types of Civil Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975	81
Table A-6—Summary of District Courts, State as a Whole—Types of Civil Cases Commenced	85
Table B-1—Summary of District Courts, by Counties—Disposition of Criminal Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975	86
Table B-2—Summary of District Courts, by Counties—Types of Crimes —Year Ending June 30, 1975	90
Table C-1—Probate Courts, Summary of Business Handled—Year Ending June 30, 1975	94
Table C-2—Estates of Decedents—Year Ending June 30, 1975	98
Table C-3—Guardianship and Trusts—Year Ending June 30, 1975	102
Table D-1—Disposition of Civil Cases, County Courts—Year Ending June 30, 1975	106
Table D-2—Disposition of Criminal Cases, County Courts—Year Ending June 30, 1975	110
Table E-1—Disposition of Civil Cases, City Courts—Year Ending June 30, 1975	114
Table E-2—Disposition of Criminal Cases, City Courts—Year Ending June 30, 1975	115
Court Days in District Courts for 1976	116
Members of the Judicial Council	(Inside front cover)
Former Members of the Judicial Council	(Inside front cover)
Change in Address of Recipient	(Inside back cover)

FOREWORD

The Hon. Richard L. Becker, President of the Kansas Bar Association, has been requested to prepare an article on a subject of his choosing for publication in this issue of the *Kansas Judicial Council Bulletin*. He has done so and we believe his article is timely and should command the attention of the attorneys throughout the state. It is entitled "A Bar Association's Obligation."

Richard L. Becker was admitted to the Kansas Bar in 1927 after receiving his undergraduate and LL. B. from the University of Kansas and K. U. School of Law. He is a member of Phi Alpha Delta. He was Montgomery County Attorney from 1935-1937; served in the Kansas House of Representatives from 1943-1951; the State Senate from 1951-1957; and was a member of the Legislative Council and the Kansas Judicial Council. He has been a member of the K. B. A. Executive Council since 1965, and has served as a member and ex officio on many KBA committees including prospective legislation; public relations; title standards; historical and continuing legal education.

Mr. Becker is a partner in the Coffeyville law firm of Becker, Hildreth, Eastman & Gossard and is active in community and civic affairs having served as president of the Coffeyville Chamber of Commerce and as a member of the board and district vice-president of the Kansas State Chamber, he was also president of the Coffeyville Rotary and vice-president of the K. U. Alumni Association.

Mr. Becker and his wife Kathryn have two daughters, Dorothy Louise (Mrs. Chapin Clark); and Barbara Kathryn (Mrs. Richard Peterson).

This *Kansas Judicial Council Bulletin* contains the Article written by President Becker; summarized tables showing the volume of work in the Supreme Court, the District Courts, Probate Courts, County Courts and City Courts of the State for the year ending June 30, 1975; the proposed Uniform District Court Rules and Statutory Amendments; and a list of Court Dates for 1976 in the various District Courts of the State. A brief summary of activities and accomplishments of the Kansas Judicial Council for the past year will follow:

The Judicial Council continues to occupy quarters at the following address, and will do so until the new Supreme Court Building is completed: Kansas Judicial Council, 1105 Merchants National Bank Building, 8th and Jackson, Topeka, Kansas 66612.

During the year 1975 two new members were appointed to the Judicial Council. Hon. Herbert W. Walton, Judge, 10th Judicial District, was appointed to replace Hon. Albert B. Fletcher, Jr., who resigned after accepting an appointment to the United States Court of Military Appeals. Hon. James J. Noone, Judge, 18th Judicial District, was appointed to replace Hon. Doyle E. White, Judge, 19th Judicial District.

The remaining members of the Judicial Council are: Hon. Alfred G. Schroeder, Chairman, Topeka; James D. Waugh, Secretary, Topeka; J. C.

Tillotson, Norton; John F. Hayes, Hutchinson; Robert H. Cobean, Wellington; Jack E. Dalton, Dodge City; and Marvin E. Thompson, Russell.

The staff of the Judicial Council consists of Randy M. Hearrell, attorney, who serves as reporter for each of the advisory committees and administrator. Mrs. Nell Ann Gaunt serves as administrative assistant and legal secretary.

This Bulletin is the first in which the statistics and court days have been combined in one volume.

The Administrative Procedure Advisory Committee, appointed to draft a Code of Administrative Procedures for Kansas, has submitted its work to the legislature. It is anticipated that the proposed code will be acted upon in the 1976 Legislative Session. The members of the committee are: Richard C. Byrd, Ottawa; Lewis C. Carter, Holton; Rex L. Culley, Russell; Arden K. Ensley, Topeka; Byron M. Gray, Green Valley, Arizona; John E. Jandera, Topeka; David L. Ryan, Topeka; and John S. Seeber, Wichita.

The Bench-Bar Advisory Committee has completed its assigned task of drafting Uniform Rules for the District Courts. The rules are printed in this issue of the *Kansas Judicial Council Bulletin*. The members of the committee that prepared the rules were: Hon. Frederick Woleslagel, Chairman, Lyons; Gerald L. Michaud, Vice-Chairman, Wichita; Marvin E. Thompson, Administrative Chairman, and member of the Judicial Council, Russell; James R. James, Secretary, Topeka; Robert H. Bingham, Kansas City; Hon. Charles A. Elliott, Olathe; Hon. John F. Fontron, Topeka; Hon. Frank R. Gray, Lawrence; Charles E. Henshall, Chanute; Harold S. Herd, Coldwater; Philip H. Lewis, Topeka; Hon. Charles H. Stewart, Kingman; Jerry M. Ward, Great Bend; and Ex Officio members Hon. William J. Laughlin, Great Bend; Hon. William P. Meek, Baxter Springs; and Leonard O. Thomas, Kansas City.

The Bench Book Advisory Committee is working to prepare a Bench Book to aid the members of the bench and bar with problems involved in trial. The members of the committee are: Hon. James J. Noone, Chairman and member of the Judicial Council, Wichita; Hon. John W. Brookens, Westmoreland; Charles S. Fisher, Jr., Topeka; Donald Patterson, Topeka; Hon. Harold R. Riggs, Olathe; Gene H. Sharp, Liberal; and Hon. Robert F. Stadler, Iola.

The Judicial Council Civil Code Advisory Committee is a committee of the Judicial Council designed to keep the Code of Civil Procedure current with amendments to the Federal Code of Civil Procedure and with current needs. In addition thereto this committee receives other assignments in related areas. The Civil Code Advisory Committee has been assigned the drafting of Proposed Rules for the newly created Court of Appeals and the drafting of proposed changes in the present Supreme Court Rules which are necessitated by the creation of the Court of Appeals. The members of the committee are: Marvin E. Thompson, Administrative Chairman and member of the Judicial

Council, Russell; Hon. Michael A. Barbara, Topeka; Lewis C. Carter, Clerk of the Supreme Court, Ex Officio, Holton; Emmet A. Blaes, Wichita; Hon. J. Richard Foth, Topeka; Charles E. Henshall, Chanute; Morris D. Hildreth, Coffeyville; Philip H. Lewis, Topeka; Langdon L. Morgan, Hugoton; Hon. David Prager, Member of the Supreme Court, Ex Officio, Topeka; and Leonard O. Thomas, Kansas City.

The Judicial Council Criminal Code Advisory Committee is designed to keep the Criminal Code and Code of Criminal Procedure up-to-date. The members of the committee are: Hon. James J. Noone, Chairman and member of the Judicial Council, Wichita; Hon. J. Richard Foth, Topeka; Lee Hornbaker, Junction City; John C. Tillotson, Leavenworth; George T. VanBebber, Troy; and Professor Paul Wilson, Lawrence.

The Advisory Committee on the Standards for Criminal Justice prepared the *Comparative Analysis of the Kansas Bar Association's Standards for Criminal Justice with Kansas Law, Rules and Legal Practice*, which was distributed in 1972. The committee has also prepared a supplement and index to the book, which was distributed this year. The members of the committee who drafted the work were: Hon. Albert B. Fletcher, Jr., Chairman and former member of the Judicial Council, Junction City; Lee Hornbaker, Junction City; Edward Johnson, Topeka; John C. Tillotson, Leavenworth; and Professor Paul E. Wilson, Lawrence.

The PIK-Civil Advisory Committee is still a viable committee of the Judicial Council, but did not meet or do work during 1975. The members of the committee are: Hon. Don Musser, Chairman, Pittsburg; Hon. Herbert W. Walton, Administrative Chairman and member of the Judicial Council, Olathe; Hon. Michael A. Barbara, Topeka; Hon. B. Mack Bryant, Wichita; Hon. David Prager, Topeka; Professor Earl B. Shurtz, Lawrence; and Hon. Frederick Wolesslagel, Lyons.

The PIK-Criminal Advisory Committee, which drafted the book *PIK-Criminal*, completed and distributed a supplement to that publication in September of this year. The members of the committee are: Hon. Don Musser, Chairman, Pittsburg; Hon. Herbert W. Walton, Administrative Chairman and member of the Judicial Council, Olathe; Hon. Michael A. Barbara, Topeka; Hon. B. Mack Bryant, Wichita; Hon. David Prager, Topeka; Professor Earl B. Shurtz, Lawrence; and Hon. Frederick Wolesslagel, Lyons.

The Probate Forms Advisory Committee has undertaken the task of drafting the forms that are required by the 1975 amendments to the Probate Code. The forms will be published in a Special Bulletin of the Judicial Council early

in 1976. The members of the committee are: Robert H. Cobean, Chairman and member of the Judicial Council, Wellington; Jon C. Christlieb, Kansas City; Jack R. Euler, Wathena; Hon. Camilla K. Haviland, Dodge City; Roy Kirby, Coffeyville; and Walter G. Stumbo, Topeka.

The Probate Law Study Advisory Committee has completed much of its assigned task and its recommendations were passed by the 1975 Session of the Legislature. The only remaining item of business is for the committee to consider a report on Probate Fees presently being prepared by a section of the Kansas Bar Association. The members of this committee are: Robert H. Cobean, Chairman and member of the Judicial Council, Wellington; Jack E. Dalton, Co-Chairman and member of the Judicial Council, Dodge City; Steadman Ball, Atchison; Oscar F. Belin, Wichita; Georgia N. Gray, Topeka; Hon. Camilla K. Haviland, Dodge City; John L. Logan, Vassar; Emerson Lynn, Jr., Iola; Professor Richard L. D. Morse, Manhattan; Wayne Rogler, Matfield Green; Larry Winn, III, Prairie Village.

The Title Standards Advisory Committee of the Judicial Council remains available to consider the problems in that area. The members of this committee are: Jack E. Dalton, Chairman and member of the Judicial Council, Dodge City; Hylton Harman, Kansas City; Robert F. Lytle, Prairie Village; and Morris Moon, Augusta.

ALFRED G. SCHROEDER, *Chairman*,
The Judicial Council of the State of Kansas.

A Bar Association's Obligation

Every professional person, every tradesman, needs to rub elbows with his fellows, needs the opportunity to "talk shop." Only through an association can a professional person know his fellow and learn of his profession. Since 1883 there has been a Kansas Bar Association.

The majority of the Kansas lawyers, at least those who speak through the KBA, have said that they prefer our voluntary association to the integrated or unified bar. By their continuing and increasing support, Kansas lawyers have said that the voluntary association can and does serve the lawyers of Kansas.

Recently I examined the report of the proceedings of the association in 1928. (I had been admitted to the bar the preceding year.) The "then" and "now" demonstrate a phenomenal change, and, I believe, a healthy growth.

Undoubtedly the greatest single development is our program of Continuing Legal Education. A Kansas lawyer has the opportunity to keep abreast of the rapid changes in the law through the excellent seminars which are presented by KBA in cooperation with our two excellent law schools. He has no excuse for not being knowledgeable in his profession.

Almost "immediate" digests of Supreme court decisions are available through the *Barletter*. Many other features appear in that publication. Reports on briefs filed in the Supreme court are available. Excellent publications from the Sections come to those whose interests are in those areas. These are a few of the services that come from the association.

The growing Lawyer Referral Service benefits the lawyer and the public. The next issue of the *Barletter* will have a report on the widespread use of this service. We do not like to think that there are many people who are able to employ a lawyer but do not know a lawyer or how to get in touch with one, but it is true.

Prepaid Legal Services of Kansas, Inc. is beginning to move. This is another product of KBA. Soon many thousands of Kansans will be covered by contracts which will enable them "prepay" the lawyers of their choice for needed services.

The newest and most fascinating "child" of the association is K-Bar Research, Inc. Kansas is the fifth state to participate in the electronic storage of its statutes and decisions. These authorities as well as those of the other states and much federal law and regulations can be retrieved by Kansas lawyers with lightening speed. This fall throughout Kansas lawyers will have an opportunity to learn more about this soon-to-be-available method of rapid research.

The Association has not been unaware of its obligations to the public. Too few lawyers are aware of the Law in Education program. A complete "text-book" has been developed to be used in secondary schools. At least two of our universities, Washburn and Wichita State University, are giving credit to the teachers who prepare to teach these courses. The "Buzz and Murphy" video tapes teach understanding of and respect for law to the grade schoolers.

But what of tomorrow? In what other areas should we direct our energies? I suggest that the emphasis should be in the area of "the public."

The lawyer is an independent individual. To be a good lawyer and to truly serve "the public" he must be so. He must be willing to take the case,

defend the issue, whether popular or unpopular. However, we must not let our independence blind us to the obligations that we have to our profession, and of our profession to the public.

There are three areas which need attention. In at least two of these we differ, often bitterly and violently. I submit these must be examined and re-examined. These are not new, and have not been ignored, but we are far from adequately answered.

Perhaps the least "controversial" is the need for a plan or program whereby the pending business of a sole-practitioner who dies, of a lawyer who has been disbarred or suspended can be cared for without loss or damage to his clients. Perhaps most of those clients are able to protect themselves, but what of those who are not? The association should address itself to this. The profession must see that the client who is suddenly, and without his fault, lawyerless does not suffer.

Additional attention should be given to a Client's Security Fund. The association has a very modest fund now. However, because of the fact that it is so small it has been necessary to limit it to members of the association and have very restrictive rules for access to it. There is no provision for the victim of a lawyer who is not a member of KBA. Is it enough for one to say, "I have no responsibility for what that crooked lawyer did!"? Do I have no obligation to my profession, and my profession to the public for the unfaithful lawyer?

What should be done to maintain and demonstrate the continuing competency of those of us who practice law year after year? Is it enough for me to say that I remain active in the profession, regularly attend seminars, study current periodicals and maintain my competence, so what responsibility is it of mine that another lawyer doesn't (and subconsciously I hope that he doesn't) maintain his skills? The lawyer exists only to serve the public and the public is going to demand that it have the right to rely upon the lawyer's license as an assurance that the lawyer is competent. A recent editorial in the Pittsburg [Kansas] *Morning Sun* in discussing doctors could very well have been talking to lawyers when it closed in these words:

"We need doctors, to be sure. But we need good doctors; the bad doctors (however few their numbers may be) are of no help to anyone, least of all the medical community itself.

"In the state of Kansas, you have to take a test every four years just to get a driver's license. Maybe the same principle should apply for doctors."

There is no reason for the existence of the legal profession other than that of serving the public in bringing to society order and justice as best man can. An association of lawyers which does not have as its object and recognize its obligation to maintain a high quality of skill in its members is nothing more than a social club. I believe that the Kansas Bar Association is aware of its task and will address itself to the problems suggested thoughtfully and with that analytical and reasoning ability that lawyers possess by virtue of their training, and with an awareness of this duty or the lawyer to truly serve. The statement in its constitution that it has the object to "promote the activities of its members in the interest of the legal profession and of the public" shall not become meaningless words.

RICHARD L. BECKER, *President*,
Kansas Bar Association.



SUPREME COURT OF KANSAS
TOPEKA, 66612

HAROLD R. FATZER
CHIEF JUSTICE

(913) 234-0212

To the Members of the Kansas Bench and Bar:

The Kansas Judicial Council Bench-Bar Advisory Committee has, pursuant to my request, formulated Uniform Rules for District Courts. The proposed uniform rules are the culmination of an extensive project involving much time and work by the lawyers and judges on the committee. The court has given tentative approval to the proposed rules subject to full scrutiny and comment by the bench and bar.

The Bench-Bar Committee established several objectives which the proposed rules seek to accomplish. First, to have uniformity, tempered by requirements of population and court structure, in all district court proceedings. Second, to make district court proceedings more efficient. Third, to have procedures that are fair to the parties and practical for court personnel. Finally, to make discovery proceedings consume less time and money.

The proposed rules in their approved form will be incorporated in the existing Supreme Court Rules Relating to District Courts. The rules are to be mandatory unless specifically made discretionary. Certain procedural statutes also will be amended where necessary by Rule of the Supreme Court. District courts may continue to make local rules as deemed necessary so long as they are not inconsistent with Supreme Court rules and statutes.

The proposed rules are being published in this issue of the Kansas Judicial Council Bulletin to facilitate wide dissemination among the Kansas bench and bar. There is no plan to adopt the proposed rules without full discussion. The court realizes the proposal substantially changes some procedures; we welcome comments from groups and individuals. Comments and criticisms of the proposed rules should be directed to my office. After the bench and bar have had occasion to make suggestions, the court will hold a public hearing on the proposed rules in Topeka on a date and time to be announced in the Kansas Barletter.

I wish to express my appreciation to the members of the Bench-Bar Committee. Their tireless efforts speak well for Kansas judges and attorneys' continuing concern for improving our system of justice.

Sincerely yours,

HRF vj

Comment Upon the Tentatively Approved Rules Relating to District Courts

Scope of the Drafts

While the draft of rules that follows this comment all relate to the handling of cases in the District Courts of this State, they comprise three distinct types of drafts:

1. Revisions to some statutes embraced within K. S. A. 60-201 through 269, and revision to K. S. A. 7-104.
2. Revision to the present Rules Relating to District Courts as adopted by the Kansas Supreme Court. These are the present Rules 101 through 126. They are published by the Supreme Court as a part of its *Rules of the Supreme Court of Kansas*. They also constitute the statutory section K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-2702.
3. Additional rules that would be made mandatory on all District Courts by inclusion within the Rules Relating to District Courts. These cover areas presently open for rule making by the District Courts. They relate to areas not covered by rules in some districts, and to confusing variances in rules of one district as compared to rules of another district.

History of the Committee

This Committee originally was known as the Kansas Bench-Bar Committee. It had been formed by direction of Chief Justice Fatzer and he had chosen the members. In January, 1974, it became a committee of the Kansas Judicial Council.

Work on this project began in November of 1973 with the following committee members: Robert Bingham, Judge Charles Elliott, Justice John Fontron, Judge Frank Gray, Charles Henshall, Harold Herd, J. Richards Hunter, James R. James, Judge William Laughlin, Philip Lewis, Judge Charles Stewart, and Jerry Ward.

Gerald Michaud served as Vice-Chairman and Marvin Thompson was soon added to the Committee as Administrative Chairman. In 1974, Judge William Meek replaced Judge Herbert W. Walton, and Leonard O. Thomas replaced Mr. Hunter. Judge Albert B. Fletcher, Jr., was added as a member and served until his appointment as Chief Judge of the United States Court of Military Appeals in 1975. Randy M. Hearrell served as Research Assistant from January, 1974.

With a committee so large and representing so many different points of view it is understandable that thirty days of meetings were required to complete this project. It is believed, however, that the resulting benefit of full discussion of conflicting positions was worth the time expended.

While most members had served earlier when the Committee drafted the proposed Rules Relating to Judicial Conduct and the procedural rules for the Commission on Judicial Qualifications, they found this task considerably more difficult than the earlier projects.

Committee Objectives

The difficulty experienced is understandable when it is considered that attorneys, clerks, sheriffs, witnesses, jurors, and judges not only have differing

duties, but also have many conflicting desires. One objective of the Committee was to propose rules that would be effective for all court personnel, without being too burdensome.

An overriding objective was to develop rules that would promote fair trials and just results. This objective had to be attained, moreover, with due consideration for the convenience and time demands of attorneys, judges and jurors.

A third aim was to provide rules that would make the processing of cases uniform in all judicial districts. Because of geographical and population variances between the districts, however, some areas of rule making are left open for local rules.

The fourth objective was to prepare rules that would make the processing of cases as rapid and as inexpensive as possible, but still give full recognition to the nature of due process and the quality of justice.

Finally, the Committee sought by organization to make the rules easy to locate, and by simple language to make the rules easy to understand.

Rules of Particular Interest

With the Supreme Court furnishing all attorneys and judges an opportunity to express their views about the rules that the Court has tentatively adopted, it would be the hope of the Committee that you would study each proposal. Recognizing, however, that your time must meet many demands, I list the following rules that I believe would require a change from present practice in a majority of the judicial districts:

- 103—Required Days of Court
- 104—Docket Calls
- 107—Duties of Administrative Judge
- 116—Admission of Attorney From Another State
- 118—Pleading of Unliquidated Damages
- 131—Notice of Hearings and Trial Settings
- 134—Notice of Rulings
- 135—Limitation on Interrogatories in Damage Actions
- 136—Discovery Conference
- 139—Application for Support Orders in Domestic Relations Cases
- 140—Pre-Trial Conference Procedure
- 161—Courtroom Decorum
- 162—Conflict in Trial Settings in District Courts
- 164—Required Factual Statements in Divorce Cases
- 167—Use of Juror Questionnaire
- 168—Closing Arguments to Jury
- 169—Post-Trial Communications With Jurors
- 170—Journal Entries and Orders
- 181—Post-Trial Calling of Jurors
- 182—Withdrawal and Disposition of Exhibits
- 184—Annulment of Conviction and Expungement of Record Procedure

Conclusion

In doing their homework and in their remarkably high attendance at meetings, the members of this Committee have shown the highest devotion to this project. I thank them sincerely. I also thank Randy M. Hearrell, Research Assistant to the Judicial Council of Kansas, for his many hours of excellent

assistance. The Committee appreciates the support given by Justice Schroeder and the other members of the Judicial Council.

It is not enough that Chief Justice Fatzer and the members of the Supreme Court, and the members of this Committee recognized a need to revise present rules. It will be enough, and the rules finally adopted will be good enough, if you give the Court the benefit of your comments. I assure you that any pride of authorship the members of the Committee may have is insignificant when compared to their desire that the rules finally adopted are the best that can be drawn.

FREDERICK WOESLAGEL, *Chairman*,
The Judicial Council Bench-Bar Advisory Committee.

Statutes in Which Changes Proposed

K. S. A. 7-104
K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-205
K. S. A. 60-211
K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-245
K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-247
K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-248
K. S. A. 60-252
K. S. A. 60-258
K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-312
K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-2601

1 7-104. *Attorneys from other states.* Any regularly admitted prac-
2 ticing attorney in the courts of record of another state or territory,
3 having professional business in the courts or before any board,
4 department, commission or other administrative tribunal or agency,
5 of this state, may, on motion be admitted to practice for the pur-
6 pose of said business only, in any of said courts, tribunals or agen-
7 cies, upon taking the oath as aforesaid and upon it being made to
8 appear by a written showing filed therein, that he has associated
9 and personally appearing with him in the action, hearing or pro-
10 ceeding an attorney who is a resident of and duly and regularly
11 admitted to practice in the courts of record of this state, upon whom
12 service may be had in all matters connected with said action, hear-
13 ing or proceeding, with the same effect as if personally made on
14 such foreign attorney, within this state, and such foreign attorney
15 shall thereupon be and become subject to the order of, and ame-
16 nable to disciplinary action by the courts, agencies or tribunals of
17 this state: *Provided, That in all actions before a court of*
18 ~~record, said associate attorney shall be a resident of and~~
19 ~~maintain his law office within the judicial district in which~~
20 ~~said action is filed or pending.~~ No such court, agency or tribu-
21 nal shall entertain any action, matter, hearing or proceeding while
22 the same is begun, carried on or maintained in violation of the

provisions of this section: *Provided*, Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit any party from appearing before any of said courts, tribunals or agencies, in his own proper person and on his own behalf.

60-205. *Service and filing of pleadings and other papers.* The method of service and filing of pleadings and other papers as provided in this section shall constitute sufficient service and filing in all civil actions and special proceedings, but they shall be alternative to, and not in restriction of different methods specifically provided by law.

(a) *When required.* Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, every order required by its terms to be served, every pleading subsequent to the original petition unless the court otherwise orders because of numerous defendants, every paper relating to discovery required to be served upon a party unless the court otherwise orders, every written motion other than one which may be heard *ex parte*, and every written notice, appearance, demand, offer of judgment, designation of record on appeal, and similar paper shall be served upon each of the parties. No service need be made on parties in default for failure to appear except that pleadings asserting new or additional claims for relief against them shall be served upon them in the manner provided for service of summons in article 3 of this chapter.

(b) *How made.* Whenever under this article service is required or permitted to be made upon a party represented by an attorney the service shall be made upon the attorney unless service upon the party himself is ordered by the court. Service upon the attorney or upon a party shall be made by delivering a copy to him or by mailing it to him at his last known address or, if no address is known, by leaving it with the clerk of the court. Delivery of a copy within

1 this subsection (b) means: Handing it to the attorney or to the
2 party; or leaving it at his office with his clerk or other person in
3 charge thereof; or, if there is no one in charge, leaving it in a con-
4 spicuous place therein; or, if the office is closed or the person to be
5 served has no office, leaving it at his dwelling house or usual place
6 of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then
7 residing therein. Service by mail is complete upon mailing.

8 (c) *Numerous defendants.* In any action in which there are
9 usually large numbers of defendants, the court, upon motion or of
10 its own initiative, may order that service of the pleadings of the
11 defendants and replies thereto need not be made as between the
12 defendants and that any cross-claim, counter-claim, or matter con-
13 stituting an avoidance or affirmative defense contained therein shall
14 be deemed to be denied or avoided by all other parties and that
15 the filing of any such pleading and service thereof upon the plaintiff
16 constitutes due notice of it to the parties. A copy of every such
17 order shall be served upon the parties in such manner and form as
18 the court directs.

19 (d) *Filing. Requests for answers to interrogatories will not be*
20 *filed at the time the requests are served.* All other papers filed
21 after the petition and required to be served upon a party, shall be
22 filed with the court either before service or within a reasonable
23 time thereafter.

24 (e) *Filing with the court defined.* The filing of pleadings and
25 other papers with the court as required by this article shall be
26 made by filing them with the clerk of the court, except that the
27 judge may permit the papers to be filed with him, in which event
28 he shall note thereon the filing date and forthwith transmit them
29 to the office of the clerk.

30

31

60-211. *Signing of pleadings.* Every pleading of a party represented by an attorney shall be signed by at least one attorney of record in his individual name, whose address and *telephone number* shall be stated. A party who is not represented by an attorney shall sign his pleading and state his address. Except when otherwise specifically provided by rule or statute, pleadings need not be verified or accompanied by affidavit. The signature of an attorney constitutes a certificate by him that he has read the pleading; that to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief there is good ground to support it; and that it is not interposed for delay. If a pleading is not signed or is signed with intent to defeat the purpose of this section, it may be stricken as sham and false and the action may proceed as though the pleading has not been served. For a willful violation of this section an attorney may be subjected to appropriate disciplinary action. Similar action may be taken if scandalous or indecent matter is inserted.

60-245. *Subpoena.* (a) *For attendance of witnesses; form; issuance.* Every subpoena shall be issued by the clerk under the seal of the court or by a judge, shall state the name of the court and the title of the action, and shall command each person to whom it is directed to attend and give testimony at a time and place therein specified. The clerk shall issue a subpoena, or a subpoena for the production of documentary evidence, bearing the seal of the court and his signature or facsimile signature, but otherwise in blank, to a party requesting it, who shall fill it in before service.

(b) *For production of documentary evidence.* A subpoena may also command the person to whom it is directed to produce the books, papers, documents, or tangible things designated therein; but the court, upon motion made promptly and in any event at or before the time specified in the subpoena for compliance therewith,

1 may (1) quash or modify the subpoena if it is unreasonable ~~and~~
2 or oppressive or (2) condition denial of the motion upon the ad-
3 vancement by the person in whose behalf the subpoena is issued
4 of the reasonable cost of producing the books, papers, documents,
5 or tangible things.

6 (c) *Service.* A subpoena may be served by the sheriff, by his
7 deputy, or by any other person who is not a party and is not less
8 than eighteen (18) years of age. Service of a subpoena upon a
9 person named therein shall be made by delivering a copy thereof
10 to such person and by tendering to him the fees for one day's
11 attendance and the mileage allowed by law. When the subpoena
12 is not served by the sheriff, or by his deputy, proof of service shall
13 be shown by affidavit.

14 (d) *Subpoena or notice for taking depositions; place of exami-*
15 *nation.* (1) Proof of service of a notice to take a deposition as
16 provided in K. S. A. 1972 Supp. 60-230 (b) and 60-231 (a) con-
17 stitutes sufficient authorization for the issuance of subpoenas for the
18 person named or described therein. In addition to those men-
19 tioned in subsection (a) of this section a subpoena for taking
20 depositions may be issued by the officer before whom the deposi-
21 tion is to be taken or by the clerk of the district court where the
22 deposition is to be taken or if the deposition is to be taken outside
23 the state by an officer authorized by the law of such state to issue
24 such subpoena. The subpoena may command the person to whom
25 it is directed to produce and permit inspection and copying of
26 designated books, papers, documents, or tangible things which
27 constitute or contain matters within the scope of the examination
28 permitted by K. S. A. 60-226 (b), but in that event the subpoena
29 will be subject to the provisions of K. S. A. 1972 Supp. 60-226 (c)
30 and subsection (b) of this section. *In lieu of the procedure out-*
31 *lined in K. S. A. 60-234, when a party gives notice of the taking of*

1 *the deposition of another party the notice of taking the deposition*
2 *and the contents of the notice will be as compelling upon the party*
3 *as though those contents had been in a subpoena.*

4 ~~The~~ A party or person to whom the subpoena is directed may,
5 within ten (10) days after the service thereof or on or before the
6 time specified in the subpoena for compliance if such time is less
7 than ten (10) days after service, serve upon the attorney desig-
8 nated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying
9 of any or all of the designated materials. If objection is made, the
10 party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and
11 copy the materials except pursuant to an order of the court from
12 which the subpoena was issued. The party serving the subpoena
13 may, if objection has been made, move upon notice to the deponent
14 for an order at any time before or during the taking of the de-
15 position.

16 (2) A resident of this state shall not be required to attend an
17 examination at a place which is not within fifty (50) miles of either
18 the place of his residence, or of the place of his employment, or of
19 the place of his principal business. A nonresident shall not be
20 required to attend an examination at a place which is more than
21 fifty (50) miles distant from the place where he is served with
22 the subpoena: *Provided, however, A party or employee of a party,*
23 *whether a resident or nonresident of the state, on order of the*
24 *court, may be required to attend an examination at any place desig-*
25 *nated by the court.*

26 (3) A person confined in prison may be required to be produced
27 for examination in the county where he is imprisoned, ~~but in all~~
28 ~~other cases, his examination must be by deposition.~~

29 (e) *Subpoena for a hearing or trial.* Subpoenas for attendance
30 at a hearing or trial shall be issued at the request of any party. A
31

1 subpoena requiring the attendance of a witness at a hearing or trial
2 may be served at any place within the state.

3 (f) *Contempt.* Failure by any person without adequate excuse
4 to obey a subpoena served upon him may be deemed a contempt
5 of the court in which the action is pending or in the county in
6 which the deposition is *to be* taken. Punishment for such contempt
7 shall be in accordance with K. S. A. 20-1204 and acts amendatory
8 thereof or supplemental thereto.

9
10 60-247. *Jurors.* (a) *The procedures prescribed by K. S. A. 22-*
11 *3411 shall be applicable to civil cases.*

12 (b) *Voir dire examination of jurors.* Prospective jurors shall be
13 examined under oath as to their qualifications to sit as jurors.
14 The court shall permit the parties or their attorneys to conduct ~~the~~
15 *an examination of prospective jurors.*

16 ~~(b)~~ (c) *Challenges.* In civil cases, each party shall be entitled
17 to three (3) peremptory challenges, except ~~that whenever any~~
18 ~~alternate juror or jurors is empaneled pursuant to as pro-~~
19 *vided in subsection (h) of K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-248, as amended,*
20 ~~each party shall be entitled to four (4) peremptory chal-~~
21 ~~lenges pertaining to alternate jurors. Several Multiple~~ defendants
22 ~~or several multiple~~ plaintiffs shall be considered as a single
23 party for the purpose of making challenges. ~~If there is more than~~
24 ~~one defendant and except that~~ if the judge finds there is a good
25 faith controversy existing between ~~the defendants, multiple~~
26 *plaintiffs or multiple defendants, the court shall allow the defend-*
27 ~~ants in its discretion and in the interest of justice, may allow any~~
28 *of the parties* additional peremptory challenges and permit them
29 to be exercised separately or jointly.

30 All challenges for cause ~~or favor~~, whether to the array or panel
31 or to individual *prospective* jurors, shall be determined by the

1 court. *Peremptory challenges shall be exercised in a manner which*
2 *will not communicate to the challenged prospective juror the iden-*
3 *tity of the challenging party or attorney.*

4 ~~(e)~~ (d) *Oath of jurors.* The ~~jury~~ jurors shall be sworn to ~~well~~
5 ~~and truly~~ try the matters submitted to them in the case ~~con-~~
6 ~~scientiously in hearing,~~ and return a true verdict ~~give~~ according
7 to the law and the evidence.

8
9 60-248. *Jury trial procedure.* (a) *Stipulation as to number.* The
0 parties may stipulate that the jury shall consist of any number less
1 than twelve (12) or that a verdict or a finding of a stated majority
2 of the jurors shall be taken as the verdict or finding of the jury.

3 (b) *View of property or place.* Whenever in the opinion of the
4 court it is proper for the jury to have a view of the property which
5 is the subject of litigation, or of the place in which any material
6 fact occurred, it may order them to be conducted in a body under
7 the charge of an officer to the place, which shall be shown to them
8 by some person or persons appointed by the court for that purpose.
9 While the jury ~~are~~ is thus absent no person other than the person
0 so appointed shall speak to ~~them~~ any juror on any subject con-
1 nected with the trial. A view permitted hereunder shall not be
2 considered by the court in determining any questions of the suffi-
3 ciency or insufficiency of evidence admitted in an action.

4 (c) *Case submitted, action and conduct of jury.* When the case
5 is finally submitted to the jury, ~~they~~ it shall retire for deliberation.
6 ~~They~~ The jurors must be kept together in some convenient place
7 under charge of an officer until they agree upon a verdict, or be
8 discharged by the court, subject to the discretion of the court to
9 permit them to separate temporarily at night, and at their meals.
0 The officer having them under his charge shall not ~~suffer~~ allow any
communications to be made to them, or make any himself except to

1 ask them if they are agreed upon their verdict, unless by order of
2 the court; and he shall not before ~~their~~ *the* verdict is rendered
3 communicate to any person the state of their deliberations, or the
4 verdict agreed upon.

5 *(d) Separation of jury, admonition of court.* If the ~~jury~~ *jurors*
6 are permitted to separate, either during the trial or after the case
7 is submitted to them, they shall be admonished by the court that
8 it is their duty not to converse with, or ~~suffer~~ *allow* themselves to
9 be addressed by any other person on any subject of the trial, and
10 that it is their duty ~~not to form or express~~ *to keep an open mind*
11 *and not to express* an opinion thereon until the case is finally sub-
12 mitted to them, and that such admonition shall apply to every
13 ~~subsequent~~ separation of the ~~jury~~ *jurors*.

14 *(e) Jury may request information after retiring.* If ~~After~~ *after*
15 the jury has retired for deliberation, ~~if they~~ *it* ~~desire~~ *desires* ~~to be~~
16 ~~informed~~ *further information* as to any part of the law or evidence
17 ~~arising in~~ *pertaining* to the case, ~~they~~ *it* may request the officer
18 ~~to conduct them~~ *communicate its request through the bailiff* to the
19 court in such manner as directed by the court, following which the
20 court, after notice to counsel for the parties, may consider and make
21 such provision for a response to the request of the jury as the
22 court finds to be required under the circumstances. ~~where the~~
23 ~~information on the point of law shall be given, or the evi-~~
24 ~~dence shall be read or exhibited to them in the presence of~~
25 ~~or after notice to, the parties or their counsel.~~

26 *(f) Discharge of jury, when.* The jury may be discharged by the
27 court on account of the sickness of a juror, ~~or other accident or~~
28 ~~calamity,~~ or other necessity to be found by the court ~~requiring~~
29 ~~their discharge,~~ or by consent of both parties, or after ~~they have~~
30 *it has* been kept together until it satisfactorily appears that there
31 is no probability of ~~their agreeing~~ *the jurors reaching a verdict.*

(g) *Form of verdict; correction.* The verdict shall be written, signed by the foreman, and read by the clerk to the jury, and the inquiry made whether it is their verdict. If any juror disagrees, the jury must be sent out again; but if no disagreement be expressed, and ~~neither~~ no party requires the ~~jury~~ jurors to be polled *individually*, the verdict is complete, and the jury discharged from the case. If, however, the verdict be defective in form only, the same may, with the assent of the jury, before they are discharged, be corrected by the court.

(h) *Alternate jurors.* Immediately after the jury is empaneled and sworn, ~~a~~ the trial judge may empanel one or more alternate or additional jurors whenever, in his discretion, he believes it advisable to have ~~such~~ *alternate* jurors available to replace jurors who, prior to the time the jury retires to consider its verdict, become or are found to be unable to perform their duties. ~~Such~~ The jurors shall be selected in the same manner, have the same qualifications, and be subject to the same examination and challenges, and take the same oath and have the same functions, powers and privileges as the regular jurors. Each party shall be entitled to one (1) peremptory challenge to such alternate jurors. Such alternate jurors shall be seated near the other jurors, with equal power and facilities for seeing and hearing the proceedings in the case, and they must attend at all times upon the trial of the cause in company with the other jurors. They shall obey the orders of and be bound by the admonition of the court upon each adjournment, but if the regular jurors are ordered to be kept in custody during the trial of the cause, such alternate jurors also shall be kept in confinement with the other jurors. Upon final submission of the case to the jury, ~~such~~ the alternate jurors ~~shall~~ may be kept in the custody of the sheriff and shall not be discharged until the original jurors are discharged. *discharged or they may be kept in the custody of*

1 *the sheriff and not discharged until the final decision of the jury. If*
2 *the alternate jurors are not discharged on final submission of the*
3 *case and if any regular juror is discharged prior to the jury reaching*
4 *a decision the court may* ~~If any regular juror shall be dis-~~
5 ~~charged from jury service in any such action prior to the~~
6 ~~jury reaching its verdict the court shall~~ draw the name of an
7 alternate juror who shall replace the *discharged* juror ~~so discharged~~
8 and be subject to the same rules and regulations as though he had
9 been selected as one of the original jurors.

10
11 K. S. A. 60-252. *Findings by the court. (a) Effect.* In all actions
12 tried upon the facts without a jury or with an advisory jury, the
13 judge shall find, and either orally or in writing ~~shall~~ state the con-
14 trolling facts. ~~and judgment~~ Judgment shall be entered pursuant
15 to section 60-258, ~~and in~~ In granting or refusing interlocutory
16 injunctions, except in divorce cases, the judge shall ~~similarly~~ set
17 forth the findings. Requests for finding are not necessary for
18 purposes of review. Findings of fact shall not be set aside unless
19 clearly erroneous, and due regard shall be given to the opportunity
20 of the trial court to judge of the creditability of the witnesses. The
21 findings of a master, to the extent that the judge adopts them, shall
22 be considered as the findings of the court. If an opinion or memo-
23 randum of decision is filed, it will be sufficient if the findings of fact
24 and reasons for the decision appear therein. ~~Such findings are~~
25 ~~unnecessary on decisions of motions under sections 60-212~~
26 ~~or 60-256 or any other motion except as provided in section~~
27 ~~60-241 (b).~~

28 (b) *Amendment.* Upon motion of a party made not later than
29 ten (10) days after entry of judgment the court may amend its
30 findings or make additional findings and may amend the judgment
31 accordingly. The motion may be made with a motion for a new

1 trial pursuant to section 60-259. When findings of fact are made in
2 actions tried by the court without a jury, the question of the suffi-
3 ciency of the evidence to support the findings may thereafter be
4 raised whether or not the party raising the question has made in
5 the district court an objection to such findings or has made a motion
6 to amend them or a motion for judgment.

7 K. S. A. 60-258. *Entry of judgments and ~~orders~~ judgment record.*

8 (a) *Entry of judgment.* ~~Unless the judge otherwise directs and~~
9 *Entry of judgments shall be* subject to the provisions of section
10 K. S. A. 60-254 (b); ~~judgment upon the verdict of a jury shall~~
11 *be entered forthwith.* The judge shall direct the appropri-
12 ate judgment to be upon a special verdict or upon a general
13 verdict accompanied by answers to interrogatories returned
14 by the jury pursuant to section 60-249. When the judge
15 directs that a party recover only money or costs or that all
16 relief be denied, the clerk shall enter judgment forthwith
17 upon receipt by him of the direction; but when the court
18 directs entry of judgment for other relief, the judge shall
19 promptly settle or approve the form of the judgment and
20 direct that it be entered by the clerk. *Every judgment shall be*
21 *set forth in a written document separate from decisions announced*
22 *by letter, memorandum, docket notation or oral pronouncement.*

23 (b) ~~What constitutes entry of judgment~~ *Judgment form.*
24 If judgment is to be entered on the verdict of a jury, or by
25 direction of the judge forthwith, the clerk shall make a
26 notation of the judgment on the appearance docket as pro-
27 vided by section 60-2601, and such notation shall constitute
28 the entry of judgment, and no journal entry or other docu-
29 ment shall be required to render the judgment effective. If
30 the judge directs that the form of the judgment is to be
31 settled by a journal entry or other document, it shall be

1 prepared in accordance with the directions of the judge who
2 shall then sign the same and cause it to be filed with the
3 clerk. Such filing shall constitute the entry of the judg-
4 ment, and it shall not be effective before such filing. The
5 clerk shall forthwith note the filing of the journal entry on
6 the appearance docket together with a brief abstract of the
7 nature of the judgment. Unless the court directs that the judg-
8 ment shall be evidenced and settled by journal entry, a judgment
9 form shall be prepared by the clerk or by the judge, signed by the
10 judge and filed with the clerk in the case. Judgment shall be entered
11 and effective upon filing.

12 (c) *Judgment by journal entry.* If the court directs that judgment
13 be entered by journal entry, the journal entry shall be prepared as
14 directed by the judge or under Rule 170 in the absence of contrary
15 directions. The journal entry shall be signed by the judge and filed
16 with the clerk in the case. Judgment shall be entered and effective
17 after being signed and upon filing.

18 (d) *Recording of judgment and notice.* Filing of a judgment is
19 effective as of time and date stamped or noted thereon by the clerk.
20 Upon entry of judgment by judgment form or journal entry, the
21 clerk shall promptly record the judgment in the appearance docket
22 as provided by K. S. A. 60-2601. Within three (3) days of the entry
23 of judgment, the clerk shall serve notice of the entry in the manner
24 provided by K. S. A. 60-205 upon each party who is not in default for
25 failure to appear and shall make a note in the appearance docket of
26 the service. Failure of service of notice shall not affect the validity
27 of judgment.

28 (e) *Contents of judgment record.* The record shall be made up
29 from the petition, the process, return, the pleadings subsequent
30 thereto, reports, verdicts, orders, judgments and all material acts and
31 proceedings of the court; but if the items of an account, or the copies

of papers attached to the pleadings, be voluminous, the court may order the record to be made by abbreviating the same, or inserting a pertinent description thereof, or by omitting them entirely. Evidence must not be recorded.

60-312. *Proof of service.* Proof of service shall be made as follows:

(a) *Personal service.* (1) Every officer to whom summons or other process shall be delivered for service within or without the state, shall make return thereof in writing stating the time, place and manner of service of such writ, and shall sign his name to such return.

(2) If service of such process is, by order of the court, directed to and delivered to a person, other than an officer, for service, such person shall make affidavit as to the time, place and manner of his service thereof.

(b) *Service by mail.* Service by mail shall be proven by a certificate of the clerk that he has mailed a copy of the summons and of the petition as required by law and by the return restricted mail receipt which shall be filed in the particular action.

(c) *Publication service.* Service by publication shall be proven by an affidavit showing the dates upon which and the newspaper in which the notice of publication was published. A copy of the notice shall be attached to the affidavit which shall be filed in the cause. When mailing of copies of the publication notice is required in accordance with ~~section~~ K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-307 (f), the proof of such mailing shall be by affidavit of the person who mailed such copies and such affidavit shall be filed ~~within five (5) days after such mailing~~ with the clerk of the court in which the action has been filed. If such mailing was by restricted mail, the return receipt shall be made a part of the affidavit and filed therewith.

1 (d) *Time for return.* The officer or other person receiving a sum-
2 mons or other process shall make a return of service promptly and
3 in any event within ten (10) days after the service is effected. If
4 the process cannot be served it shall be returned to the court within
5 thirty (30) days after the date of issue with a statement of the
6 reason for the failure to serve the same, except the time for service
7 thereof may be extended up to ninety (90) days from the date of
8 issue by order of the court or judge of the court to which it is
9 returnable. Immediately upon receipt of the return upon any
10 summons or other process by the clerk of the court issuing the same,
11 such clerk shall mail a copy of such return to the attorney for the
12 party requesting the issuance of such summons or other process,
13 or, if such party has no attorney, then to the requesting party him-
14 self.

15
16 60-2601. *Duties of clerk of court.* (a) *General powers and duties.*
17 In the performance of his duties ~~all each clerks~~ clerk of record
18 shall be under the direction of his court.

19 (b) *Dockets and journals.* The clerk of the court shall keep the
20 following dockets and journals and such other books or records as
21 may be ordered by the court.

22 (1) *Appearance docket.* The clerk shall keep ~~a book known as~~
23 an "appearance docket" and shall enter therein each civil action.
24 Actions shall be assigned consecutive file numbers. The file number
25 of each action shall be noted on the page of the docket whereon the
26 first entry of the action is made. All papers filed with the clerk, all
27 process issued and returns made thereon, all appearances, orders,
28 verdicts, and judgments shall be noted chronologically in the appear-
29 ance docket on the page assigned to the action and shall be marked
30 with its file number. These notations shall be brief but shall show
31 the nature of each paper filed or writ issued and the substance of

1 each order or judgment of the court and the returns showing
2 execution of process. The notation of an order or judgment shall
3 show the date the notation is made.

4 (2) *Journal*. On the journal shall be entered the proceedings of
5 the court of each day, and all orders of the judge in vacation or at
6 chambers, and also all judgments entered on confession or default.

7 (3) *Judgment docket*. The judgment docket shall be kept in the
8 form of an index, in which the name of each person against whom
9 a judgment is rendered shall appear in alphabetical order. A state-
10 ment of each judgment upon its rendition shall be entered therein,
11 containing the names of the parties, the amount or nature of the
12 judgment and costs, and the date of its rendition; and if the judg-
13 ment be against several persons, the entry shall be repeated in the
14 name of each person against whom the judgment is rendered, in
15 alphabetical order.

16 (c) *Issuance of writs and orders*. All writs and orders for pro-
17 visional remedies, of every kind, shall be issued by the clerks of the
18 several courts, upon praecipies filed with the clerk, demanding the
19 same.

20 (d) *Filing and preservation of papers*. Except as otherwise pro-
21 vided by law it is the duty of the clerk of each of the courts to file
22 together and carefully preserve in his office all papers delivered to
23 him for that purpose, in every action or special proceeding. He
24 shall keep the papers in each case separate, carefully enveloped in a
25 wrapper or folder labeled with the title of the cause. *Orders and*
26 *journal entries requiring the signature of the judge shall have the*
27 *day and time of day endorsed by the clerk immediately upon*
28 *receipt of the signed order or journal entry. He shall endorse on*
29 *On all other paper papers filed by with him, the clerk shall endorse*
30 *the day and time of day of filing receiving it, and upon every order*
31

1 for a provisional remedy, and upon every undertaking given under
2 the same, the day of its return to his office.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Index to Rules Relating to District Courts

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE

<i>Rule No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
101	Terms of Court
102	Terms of Court—Holidays
103	Required Days of Court
104	Docket Calls
105	Local Rules
106	Custody of Court Records
107	Duties of Administrative Judge

COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIONS, PLEADINGS AND RELATED MATTERS

<i>Rule No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
111	Forms of Pleadings
112	Duty to Provide Addresses for Service
113	Clerk's Extension
114	Sureties on Bonds
115	Entries of Appearance
116	Admission of Attorney from Another State
117	Withdrawal of Attorney
118	Pleading of Unliquidated Damages

MOTIONS, DISCOVERY, PRE-TRIAL PROCEDURES AND RELATED MATTERS

<i>Rule No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
131	Notice of Hearings and Trial Settings
132	Default Judgments and Ex-Parte Matters
133	Memoranda and Arguments on Motions
134	Notice of Rulings
135	Limitations on Interrogatories in Damage Actions
136	Discovery Conference
137	Written Communications with Court
138	Opening of Depositions
139	Applications for Support Orders in Domestic Relations Cases
140	Pre-Trial Conference Procedure

TRIALS AND RELATED MATTERS

<i>Rule No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
161	Courtroom Decorum
162	Conflict in Trial Settings in District Court
163	Ineffective Stipulations
164	Required Factual Statements in Divorce Cases
165	Reasons for Decisions
166	Matters Taken under Advisement
167	Use of Juror Questionnaire
168	Closing Arguments to Jury
169	Post-Trial Communications with Jurors
170	Journal Entries and Orders

POST-TRIAL MATTERS

<i>Rule No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
181	Post-Trial Calling of Jurors
182	Withdrawal and Disposition of Exhibits
183	Procedure under K. S. A. 60-1507
184	Annulment of Conviction and Expungement of Record Procedure

Rules Relating to District Courts

Rule No. 101

TERMS OF COURT. The terms of court in each county of the judicial districts of the state of Kansas as defined by Laws of 1968, Chapter 385, shall commence in each year as follows:

(a) FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- (1) *Atchison County*:
2nd Monday in January
2nd Monday in April
2nd Monday in September
- (2) *Leavenworth County*:
1st Monday in February
1st Monday in May
1st Monday in October

(b) SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- (1) *Jackson County*:
2nd Monday in January
1st Monday in May
1st Monday in October
- (2) *Jefferson County*:
1st Monday in March
1st Monday in June
1st Monday in November
- (3) *Pottawatomie County*:
1st Monday in April
2nd Monday in September
1st Monday in December
- (4) *Wabaunsee County*:
1st Monday in February
3rd Monday in May
3rd Monday in October

(c) THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- (1) *Shawnee County*:
2nd Monday in January
1st Monday in April
1st Tuesday in September

(d) FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- (1) *Allen County*:
2nd Monday in January
2nd Monday in April
2nd Monday in September
- (2) *Anderson County*:
1st Friday in February
1st Friday in May
1st Friday in October
- (3) *Coffey County*:
1st Tuesday in January
1st Tuesday in April
1st Tuesday in September
- (4) *Franklin County*:
2nd Friday in January
2nd Friday in April
2nd Friday in September

- (5) *Osage County*:
 - 2nd Tuesday in March
 - 2nd Tuesday in June
 - 2nd Tuesday in November
- (6) *Woodson County*:
 - 3rd Tuesday in February
 - 3rd Tuesday in May
 - 3rd Tuesday in October
- (e) FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Chase County*:
 - 1st Tuesday in March
 - 1st Tuesday in June
 - 1st Tuesday in November
 - (2) *Lyon County*:
 - 1st Tuesday in February
 - 1st Tuesday in May
 - 1st Tuesday in October
- (f) SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Bourbon County*:
 - 1st Monday in January
 - 2nd Monday in May
 - 2nd Monday in September
 - (2) *Linn County*:
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 2nd Monday in July
 - 1st Monday in December
 - (3) *Miami County*:
 - 1st Monday in February
 - 1st Monday in June
 - 1st Monday in October
- (g) SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Douglas County*:
 - 1st Monday in February
 - 1st Monday in May
 - 1st Monday in November
- (h) EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Dickinson County*:
 - 1st Monday in January
 - 1st Friday in May
 - 2nd Monday in September
 - (2) *Geary County*:
 - 1st Monday in March
 - 1st Monday in June
 - 2nd Monday in November
 - (3) *Marion County*:
 - 1st Monday in February
 - 1st Monday in May
 - 1st Monday in October
 - (4) *Morris County*:
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 1st Friday in June
 - 1st Monday in December
- (i) NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Harvey County*:
 - 2nd Monday in February
 - 2nd Monday in May
 - 2nd Monday in November

- (2) *McPherson County*:
 - 2nd Monday in January
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 1st Monday in October
- (j) TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Johnson County*:
 - 1st Monday in January
 - 1st Monday in May
 - 1st Tuesday in September
- (k) ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Cherokee County*: (Columbus)
 - 1st Monday in January
 - 1st Monday in May
 - 1st Monday in October*Cherokee County*: (Galena)
 - 1st Monday in March
 - 1st Monday in September
 - 2nd Wednesday in November
 - (2) *Crawford County*: (Girard)
 - 2nd Monday in January
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 1st Monday in October*Crawford County*: (Pittsburg)
 - 3rd Monday in February
 - 2nd Monday in May
 - 3rd Monday in November
 - (3) *Labette County*: (Oswego)
 - 1st Tuesday in February
 - 2nd Tuesday in April
 - 1st Tuesday in October*Labette County*: (Parsons)
 - 1st Tuesday in March
 - 1st Tuesday in May
 - 1st Tuesday in November
 - (4) *Neosho County*: (Erie)
 - 2nd Tuesday in March
 - 2nd Wednesday in October*Neosho County*: (Chanute)
 - 2nd Wednesday in March
 - 2nd Tuesday in October
 - (5) *Wilson County*:
 - 1st Tuesday in January
 - 1st Tuesday in April
 - 1st Tuesday in September
- (l) TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Cloud County*:
 - 1st Monday in January
 - 1st Tuesday in September
 - (2) *Jewell County*:
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 3rd Monday in October
 - (3) *Lincoln County*:
 - 1st Monday in February
 - 3rd Monday in November
 - (4) *Mitchell County*:
 - 1st Monday in March
 - 1st Monday in November

- (5) *Republic County*:
1st Monday in May
1st Monday in October

- (6) *Washington County*:
1st Monday in June
3rd Monday in September

(m) THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- (1) *Butler County*:
1st Monday in March
2nd Monday in June
2nd Monday in November

- (2) *Chautauqua County*:
1st Monday in April
1st Tuesday after 1st Monday in September
1st Monday in December

- (3) *Elk County*:
2nd Monday in January
1st Monday in May
3rd Monday in September

- (4) *Greenwood County*:
3rd Monday in January
3rd Monday in May
2nd Monday in October

(n) FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- (1) *Montgomery County*: (Independence)
1st Monday in February
2nd Monday in September

- Montgomery County*: (Coffeyville)
1st Monday in April
1st Monday in December

(o) FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- (1) *Graham County*:
1st Monday in February
2nd Monday in May
3rd Monday in September

- (2) *Rooks County*:
2nd Monday in January
1st Monday in May
1st Tuesday after 1st Monday in September

- (3) *Sheridan County*:
4th Monday in February
3rd Monday in May
1st Monday in October

- (4) *Sherman County*:
1st Monday in April
2nd Monday in June
3rd Monday in November

- (5) *Thomas County*:
3rd Monday in March
4th Monday in May
1st Monday in November

(p) SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- (1) *Clark County*:
3rd Monday in February
4th Monday in September

- (2) *Comanche County*:
3rd Monday in May
1st Monday in December

- (3) *Ford County*:
 - 3rd Monday in January
 - 3rd Monday in April
 - 2nd Monday in September
- (4) *Gray County*:
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 2nd Monday in November
- (5) *Kiowa County*:
 - 1st Monday in May
 - 2nd Monday in October
- (6) *Meade County*:
 - 3rd Monday in March
 - 4th Monday in October
- (q) SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Cheyenne County*:
 - 1st Monday in January
 - 1st Monday in June
 - (2) *Decatur County*:
 - 2nd Monday in February
 - 1st Monday in October
 - (3) *Norton County*:
 - 3rd Monday in March
 - 3rd Monday in October
 - (4) *Osborne County*:
 - 1st Monday in May
 - 1st Monday in December
 - (5) *Phillips County*:
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 1st Monday in November
 - (6) *Rawlins County*:
 - 1st Monday in February
 - 2nd Monday in September
 - (7) *Smith County*:
 - 3rd Monday in April
 - 3rd Monday in November
- (r) EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Sedgwick County*:
 - 3rd Monday in January
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 3rd Monday in September
- (s) NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Barber County*:
 - 2nd Monday in February
 - 2nd Monday in May
 - 2nd Monday in October
 - (2) *Cowley County*:
 - 2nd Monday in January
 - 2nd Monday in March
 - 1st Monday in June
 - 1st Monday in October
 - (3) *Harper County*:
 - 1st Monday in February
 - 1st Monday in May
 - 1st Monday in November
 - (4) *Kingman County*:
 - 4th Monday in January
 - 4th Monday in April
 - 4th Monday in September

(5) *Pratt County:*

3rd Monday in January
 3rd Monday in April
 3rd Monday in October

(6) *Sumner County:*

2nd Tuesday in January
 2nd Tuesday in May
 1st Tuesday after 2nd Monday in September

(t) TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

(1) *Barton County:*

1st Tuesday in March
 1st Tuesday in June
 1st Monday in November

(2) *Ellsworth County:*

4th Tuesday in January
 4th Tuesday in April
 4th Tuesday in October

(3) *Rice County:*

1st Tuesday in January
 1st Tuesday in April
 1st Tuesday in September

(4) *Russell County:*

4th Monday in January
 4th Wednesday in May
 4th Wednesday in October

(5) *Stafford County:*

1st Tuesday in February
 1st Tuesday in May
 1st Tuesday in October

(u) TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

(1) *Clay County:*

1st Monday in March
 1st Monday in June
 1st Monday in November

(2) *Marshall County:*

1st Monday in January
 1st Monday in April
 1st Monday in September

(3) *Riley County:*

1st Monday in February
 1st Monday in May
 1st Monday in October

(v) TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

(1) *Brown County:*

1st Monday in February
 1st Monday in May
 1st Monday in November

(2) *Doniphan County:*

1st Monday in January
 1st Monday in April
 1st Monday in October

(3) *Nemaha County:*

1st Monday in March
 1st Tuesday after 1st Monday in September
 1st Monday in December

(w) TWENTY-THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

(1) *Ellis County:*

1st Monday in February
 1st Monday in October

- (2) *Gove County*:
 - 3rd Monday in March
 - 3rd Monday in November
- (3) *Logan County*:
 - 1st Monday in January
 - 1st Tuesday after 1st Monday in September
- (4) *Trego County*:
 - 1st Monday in March
 - 1st Monday in November
- (5) *Wallace County*:
 - 3rd Monday in January
 - 3rd Monday in September
- (x) TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Edwards County*:
 - 1st Tuesday in March
 - 2nd Wednesday in September
 - (2) *Hodgeman County*:
 - 1st Tuesday in March
 - 2nd Wednesday in September
 - (3) *Lane County*:
 - 1st Wednesday in March
 - 2nd Thursday in September
 - (4) *Ness County*:
 - 1st Wednesday in March
 - 2nd Thursday in September
 - (5) *Pawnee County*:
 - 1st Monday in March
 - 1st Tuesday in September
 - (6) *Rush County*:
 - 1st Monday in March
 - 1st Tuesday in September
- (y) TWENTY-FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Finney County*:
 - 2nd Monday in January
 - 2nd Monday in May
 - 3rd Monday in September
 - (2) *Greeley County*:
 - 1st Monday in March
 - 3rd Monday in December
 - (3) *Hamilton County*:
 - 4th Monday in February
 - 3rd Monday in October
 - (4) *Kearny County*:
 - 2nd Monday in March
 - 2nd Monday in November
 - (5) *Scott County*:
 - 3rd Monday in April
 - 3rd Monday in October
 - (6) *Wichita County*:
 - 2nd Monday in April
 - 2nd Monday in December
- (z) TWENTY-SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Grant County*:
 - 2nd Monday in April
 - 2nd Monday in December
 - (2) *Haskell County*:
 - 2nd Monday in March
 - 2nd Monday in November

- (3) *Morton County*:
 - 2nd Monday in February
 - 2nd Monday in September
- (4) *Seward County*:
 - 2nd Monday in January
 - 3rd Monday in April
 - 2nd Monday in October
- (5) *Stanton County*:
 - 3rd Monday in February
 - 3rd Monday in September
- (6) *Stevens County*:
 - 3rd Monday in March
 - 3rd Monday in October
- (aa) TWENTY-SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Reno County*:
 - 1st Monday in January
 - 1st Monday in April
 - 4th Monday in September
- (bb) TWENTY-EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Ottawa County*:
 - 2nd Tuesday in January
 - 2nd Tuesday in April
 - 4th Tuesday in October
 - (2) *Saline County*:
 - 2nd Tuesday in March
 - 2nd Tuesday in September
 - 1st Tuesday in December
- (cc) TWENTY-NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 - (1) *Wyandotte County*:
 - 1st Monday in March
 - 1st Monday in June
 - 2nd Monday in September
 - 1st Monday in December

Rule No. 102

TERMS OF COURT—HOLIDAYS. Whenever the commencement date for any term of court prescribed by Rule 101 shall be a legal holiday, such term of court shall commence on the day following such legal holiday.

Rule No. 103

REQUIRED DAYS OF COURT. In every Judicial district a judge shall be present on at least one day a month in the court, in each county, to transact the business of the court. A designation of these days of court shall be made at the beginning of each calendar year, and a copy thereof shall be filed with the Supreme Court and with the clerk of each district court in the district.

Rule No. 104

DOCKET CALLS. A court may hold a call of pending cases to determine case status and to set matters or cases for hearing, pre-trial or trial. The call may be scheduled at the opening of a term or otherwise as determined by the court. If a court schedules a call, seven (7) days notice of the call shall be given to counsel of record or to pro se parties. In lieu of personal appearance at the call, a counsel or party may advise the court in writing, with copies to other counsel or parties, prior to the date of the call as to case status and submit requests for the scheduling of hearings, pre-trials and trials.

Rule No. 105

LOCAL RULES. The judge or judges of each judicial district may make rules that are found necessary for the administration of the affairs of the district

court, and of all courts of limited jurisdiction in the district, to the extent they are not inconsistent with the applicable statutes and rules promulgated by the Supreme Court.

District courts will not reproduce Supreme Court Rules in publishing their local rules. Local rules promulgated by the district courts shall be clear and concise and shall be effective upon filing with the clerk of the Supreme Court.

Rule No. 106

CUSTODY OF COURT'S RECORDS. No file or record of the court shall be permitted to be outside of the physical possession and control of the clerk or judge except on the signed receipt of an attorney or of an abstracter whose place of business is within the county, and subject to being returned immediately upon request. No file or record shall be taken outside of the county of the clerk's office except by order of the judge.

Rule No. 107

DUTIES OF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE. In every judicial district having more than one division, the Supreme Court shall designate an administrative judge who shall have general control over the assignment of cases within said district under supervision of the Supreme Court. Assignment of cases shall be designed to distribute as equally as is reasonably possible judicial work of the district. The administrative judge of each district shall be responsible for and have general supervisory authority over the clerical and administrative functions of the court.

At least once a month in single-county districts and at least once every three months in multiple-county districts the administrative judge shall call a meeting of all judges within the district for the purpose of reviewing the state of the dockets within the district and to discuss such other business as may affect the efficient operation of the court. Within guidelines established by the Supreme Court, by the judges of the judicial district, or by statute, the administrative judge shall have the following responsibilities:

(a) **PERSONNEL MATTERS.** The administrative judge shall have supervision over recruitment, removal, compensation, and training of nonjudicial employees of the court. He shall prepare and submit to the judges for approval rules and regulations governing personnel matters to ensure that employees are recruited, selected, promoted, disciplined, removed, and retired appropriately.

(b) **TRIAL COURT CASE ASSIGNMENT.** Cases shall be assigned under the supervision of the administrative judge. Under his supervision, the business of the court shall be apportioned among the trial judges as equally as possible and he shall reassign cases as necessity requires. A judge to whom a case is assigned shall accept that case unless he is disqualified or the interests of justice require that the case not be heard by that judge. He shall provide for the assignment of cases to any special division established in the court.

(c) **JUDGE ASSIGNMENTS.** The administrative judge, with the approval of the other judges, shall provide for the assignment and reassignment of judges to any specialized division of the court. The administrative judge shall prepare an orderly plan for vacations. The plan shall be approved by the judges of the court and shall be consistent with statewide guidelines.

(d) **INFORMATION COMPILATION.** The administrative judge shall have responsibility for development and coordination of statistical and management information.

(e) **FISCAL MATTERS.** The administrative judge shall supervise the fiscal affairs of the court.

(f) **COMMITTEES.** The administrative judge may appoint standing and special committees necessary for the proper performance of the duties of the court.

(g) **LIAISON AND PUBLIC RELATIONS.** The administrative judge shall represent the court in business, administrative or public relations matters. When appropriate, he shall meet with (or designate other judges to meet with) committees of the bench, bar, and news media to review problems and promote understanding.

(h) **IMPROVEMENT IN THE FUNCTIONING OF THE COURT.** The administrative judge shall evaluate the effectiveness of the court in administering justice and recommend changes.

Rule No. 111

FORM OF PLEADINGS. All pleadings, briefs and other papers prepared by attorneys for filing in the courts shall include the name, address and telephone number of the attorney filing them. After January 1, 1977 all pleadings, briefs, and other papers prepared by attorneys or litigants for filing in courts, other than those required to be reproduced in accordance with Rule No. 9, shall, unless the judge specifically permits otherwise, be typed with black ink on one side only of 8½" x 11" paper. Typing should be double-spaced except that single spacing may be used for subparagraphs, legal descriptions of real estate, itemizations, quotations, and similar subsidiary portions of the instrument.

Rule No. 112

DUTY TO PROVIDE ADDRESSES FOR SERVICE. In all instances in which the Code of Civil Procedure requires that the secretary of state, the commissioner of insurance, a clerk of court, or other public officer serve by mail, any summons, notice or other document on a named party, either a natural person or corporation, at the instance and request of another party, the latter party shall provide the officer with the name and address of the party to be served. If service is required to be by restricted mail, the necessary postal charge shall also be advanced by the party seeking service. If the address of a party to be served currently appears on a registry or other record required by law to be kept in the office of the officer, that address shall be used by the officer and none need be supplied by the party seeking to effect the service. Upon failure of the officer to locate the name and address from his registry he shall notify the party or his counsel within ten (10) days.

Rule No. 113

CLERK'S EXTENSION. The initial time to plead to any petition, as the time is stated on the summons served upon the party, may be extended once by the clerk of the court for a period of not to exceed twenty (20) additional days. The party seeking the extension shall prepare the order for the clerk's signature, and copies thereof shall be served upon counsel for all adverse parties in accordance with K. S. A. 60-205. All other extensions of time to plead shall be by order of the judge.

Rule No. 114

SURETIES ON BONDS. Whenever any bond is permitted or required to be taken by a clerk or sheriff in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 60 without being approved by the court, it shall be sufficient if the surety thereon is a surety company currently admitted to do business in the State of Kansas. No corporation other than a surety company may be accepted as a surety unless so ordered and approved by the judge. Whenever a natural person is accepted and approved as a surety by a clerk or sheriff, the surety shall be required to attach to the bond a sworn financial statement which reasonably identifies the assets relied upon to qualify him as surety and the total amount of any liabilities, contingent or otherwise, which may affect his qualifications as a surety. No attorney or his spouse may act as a surety on the bond. The principal on any bond may at his option, in lieu of providing a surety, deposit with the clerk of the district court cash money in the full amount of the bond. The deposit shall be retained by the clerk until the bond is fully discharged and released or the court orders the disposition of the deposit.

Rule No. 115

ENTRIES OF APPEARANCE. A party may enter his appearance by personally signing an instrument designed for that purpose, if his signature is acknowledged before an officer authorized by law to take acknowledgements.

Rule No. 116

ADMISSION OF ATTORNEY FROM ANOTHER STATE. Any regularly admitted practicing attorney in the courts of record of another state or territory, having professional business in the courts or before any board, department, commission or other administrative tribunal or agency, of this state, may, on motion be admitted to practice for the purpose of said business only, in any of said courts, tribunals or agencies, upon it being made to appear that he has associated and personally appearing with him in the action, hearing or proceeding an attorney who is a resident of and duly and regularly admitted to practice in the courts of record of this state. The associated attorney must maintain an office for the practice of law in this state and be currently registered with the Kansas Supreme Court in accordance with Supreme Court Rule No. 201. Service may be had upon the associated attorney in all matters connected with said action, hearing or proceeding, with the same effect as if personally made on the foreign attorney, within this state, and the foreign attorney shall thereupon be and become subject to the order of, and amenable to disciplinary action by the courts, agencies or tribunals of this state. No court, agency or tribunal shall entertain any action, matter, hearing or proceeding while the same is begun, carried on or maintained in violation of the provisions of this rule. Nothing in this rule shall be construed to prohibit any party from appearing personally before any of said courts, tribunals or agencies on his own behalf.

Rule No. 117

WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNEY. An attorney who has appeared of record in any proceeding may withdraw; but he shall be relieved of his duties to the court, his client, and opposing counsel only when he has served notice of withdrawal on the client and on opposing counsel, filed a copy of the notice and proof of the service thereof with the clerk, and the judge shall have entered an order approving the withdrawal, except no such order shall be required if another attorney authorized to practice law in this state is appearing of record to represent the client.

Rule No. 118

(a) **PLEADING OF UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES.** Any pleading referred to in K. S. A. 60-208 (a) shall, in addition to the relief demanded in said pleading, be considered as praying for general relief. Except for cases filed in a court of limited jurisdiction, or governed by Chapter 61 of Kansas Statutes Annotated, every pleading demanding relief for unliquidated damages in money, shall without demanding any specific amount of money, set forth only whether the amount sought as damages is in excess of, or not in excess of, \$10,000.00.

(b) In any action filed in the district court, in which the pleading demanding unliquidated damages in money is the relief sought and the pleading conforms to the requirement set out in (a), above, should the party against whom relief is sought serve a written request of the actual amount of monetary damages being sought in the action on the party seeking relief, the party seeking relief shall within ten (10) days following service of the request serve his adversary with a written statement of the total amount of monetary damages being sought in the action and at the same time cause a copy of the written statement to be filed in the action. The amount recited in the written statement may be amended downward at any time prior to the action being submitted to the trier of facts for determination. The amount recited in the written statement may be amended upward if the judge hearing a Motion to Amend the amount recited in the written statement is satisfied the reason(s) recited in the motion justify the amendment.

(c) In any action in which the party seeking relief demands an unliquidated amount of money as all or part of the relief he seeks, and which action is to be tried to a jury in the district court the parties and attorneys may state in voir dire and in arguments their valuation of an item of claimed damage. They shall not mention any amount as being the award demanded in the written statement.

(d) The costs of the action contemplated by this rule shall be allowed to the party in whose favor judgment is rendered as per K. S. A. 1974 Supp. 60-2002 (a), unless the judge, upon his or a party's motion, finds the amount of damages sought, as recited in the last written statement filed under, (b) above, was frivolously chosen by the party filing same, in which event, the judge shall apportion the costs as justice requires.

(e) Before any default judgment is taken in any action contemplated by this rule, the party seeking relief must notify the party against whom relief is sought of the amount of money for which judgment will be taken. Said notice shall be given by certified mail, return receipt requested, at least ten (10) days prior to the date judgment is sought. Proof of service shall be submitted to the court.

Rule No. 131

NOTICE OF HEARINGS AND TRIAL SETTING.

(a) If any party seeks the hearing of any motion on a required day of court and it is not a motion which may be heard *ex parte*, or if the judge sets a hearing on this day of court, notice of the hearing shall be given to all parties affected either by the party, or by the clerk at the direction of the judge, not less than seven (7) days prior to the date of hearing.

(b) Matters set for hearing or trial on other days shall be at the discretion of the judge and with not less than seven (7) days notice to the parties affected. If the matter is urgent, notice shall be given as is reasonable and possible under the circumstances.

(c) The clerk of the court shall maintain a docket or list of pending motions for the information of the court to facilitate hearing motions.

(d) Nothing in this rule shall be construed to prevent the parties, acting through their respective counsel, from agreeing on a date for a hearing on a motion or trial of the action on its merits provided counsel first receives the approval of the date from the judge to whom the action is assigned.

Rule No. 132

DEFAULT JUDGMENTS AND EX PARTE MATTERS. Cases involving default judgments, *ex parte* applications and formal matters not requiring a hearing, may be presented on any court day, or at such other times as may be determined by the court.

Counsel shall be present personally to present such cases, unless excused by the judge.

(NOTE: See K. S. A. 60-254 (c) and 60-255.)

Rule No. 133

MEMORANDA AND ARGUMENTS ON MOTIONS. Every motion made in writing which seeks a ruling of some part of the merits of the action (*e. g.*, lack of jurisdiction, motion for summary judgment) as distinguished from a motion regulatory only of the procedure in the action (*e. g.*, motion to limit discovery, motion to substitute successor party) shall be accompanied by a short memorandum setting forth (a) any reasons for the motion not fully stated in the motion itself, and (b) the citation, without extended elaboration, of any authorities which it is necessary for the judge to consider in ruling upon the motion. If the motion also contains a request for oral argument, or if within five days of the service of the motion an adverse party serves and files a request for oral argument, no ruling shall be made on the motion without opportunity being given to counsel to present such arguments. In either event, an adverse party may at his option serve and file a similar memorandum in opposition to the motion. In the absence of any request by either party for oral argument in accordance with this Rule, the judge may set the matter for hearing or rule upon the motion forthwith and communicate the ruling to the parties.

Rule No. 134

NOTICE OF RULINGS. Whenever a judge shall make a ruling on a motion or application of any kind and there are parties affected who have appeared in the

action but who are not then present, either in person or by their attorneys, the judge shall cause written notice of such ruling to be mailed to the parties or attorneys forthwith.

The provisions for notice above set forth may be modified by the court upon motion, or on its own initiative in any action in which there are unusually large numbers of parties.

Rule No. 135

LIMITATIONS ON INTERROGATORIES IN DAMAGE ACTIONS.

(a) INTERROGATORIES; FORM. The party propounding interrogatories shall first set forth each question in clear and concise language, leaving an appropriate space for the answer. The original and two copies shall be mailed to the adverse counsel, or the opposing party if not represented by counsel, with copies to all other counsel. In the event an answer is too lengthy to place in the space provided, it shall be attached as an appendix and clearly identified by number. The original with its answers shall be filed with the clerk and copies served on all counsel of record.

(b) OPENING INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT. In all damage actions the following standard questions shall be answered if requested in writing by the litigants:

(1) Give the names and addresses of all individuals known to you, your attorney or any other representative of yours, whom you or he have interviewed, or who may be witnesses or have knowledge concerning the event referred to in your claim for relief or defense.

(2) If any of the individuals named in your answer to the next preceding interrogatory have given or made any statements, either in writing or by recording; taken or have any photographs, plats, charts or diagrams which concern your claim for relief or defense, give the name and address of the individual who gave such statement; who took or prepared same; what he took or prepared and its present location.

(3) Give the dates, location and identity of all documents and other physical things which may be used by you in your case.

(4) Give the specific time when, and the specific location where, the matter occurred which is the basis for the (claim for relief) (defense) recited in your (petition) (answer).

(5) Specify all items of damage and the amount thereof to persons and property you claim in this case.

(c) ADDITIONAL INTERROGATORIES. In the interest of justice and upon good cause being shown, the court may authorize additional interrogatories upon motion or at the discovery conference.

Rule No. 136

THE DISCOVERY CONFERENCE. To expedite processing and disposition of litigation, minimize expense and conserve time, the court shall conduct a discovery conference with counsel, upon request of a party, or on the court's own motion. The request must be called to the attention of the judge but may be endorsed on any pleading or made by motion. The discovery conference shall be scheduled by the court as soon as possible.

If a discovery conference is requested in damage actions, neither depositions, other than of the parties, nor interrogatories, other than the standard opening interrogatories, shall be taken or served until after the discovery conference is held, except by agreement of the parties or order of the court.

At the discovery conference, the issues shall be identified and the possibilities of stipulations and settlement explored. There shall be an exchange of information on the issues of the case and appropriate discovery procedures determined and ordered.

Rule No. 137

WRITTEN COMMUNICATION WITH COURT. In the absence of a specific directive by the court, the original of a brief or memorandum shall be filed with the clerk of the court in the county where the matter is pending. Other communications with the judge shall be mailed or delivered to the judge

handling the matter at his chambers in the county of his residence. In the event that the court is part of a multi-county judicial district, a copy of each brief or memorandum shall be forwarded or delivered to the judge handling the matter at his chambers. In all instances where briefs or memoranda are related to a matter being submitted to the judge for ruling or decision, counsel shall notify the judge when the filings with the clerk are completed. Copies of briefs, memoranda or communications shall be forwarded to other counsel of record. This rule does not supersede the requirement of any specific statute or specific rule as to the filing of documents; and pleadings in cases shall be filed with the clerk of the district court in the county where the litigation is pending.

Rule No. 138

OPENING OF DEPOSITIONS. Depositions in pending cases which have been filed in the office of the clerk may be opened by a judge or any attorney of record in the case.

Rule No. 139

APPLICATIONS FOR SUPPORT ORDERS IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES.

(a) Applications for *ex parte* orders which include requests for temporary support shall include a statement of facts under oath in substantially the following form and shall consist of actual or estimated figures in all blanks unless the reason for omission is covered by a separate statement indicating the reason affiant cannot supply the information indicated.

(b) A copy of the *ex parte* order and of the supporting affidavit shall be served promptly on the individual to whom it is addressed.

(c) All support payments of child support or alimony, either temporary or permanent, shall be made to the clerk of the district court unless otherwise ordered by the court, or covered by local rule.

(d) No *ex parte* order for support will be issued without this required affidavit.

(e) Any defendant challenging a support order of the court or facts contained in plaintiff's affidavit shall file a similar affidavit at the time of filing his answer or motion for modification.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF _____ COUNTY, KANSAS

Plaintiff
(wife) (husband)

vs.

Defendant
(wife) (husband)

AFFIDAVIT FOR USE IN CONNECTION WITH SUPPORT ORDERS

1. Wife's name _____
First Middle Last

2. _____
Maiden

3. _____
Date of birth Social Security No.

4. Husband's name _____
First Middle Last

5. _____
Date of birth Social Security No.

6. _____
Date of marriage Number of marriage

7. _____
No. of children of marriage Wife Husband

8. Names, ages, date of birth, sex of minor children of this marriage living at home?

9. Names, ages, date of birth, sex of minor children of previous marriages (State if living at home).

12. Best interest of minor children of this marriage would be served if their custody were with _____

13. Wife is employed at _____ with income as follows:

(a) Gross wages\$_____ (attach supporting documents if available, check stubs, tax returns or other documents attached hereto).

(b) Take home pay\$_____

(c) Other income\$_____

(d) Party paid _____ weekly _____ bi-weekly _____ monthly

(e) Number of exemptions claimed _____

14. Husband is employed at _____ with income as follows:

(a) Gross wages\$_____ (attach supporting documents if available)

(b) Take home pay\$_____

(c) Other income\$_____

(d) Party paid _____ weekly _____ bi-weekly _____ monthly

(e) Number of exemptions claimed _____

15. The liquid assets of the parties available for support are:
Joint or Individual (specify)

Item	Amount	
(a) Checking accounts:		
_____	\$ _____	_____
_____	\$ _____	_____
(b) Savings accounts:		
_____	\$ _____	_____
_____	\$ _____	_____
(c) Cash:		
(plaintiff) _____	\$ _____	_____
(defendant) _____	\$ _____	_____
_____	\$ _____	_____
(d) Other:		
_____	\$ _____	_____
_____	\$ _____	_____

16. The necessary (weekly) (monthly) expenses of each party are: (Please indicate with an asterisk all figures which are estimates rather than actual figures taken from records or personal knowledge)

Item	Plaintiff (actual or estimated)	Defendant (actual or estimated)
(a) House payment, rent or mortgage	\$ _____	\$ _____
(b) Food	\$ _____	\$ _____
(c) Utilities:		
Trash service	\$ _____	\$ _____
Newspaper	\$ _____	\$ _____
Telephone	\$ _____	\$ _____
Gas	\$ _____	\$ _____
Water	\$ _____	\$ _____
Lights	\$ _____	\$ _____
Other	\$ _____	\$ _____
(d) Insurance:		
Life	\$ _____	\$ _____
Health	\$ _____	\$ _____
Car	\$ _____	\$ _____
House	\$ _____	\$ _____
Other	\$ _____	\$ _____
(e) Medical	\$ _____	\$ _____
(f) Dental	\$ _____	\$ _____
(g) Child care (babysitting)	\$ _____	\$ _____

(h)	Clothing	\$	\$
(i)	Gas and oil	\$	\$
(j)	School expenses	\$	\$
(k)	Hair cuts & beauty	\$	\$
(l)	Car repair	\$	\$
(m)	Miscellaneous	\$	\$
	(specify)	\$	\$
	\$	\$
	\$	\$
	\$	\$
	\$	\$
	Total living expense	\$	\$

18. A. Total funds available to (wife) (husband) _____ \$ _____
 (from No. 13 & 14) \$ _____ per month
 B. Total needed (from 16 & 18) \$ _____ per month
 C. Total requested court allowance \$ _____ per month
 D. Date of requested starting payment _____

Creditor	Payment	Balance	Date of Last Payment	Who should be responsible husband or wife
(plaintiff)			(defendant)	

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this _____ day of _____, 1975.

My commission expires:

Notary Public

Rule No. 140

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE PROCEDURE. (1) The pre-trial conference contemplated by K. S. A. 60-216 shall be held before a judge with court participation throughout. The pre-trial conference shall be held at least (2) weeks prior to trial.

(2) The pre-trial conference is predicated upon discovery being completed and the parties being prepared to complete the procedural steps recited herein. If additional witnesses or evidence is discovered after the pre-trial conference the discovering party shall immediately make this known to all parties and the court, in writing.

(3) Parties may be present at the pre-trial conference and shall be present when ordered by the court.

(4) The pre-trial conference will be conducted by an attorney who will participate in the trial of the case.

(5) The court may designate counsel to prepare the pre-trial order. If a reporter's transcript is ordered by the court, the cost thereof will be assessed as costs in the case. The transcript will circulate with the pre-trial order for signatures of counsel and the transcript will be filed with the pre-trial order.

(6) Should counsel object to the pre-trial order, he shall append his objections over his signature and forward his objections and the pre-trial order to the court. This shall be done as soon as possible, but in no event later than ten (10) days from date of receipt.

(7) The pre-trial conference will be conducted substantially in conformity with the following procedural steps:

(a) Plaintiff will state concisely his factual contentions and the theory of his action.

(b) Defendant will state concisely his factual contentions and the theories of his defenses and claims for relief.

(c) The court will rule upon any proposed amendments.

(d) Court and counsel will confer as to matters not disputed and request will be made for admissions and stipulations.

(e) Names and addresses of witnesses who will be called will be submitted in writing and counsel will be prepared to state the essence of their testimony.

(f) All exhibits which parties intend to use at the trial shall be shown to the court and opposing counsel and may be marked for identification and admitted into evidence.

(g) The court will rule on any motions for dismissal, judgments on pleadings, or summary judgment.

(h) Counsel will state if a jury is requested, if a jury of less than twelve (12) will be accepted, and time required for trial.

(i) A guardian ad litem will be appointed if advisable.

(j) Limitations upon the number of expert and cumulative witnesses for each side will be considered and ruled upon.

(k) The issues of fact will be stated by the court.

(l) The questions of law will be stated and the court will rule thereon.

(m) Questions of evidence will be stated and the court will rule thereon.

(n) Problems relative to jury instructions will be stated and the court will rule thereon.

(o) The position of parties relative to settlement shall be considered and the possibility of settlement explored. Authority from clients shall be obtained before the pre-trial conference.

(p) If the court authorizes the filing of briefs the time of filing shall be specified.

(q) Any procedures that may aid in the disposition of the case will be determined, including submission on special verdict or general verdict and interrogatories, consolidated or split trials, reference to a master, less than twelve (12) jurors and less than unanimous verdict.

Rule No. 161

COURTROOM DECORUM. The conduct and demeanor of attorneys when present during any court proceeding shall reflect respect for the dignity and authority of the court, and the proceedings shall be maintained as an objective search for the applicable facts and the correct principles of law. An attorney must always stand when addressed by the judge or when speaking to the judge. Unless the judge specifically prescribes otherwise, an attorney must stand when interrogating a witness and should refrain from moving about except as may be necessary for the presentation of exhibits or other assistance to the court. Except as the judge may specifically permit otherwise, only one attorney may examine or cross-examine a witness on behalf of all parties united in interest. Neither photographic nor electronic recordings shall be allowed except as permitted by Rule No. 601, Canon 3, of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

Rule No. 162

CONFLICT IN TRIAL SETTINGS IN DISTRICT COURTS. Whenever a lawyer has a conflict in trial settings and the involved district judges cannot resolve the conflict, the matter shall be referred to the departmental justice. In event the district courts are in different judicial departments, the matter shall be referred to both departmental justices.

Rule No. 163

INEFFECTIVE STIPULATIONS. A court is not required to give effect to stipulations between counsel, or oral admissions of counsel, which are not reduced to writing and signed by the counsel to be charged therewith, or which are not made a part of the record.

Rule No. 164

REQUIRED FACTUAL STATEMENTS IN DIVORCE CASES.

(a) In divorce cases, a written inventory and fact sheet or sheets shall be prepared by counsel and furnished to the court at or prior to the trial. The inventory and fact sheet shall include:

- (1) Names, dates of birth and Social Security numbers of both parties.
 - (2) Names and ages of minor children of the marriage.
 - (3) Names and ages of minor children of previous marriages and facts as to custody and support payments.
 - (4) Current income, if any, of each of the parties. If the income consists of wages and salary, state the gross amount, the amount and nature of deductions and the net per month.
 - (5) Cash and assets equivalent to cash of the parties, and ownership (joint or individual).
 - (6) Other personal property, including policies of insurance, identified as to nature or description, ownership (joint or individual) and actual or estimated value.
 - (7) Real property identified as to description, ownership (joint or individual) and actual or estimated value.
 - (8) Identification of property, if any, acquired by each of the parties prior to marriage or acquired during marriage by a will or inheritance.
 - (9) Debt obligations, including alimony, identified as to name or names of obligor or obligors and obligees, balance due and rate at which payable; and, if secured, identify the encumbered property.
- (b) In contested divorce cases, the statements shall be exchanged by counsel before trial.

Rule No. 165

REASONS FOR DECISIONS. In all contested matters submitted to a judge without a jury including motions for summary judgment, the judge shall state the controlling facts required by K. S. A. 60-252, and the legal principles controlling the decision. If evidence was admitted over proper objections, and in his reasons for the decision the judge does not state that such evidence, specifying the same with particularity, was not considered, then it shall be presumed in all subsequent proceedings that the evidence was considered by the judge and did enter into his decision.

Rule No. 166

MATTERS TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT. All civil matters taken under advisement by a district judge shall be decided with dispatch. If, however, the matter is not decided within ninety (90) days after final submission, within five (5) days thereafter, the judge shall file with the Judicial Administrator a written report setting forth the title and number of the case, the nature of the matter taken under advisement, and the reasons why a judgment, ruling or decision has not been entered. The Judicial Administrator may require supplemental reports until final disposition of the matter taken under advisement and shall furnish copies of all reports, upon their receipt, to the appropriate Departmental Justice. (Adopted pursuant to K. S. A. 60-252b.)

Rule No. 167

USE OF JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE. When a case or cases are scheduled for jury trial, a questionnaire shall be mailed to the panel to be called in substantially the following form and the completed questionnaire shall be available to counsel before trial. The questionnaire shall accompany the summons and a stamped, self-addressed envelope shall be furnished for the return of the questionnaire to the clerk.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF _____ COUNTY, KANSAS

You have been selected to serve as a juror in the District Court of your county. Please carefully read and answer the questions on this form and

return it in the enclosed addressed stamped envelope within the next two days. Your cooperation and willingness to serve as a juror is appreciated.

operation and willingness to serve as _____, Division I
Judge _____, Division II
Judge _____ (name of judge of judicial district)

(Insert names of judges of judicial district)

JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Name _____ First _____ Second (or initial) _____ Last _____ Age _____
2. Home Address _____
Residence Phone No. _____ Business Phone No. _____
3. Years of Residence: In Kansas _____ In this county _____
4. Former Residence _____
5. Marital Status: (Married, Single, Divorced or Widowed) _____
A. Number and ages of any children _____
6. If married, name and occupation of husband or wife _____
7. Your Occupation _____
A. If not self-employed, name of employer _____
8. If you are not now employed, give your last occupation and employer _____
9. Have you ever served on a jury? Yes _____ No _____
10. Have you or any member of your immediate family been a party to
any civil or criminal lawsuit? Yes _____ No _____
A. If so, what type of lawsuit was it? _____
B. When and where did it occur? _____
C. Who in your family was involved in this lawsuit? _____
11. Have you ever been convicted of the commission of a felony?
Yes _____ No _____
A. If so, state when and where this conviction took place. _____
12. If you believe you have a physical disability which would prevent you
from serving as a juror, please state what it is. _____
13. Has any court ever found you to be incompetent or incapacitated?
Yes _____ No _____
A. If your answer to this question is yes, state where and when this
took place. _____
B. If restored, give the date. _____
14. Do you drive an automobile? Yes _____ No _____
A. If answer is "no", is transportation available for you to get to court?
Yes _____ No _____
15. Are you related to or a close friend of any law enforcement officer?
Yes _____ No _____
16. Please show the extent of your formal education.
(Circle highest grade completed)
Elementary School: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 High School: 9 10 11 12
College: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
17. Have you any vocational or professional training? Yes _____
No _____
A. If so, please state what kind and to what extent: _____

I affirm that the answers I have given to the above questions are true and correct.

Signature _____

Rule No. 168

CLOSING ARGUMENTS TO JURY. Counsel for the party having the burden of proof will be permitted the first argument to the jury to be followed by the argument of counsel for any other parties. Counsel for the party having the burden of proof shall be allowed a rebuttal argument which shall be restricted to a rebuttal of new matter brought up in the argument of opposing counsel. Otherwise, arguments shall be closed. If, after counsel for the party having the burden of proof has made argument to the jury, opposing counsel waives argument, argument shall be closed.

If the plaintiff has the burden of proof on any issue he shall have the first argument.

Rule No. 169

POST-TRIAL COMMUNICATIONS WITH JURORS. Upon completion of the jury trial and before discharge of the jury, the court shall give the substance of the following instruction:

You have now completed your duties as jurors in this case and are discharged with the thanks of the court. The question may arise whether you may discuss this case with the lawyers who presented it to you. For your guidance the court instructs you that whether you talk to anyone is entirely your own decision. It is proper for the attorneys to discuss the case with you and you may talk with them, but you need not. If you talk to them you may tell them as much or as little as you like about your deliberations or the facts that influenced your decision. If an attorney persists in discussing the case over your objections, or becomes critical of your service either before or after any discussion has begun, please report it to me.

(NOTE: See Supreme Court Rule No. 501, D. R. 7-108 (d).)

Rule No. 170

JOURNAL ENTRIES AND ORDERS. (a) In all cases where the judge directs that the form of the judgment is to be settled by journal entry or other document pursuant to K. S. A. 60-258 (b) it shall be prepared in accordance with the directions of the judge and counsel preparing the journal entry or other document shall, within ten (10) days, unless another time is specifically directed by the judge, serve copies thereof on all other counsel involved who shall, within ten (10) days after service is made, serve on the counsel preparing said journal entry or other document any objections in writing. At the expiration of the time for serving objections, counsel preparing said journal entry or other documents shall submit the original, together with any objections received, to the judge for approval or settlement of objections and filing with the clerk.

(b) Orders or other documents containing rulings of the judge other than judgments shall be prepared in accordance with the directions of the judge.

Rule No. 181

POST-TRIAL CALLING OF JURORS. Jurors will not be called for post-trial inquiry relating to misconduct without motion and hearing held to determine whether all or any of the jurors should be called. If jurors are called, informal means other than subpoena should be utilized.

Rule No. 182

WITHDRAWAL AND DISPOSITION OF EXHIBITS. Except as otherwise provided by law, exhibits introduced in an action may be withdrawn at any time by court order and counsel withdrawing an exhibit shall have it available for trial or appeal. After final determination of an action (including expiration of time of appeal) exhibits may be withdrawn by the introducing party without court order. Exhibits not withdrawn within ninety (90) days after final determination may be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as the court directs.

Rule No. 183

PROCEDURE UNDER K. S. A. 60-1507.

(a) **NATURE OF REMEDY.** Section 60-1507 is intended to provide in a sentencing court a remedy exactly commensurate with that which had previously been available by habeas corpus in district courts in whose jurisdiction the prisoner was confined. A motion challenging the validity of a sentence is an independent civil action which should be separately docketed, and the procedure before the trial court and on appeal to the Supreme Court is governed by the Rules of Civil Procedure insofar as applicable. No cost deposit shall be required. When the motion is received and filed by the clerk, he shall forthwith deliver a copy thereof to the county attorney and make an entry of such fact in the appearance docket.

(b) **EXCLUSIVENESS OF REMEDY.** The remedy afforded by section 60-1507 dealing with motions to vacate, set aside or correct sentences is exclusive, if adequate and effective, and a prisoner cannot maintain habeas corpus proceedings before or after a motion for relief under the section.

(c) **WHEN REMEDY MAY BE INVOKED.** (1) The provisions of section 60-1507 may be invoked only by one in custody claiming the right to be released, (2) a motion to vacate, set aside or correct a sentence cannot be maintained while an appeal from the conviction and sentence is pending or during the time within which an appeal may be perfected, (3) a proceeding under section 60-1507 cannot ordinarily be used as a substitute for direct appeal involving mere trial errors or as a substitute for a second appeal. Mere trial errors are to be corrected by direct appeal, but trial errors affecting constitutional rights may be raised even though the error could have been raised on appeal, provided there were exceptional circumstances excusing the failure to appeal.

(d) **SUCCESSIVE MOTIONS.** The sentencing court shall not entertain a second or successive motion for relief on behalf of the same prisoner, where (1) the same ground presented in the subsequent application was determined adversely to the applicant on the prior application, (2) the prior determination was on the merits, and (3) the ends of justice would not be served by reaching the merits of the subsequent application.

(e) **SUFFICIENCY OF MOTION.** A motion to vacate a sentence must be submitted on a form substantially in compliance with the form appended hereto which shall be furnished by the court.

(f) **HEARING.** Unless the motion and the files and records of the case conclusively show that the movant is entitled to no relief, the court shall notify the county attorney and grant a prompt hearing. "Prompt" means as soon as reasonably possible considering other urgent business of the court. All proceedings on the motion shall be recorded by the official court reporter.

(g) **BURDEN OF PROOF.** The movant has the burden of establishing his grounds for relief by a preponderance of the evidence.

(h) **PRESENCE OF PRISONER.** The prisoner should be produced at the hearing on a motion attacking a sentence where there are substantial issues of fact as to events in which he participated. The sentencing court has discretion to ascertain whether the claim is substantial before granting a full evidentiary hearing and requiring the prisoner to be present.

(i) **RIGHT TO COUNCIL.** If a motion presents substantial questions of law or triable issues of fact the court shall appoint counsel to assist the movant if he is an indigent person.

(j) **JUDGEMENT.** The court shall make findings of fact and conclusions of law on all issues presented.

(k) **APPEAL.** An appeal may be taken to the Supreme Court from the order entered on the motion as in a civil case.

(l) **COSTS.** If the court finds that a movant desiring to appeal is an indigent person it shall authorize an appeal in forma pauperis and furnish him without cost such portions of the transcript of such proceeding as are necessary for appellate review.

(m) **ATTORNEY.** If a movant desires to appeal and contends he is without means to employ counsel to perfect the appeal, the district court shall, if satisfied that the movant is an indigent person, appoint competent counsel to conduct such appeal. If for good cause shown appointed

counsel is permitted to withdraw while the case is pending in either the district court or the supreme court, the district court shall appoint new counsel in his stead.

APPENDIX

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF _____ COUNTY, STATE OF KANSAS

PERSONS IN CUSTODY

Full name of Movant _____

Prison Number _____

vs.

Case No. _____
(To be supplied by
the clerk of the Dis-
trict Court)

STATE OF KANSAS, *Respondent*

INSTRUCTIONS—READ CAREFULLY

In order for this motion to receive consideration by the District Court, it shall be in writing (legibly handwritten or typewritten), signed by the petitioner and verified (notarized), and it shall set forth in concise form the answers to each applicable question. If necessary, petitioner may finish his answer to a particular question on the reverse side of the page or on an additional blank page. Petitioner shall make it clear to which question any such continued answer refers.

Since every motion must be sworn to under oath, any false statement of a material fact therein may serve as the basis of prosecution and conviction for perjury. Petitioners should therefore exercise care to assure that all answers are true and correct.

If the motion is taken in *forma pauperis*, it shall include an affidavit (attached at the back of the form) setting forth information which establishes that petitioner will be unable to pay costs of the proceedings. When the motion is completed, *the original and one copy* shall be mailed to the Clerk of the District Court from which he was sentenced.

MOTION

1. Place of detention _____
2. Name and location of court which imposed sentence _____
3. The case number and the offense or offenses for which sentence was imposed:
 - (a) _____
 - (b) _____
 - (c) _____
4. The date upon which sentence was imposed and the terms of the sentence:
 - (a) _____
 - (b) _____
 - (c) _____
5. Check whether a finding of guilty was made after a plea:
 - (a) of guilty _____; or
 - (b) of not guilty _____.
6. If you were found guilty after a plea of not guilty, check whether that finding was made by
 - (a) a jury _____; or

- (b) a judge without a jury _____.
7. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction or the imposition of sentence? _____
8. If you answered "yes" to (7), list
 (a) the name of each court to which you appealed: _____
 i. _____
 ii. _____
- (b) the result in each such court to which you appealed and the date of such result: _____
 i. _____
 ii. _____
9. If you answered "no" to (7), state your reasons for not so appealing:
 (a) _____

 (b) _____

 (c) _____

10. State concisely all the grounds on which you base your allegation that you are being held in custody unlawfully:
 (a) _____

 (b) _____

 (c) _____

11. State concisely and in the same order the facts which support each of the grounds set out in (10), and the names and addresses of the witnesses or other evidence upon which you intend to rely to prove such facts:
 (a) _____

 (b) _____

 (c) _____

12. Prior to this motion have you filed with respect to this conviction?
 (a) any petitions in state or federal courts for habeas corpus? _____
 (b) any petitions in the United States Supreme Court for certiorari other than petitions, already specified in (8)? _____
 (c) any other petitions, motions or applications in this or any other court? _____
13. If you answered "yes" to any part of (12), list with respect to each petition, motion or application
 (a) the specific nature thereof: _____
 i. _____
 ii. _____
 iii. _____
- (b) the name and location of the court in which each was filed: _____
 i. _____
 ii. _____
 iii. _____
- (c) the disposition thereof and the date of such disposition: _____
 i. _____
 ii. _____
 iii. _____

(d) if known, citations of any written opinions or orders entered pursuant to each such disposition:

- i. _____
- ii. _____
- iii. _____
- iv. _____

14. Has any ground set forth in (10) been previously presented to this or any other court, *state or federal*, in any petition, motion or application which you have filed? _____

15. If you answered "yes" to (14), identify

(a) which grounds have been previously presented:

- i. _____
- ii. _____
- iii. _____

(b) the proceedings in which each ground was raised:

- i. _____
- ii. _____
- iii. _____

16. If any ground set forth in (10) has not previously been presented to any court, *state or federal*, set forth the ground and state concisely the reasons why such ground has not previously been presented:

(a) _____

(b) _____

(c) _____

17. Were you represented by an attorney at any time during the course of

(a) your preliminary hearing? _____

(b) your arraignment and plea? _____

(c) your trial, if any? _____

(d) your sentencing? _____

(e) your appeal, if any, from the judgment of conviction or the imposition of sentence? _____

(f) preparation, presentation or consideration of any petitions, motions or applications with respect to this conviction, which you filed? _____

18. If you answered "yes" to one or more parts of (17), list

(a) the name and address of each attorney who represented you:

i. _____

ii. _____

iii. _____

(b) the proceedings at which each such attorney represented you:

i. _____

ii. _____

iii. _____

(c) was said attorney

i. appointed by the court? _____; or

ii. of your own choosing? _____

19. If your motion is based upon the trial court's refusing you counsel, attach the transcript of the proceedings which supports your allegation.

20. If your motion is based upon the failure of counsel to adequately represent you, state concisely and in detail what counsel failed to do in representing your interests:

(a) _____

(b) _____

21. Are you now serving a sentence from any other court that you have not challenged? _____

22. If you are seeking leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, have you completed the sworn affidavit setting forth the required information (see instructions, page 1 of this form)? _____

Signature of Petitioner

STATE OF _____

COUNTY OF _____

} ss.

I, _____, being duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say that I have subscribed to the foregoing petition; that I know the contents thereof; and that the matters and allegations therein set forth are true.

Signature of Affiant

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this _____ day of _____, 19____.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

(month)

(day)

(year)

FORMA PAUPERIS AFFIDAVIT
(See instructions page 1 of this form)

Signature of Petitioner

STATE OF _____

COUNTY OF _____

} ss.

I, _____, being first duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say that I have subscribed to the foregoing affidavit; that I know the contents thereof; and that the matters therein set forth are true.

Signature of Affiant

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this _____ day of _____, 19____.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

(month)

(day)

(year)

Rule No. 184

ANNULMENT OF CONVICTION AND EXPUNGEMENT OF RECORD PROCEDURE.
The court may permit a defendant to withdraw his plea of guilty or the court may set aside the verdict of guilty as provided by K. S. A. 21-4616 or may permit a defendant to have his record expunged as provided by K. S. A. 21-4617.

The following procedure will be adhered to on either application for relief:
Defendant shall file a written motion in the criminal case and make service of the motion upon the prosecution in accordance with K. S. A. 60-205.

The motion shall be presented to the sentencing court and the court may request the probation officer to make an investigation and report to the court within 30 days, with copies furnished to the prosecution and the defendant.

The motion will be set for hearing by the court upon notice to the parties.

The order granting relief will be filed with the criminal case and the clerk shall be authorized to make the necessary notation in the criminal docket book that said conviction has been annulled or the record expunged by order of the court.

The clerk will furnish a certified copy of the order annulling the conviction or expunging the record to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Kansas Bureau of Investigation, the Secretary of Corrections, and any local law enforcement agencies who may have a record of conviction.

Statistical Summary of Supreme Court Year Ending June 30, 1975

Rule No. 14 (1)

Pursuant to Rule No. 14 (1), the following statistical report relating to appeals to the Supreme Court and to original cases filed in the Supreme Court for the year ending June 30, 1975, is submitted.

SUMMARY OF CASES APPEALED TO SUPREME COURT

	Appeals pending 7-1-74	Appeals commenced during FY	Appeals docketed during FY	Appeals terminated during FY	Appeals pending 7-1-75
District court	420	630		211	494
Supreme court	223	...	345	330	238
Totals	643	630	345	541	732

SUMMARY OF SUPREME COURT DOCKET

	Pending 7-1-74	Commenced	Terminated	Pending 7-1-75
Civil appeals	138	223	213	148
Criminal appeals	77	122	119	80
Original cases	7	23	20	10
Totals	222	368	352	238

The preceding summary does not include 29 criminal and civil appeals specially docketed under Rules 5, 6 (i) and 17 (in which disposition of a case was not made or in which the case was not regularly docketed by filing the record on appeal). (See page 5, *infra*.)

YEARLY COMPARISONS OF SUPREME COURT ACTIVITY

	7-1-72	7-1-73	7-1-74	7-1-75
Civil appeals docketed	245	233	215	223
Criminal appeals	84	63	98	122
Original cases	39	45	29	23
Totals	368	341	342	368
Civil appeals terminated	236	289	265	213
Criminal appeals terminated	96	81	66	119
Original cases terminated	41	50	29	20
Totals	373	420	360	352
Civil appeals left pending	249	178	138	148
Criminal appeals left pending	60	45	77	80
Original cases left pending	12	7	7	10
Totals	321	230	222	238

SUMMARY OF DISPOSITIONS

Year Ending June 30, 1975

Type of termination	Civil and criminal appeals						
	Work comp.	60-1507	Other civil	All civil	Direct crim.	Total	% of Total
Dismissed	2	2	27	31	14	45	13.6
Affirmed	6	19	94	119	83	202	60.8
Reversed	2	2	51	55	19	74	22.3
Affirmed in part	.	.	8	8	3	11	3.3
Reversed in part
Totals	10	23	180	213	119	332	100%

In the 20 original cases terminated, the following dispositions were made:

Disciplinary cases		
Dismissed	2	
Petitioner	1	
Disbarment	3	
Suspension	2	
Public censure	1	
Private censure	1	
Total	10	10
Habeas Corpus		
Granted	0	
Denied	3	3
Mandamas		
Dismissed (parties)	2	
Dismissed (court)	3	5
Other		
Dismissed (writ of prohibition)	1	
Petitioner (disability retirement for court reporter)	1	2
Total	20	20

The following schedule reflects type and disposition of applications for intermediate relief:

Rule No. 5 Applications (civil interlocutory)		
Granted	0	16
Denied	15	
Withdrawn	1	
Additional Rule No. 6 (j) Applications		
Granted	1	10
Denied	9	
Rule No. 15 Applications (criminal—release after conviction)		
Granted	1	3
Denied	2	
Rule No. 17 Applications (criminal interlocutory)		
Granted	1	3
Denied	2	

APPEALS TERMINATED BY FORMAL OPINION

Following is a time study based on the 290 formal opinions disposing of criminal and civil appeals during fiscal year 1975. Comparison is made with

statistics of the last three previous years showing the average length of time in months required to dispose of cases in the various categories. The readiness date as used in the study is the date of filing of the appellee's brief. In a few cases in which the brief of the appellee was not filed, the readiness date is computed as of the date the brief was due. The time of docketing these appeals is computed as of the date the record on appeal was filed, which is the normal docketing date, notwithstanding the previous special docketing of some cases. The submission date is the date the case is argued before the Supreme Court.

TIME STUDY No. 1

ALL CIVIL AND CRIMINAL APPEALS TERMINATED BY FORMAL OPINION
Year Ending June 30, 1975

Number of appeals study based on	Workmen's Comp. [8]	60-1507 [21]	Other Civil [156]	All Civil [185]	Direct Criminal [105]
Average time elapsed from notice of appeal to docketing	4.5 mos. (4.7 '74) (4.2 '73) (2.9 '72) (4.9 '71)	8.0 mos. (6.8 '74) (6.7 '73) (8.0 '72) (5.8 '71)	5.7 mos. (5.7 '74) (5.4 '73) (5.1 '72) (5.4 '71)	5.9 mos. (5.7 '74) (5.5 '73) (5.3 '72) (5.5 '71)	8.4 mos. (9.7 '74) (8.0 '73)
Average time elapsed from docketing to readiness	3.6 mos. (4.7 '74) (4.4 '73) (4.1 '72) (2.8 '71)	3.0 mos. (4.3 '74) (4.5 '73) (3.6 '72) (4.9 '71)	4.1 mos. (4.2 '74) (4.4 '73) (4.4 '72) (3.8 '71)	4.0 mos. (4.4 '74) (4.4 '73) (4.3 '72) (3.9 '71)	4.5 mos. (5.3 '74) (5.4 '73) (12.3 '72) (11.4 '71)
Average time elapsed from readiness to decision	4.3 mos. (5.8 '74) (5.5 '73) (4.4 '72) (3.9 '71)	5.5 mos. (5.8 '74) (4.5 '73) (4.9 '72) (4.4 '71)	5.0 mos. (6.1 '74) (8.7 '73) (11.9 '72) (10.6 '71)	5.0 mos. (5.9 '74) (8.0 '73) (11.2 '72) (9.4 '71)	4.7 mos. (4.0 '74) (4.1 '73) (3.9 '72) (4.7 '71)
Average time elapsed from docketing to decision	7.9 mos. (10.5 '74) (9.9 '73) (8.6 '72) (6.7 '71)	8.5 mos. (10.1 '74) (9.0 '73) (8.5 '72) (9.4 '71)	9.1 mos. (10.2 '74) (13.1 '73) (16.3 '72) (14.4 '71)	9.0 mos. (10.3 '74) (12.4 '73) (15.5 '72) (13.3 '71)	9.2 mos. (9.2 '74) (9.5 '73) (16.2 '72) (16.1 '71)
Average time elapsed from notice of appeal to decision	12.3 mos. (15.2 '74) (14.1 '73) (11.4 '72) (11.7 '71)	16.5 mos. (17.0 '74) (15.6 '73) (16.6 '72) (15.2 '71)	14.8 mos. (16.1 '74) (18.5 '73) (21.4 '72) (19.8 '71)	14.9 mos. (15.9 '74) (17.9 '73) (20.8 '72) (18.8 '71)	17.6 mos. (19.0 '74) (17.3 '73) (19.8 '72) (17.5 '71)
Average time elapsed from docketing to submission	6.5 mos. (7.6 '74) (8.3 '73)	6.9 mos. (8.7 '74) (8.0 '73)	7.8 mos. (8.9 '74) (11.4 '73)	7.6 mos. (8.4 '74) (10.8 '73)	7.8 mos. (7.9 '74) (8.1 '73)

The Summary Docket

During fiscal year 1975, 33 cases were heard through the summary docket procedures. Included were 23 civil appeals representing 12.4% of all civil cases terminated by formal opinion and 10 criminal cases (9.5%).

Summary of Civil Appeals Pending in Supreme Court

On June 30, 1975, there were 148 civil appeals pending on the Supreme Court docket. They are classified as follows:

Civil cases submitted in June	11
Cases not submitted or set	137
Total	148

The status of the pending civil cases not submitted or set for hearing is as follows:

Cases ready	67
Appellant ready only	32
No brief either side	38
Total	137

The average length of time that all civil cases were pending on the docket as of June 30, 1975, is as follows:

From notice of appeal	10.7 months
From date of docketing	4.5 months

The age of 137 civil appeals not submitted as of June 30, 1975, is as follows:

	From Docketing	From Notice of Appeal
Number pending up to 6 months	107 (94 '74) (105 '73)	32 (33 '74) (58 '73)
Number pending 6 to 12 months	30 (8 '74) (45 '73)	68 (49 '74) (51 '73)
Number pending over 12 months	0 (1 '74) (2 '73)	37 (21 '74) (43 '73)
Total pending not submitted	137 (103 '74) (152 '73)	137 (103 '74) (152 '73)

Summary of Criminal Appeals Pending in Supreme Court

On June 30, 1975, there were 80 criminal appeals pending in the Supreme Court. They are classified as follows:

Criminal cases submitted in June	19
Not submitted or set	61
Total	80

Of the 61 cases not submitted or set, 19 were ready, 21 had the appellant's brief filed, and in 21 cases only the record on appeal had been filed.

The age of the criminal appeals not submitted as of June 30, 1975, is as follows:

	From Docketing	From Notice of Appeal
Number pending up to 6 months	57	11
Number pending 6 to 12 months	4	30
Number pending over 12 months	0	20
Total pending not submitted	61	61

The average length of time of the 80 criminal cases pending from notice of appeal is 12.1 months and from docketing is 4.5 months.

Summary of Appeals Reported by District Courts

Appeals pending in district courts fiscal year 1974	420
Notices of appeal reported during fiscal year 1975	630
Appeals docketed in Supreme Court	345
Appeals dismissed/abandoned in district courts	211

Appeals pending in district courts fiscal year 1975 494

The four urban counties of the state reported the most appeals:

County	Number Reported	Percentage of Total Reported
Sedgwick	182	28.9
Wyandotte	94	14.9
Shawnee	53	8.4
Johnson	59	9.4
Totals	388	61.6

Breakdown of criminal and civil appeals reported for the four major urban counties:

County	Civil Number Reported	Percent of Total *	Criminal Number Reported	Percent of Total *
Sedgwick	88	20.6	94	46.5
Wyandotte	62	14.5	32	15.8
Johnson	48	11.2	11	5.4
Shawnee	37	8.6	16	7.9
Totals	235	54.9%	153	75.7%

* (428 civil notices of appeal; 202 criminal notices of appeal.)

Of the 345 civil and criminal appeals docketed in the Supreme Court during fiscal year 1975, the four urban counties accounted for the following:

County	Number Docketed	Percentage of Total Docketed	Percentage of Total Docketed *
Sedgwick	83	24.1	33.6
Wyandotte	42	12.2	13.1
Shawnee	37	10.7	13.1
Johnson	26	7.5	7.4
Totals	188	54.5%	67.2

County	Civil	Percentage of Total Docketed *	Criminal	Percentage of Total Docketed *
Sedgwick	42	18.8	41	33.6
Wyandotte	28	12.6	16	13.1
Shawnee	21	9.4	16	13.1
Johnson	17	7.6	9	7.4
Totals	108	48.4	82	67.2

* (Based on 223 civil cases and 122 criminal cases.)

SUPREME COURT—FORTY-EIGHTH YEAR SUMMARY

Year ended June 30	Cases	Disposed of	Dismissed	Submitted
1928.....	Appealed, civil.....	529	143	386
	Appealed, criminal.....	101	44	57
	Original.....	46	13	33
	Totals.....	676	200	476
1929.....	Appealed, civil.....	475	128	347
	Appealed, criminal.....	72	29	43
	Original.....	36	18	18
	Totals.....	583	175	408
1930.....	Appealed, civil.....	504	143	351
	Appealed, criminal.....	77	37	40
	Original.....	52	16	36
	Totals.....	633	196	437
1931.....	Appealed, civil.....	490	131	359
	Appealed, criminal.....	63	29	34
	Original.....	38	13	25
	Totals.....	591	173	418
1932.....	Appealed, civil.....	522	159	363
	Appealed, criminal.....	74	45	29
	Original.....	32	6	26
	Totals.....	628	210	418
1933.....	Appealed, civil.....	459	135	324
	Appealed, criminal.....	66	35	31
	Original.....	23	5	18
	Totals.....	548	175	373
1934.....	Appealed, civil.....	427	149	278
	Appealed, criminal.....	52	30	22
	Original.....	42	11	31
	Totals.....	521	190	331
1935.....	Appealed, civil.....	506	167	339
	Appealed, criminal.....	58	26	32
	Original.....	25	11	14
	Totals.....	589	204	385
1936.....	Appealed, civil.....	475	156	319
	Appealed, criminal.....	66	31	35
	Original.....	39	19	20
	Totals.....	580	206	374
1937.....	Appealed, civil.....	397	103	294
	Appealed, criminal.....	56	27	29
	Original.....	33	9	24
	Totals.....	486	139	347
1938.....	Appealed, civil.....	388	131	257
	Appealed, criminal.....	41	25	16
	Original.....	32	6	26
	Totals.....	461	162	299
1939.....	Appealed, civil.....	397	114	283
	Appealed, criminal.....	32	17	15
	Original.....	15	4	11
	Totals.....	444	135	309
1940.....	Appealed, civil.....	426	117	309
	Appealed, criminal.....	31	10	21
	Original.....	39	20	19
	Totals.....	496	147	349

SUPREME COURT SUMMARY—CONTINUED

Year ended June 30	Cases	Disposed of	Dismissed	Submitted
1941.....	Appealed, civil.....	314	103	211
	Appealed, criminal.....	31	14	17
	Original.....	64	39	25
	Totals.....	409	156	253
1942.....	Appealed, civil.....	293	82	211
	Appealed, criminal.....	23	4	19
	Original.....	27	6	21
	Totals.....	343	92	251
1943.....	Appealed, civil.....	290	72	218
	Appealed, criminal.....	28	14	14
	Original.....	35	17	18
	Totals.....	353	103	250
1944.....	Appealed, civil.....	216	59	157
	Appealed, criminal.....	77	7	10
	Original.....	16	5	11
	Totals.....	249	71	178
1945.....	Appealed, civil.....	186	51	135
	Appealed, criminal.....	9	8	1
	Original.....	15	6	9
	Totals.....	210	65	145
1946.....	Appealed, civil.....	178	44	134
	Appealed, criminal.....	19	6	13
	Original.....	43	15	28
	Totals.....	240	65	175
1947.....	Appealed, civil.....	189	55	134
	Appealed, criminal.....	13	4	9
	Original.....	59	19	40
	Totals.....	261	78	183
1948.....	Appealed, civil.....	244	63	181
	Appealed, criminal.....	23	8	15
	Original.....	93	73	20
	Totals.....	360	144	216
1949.....	Appealed, civil.....	284	54	230
	Appealed, criminal.....	22	8	14
	Original.....	96	31	65
	Totals.....	402	93	309
1950.....	Appealed, civil.....	304	91	213
	Appealed, criminal.....	17	4	13
	Original.....	19	8	11
	Totals.....	340	103	237
1951.....	Appealed, civil.....	327	83	244
	Appealed, criminal.....	22	8	14
	Original.....	26	18	8
	Totals.....	375	109	266
1952.....	Appealed, civil.....	244	57	187
	Appealed, criminal.....	42	17	25
	Original.....	10	3	7
	Totals.....	296	77	219
1953.....	Appealed, civil.....	291	96	195
	Appealed, criminal.....	43	13	30
	Original.....	33	11	22
	Totals.....	367	120	247

SUPREME COURT SUMMARY—CONTINUED

Year ended June 30	Cases	Disposed of	Dismissed	Submitted
1954.....	Appealed, civil.....	280	91	189
	Appealed, criminal.....	48	26	22
	Original.....	32	12	20
	Totals.....	360	129	231
1955.....	Appealed, civil.....	306	114	192
	Appealed, criminal.....	19	6	13
	Original.....	20	5	15
	Totals.....	345	125	220
1956.....	Appealed, civil.....	318	120	198
	Appealed, criminal.....	31	8	23
	Original.....	29	8	21
	Totals.....	378	136	242
1957.....	Appealed, civil.....	295	112	183
	Appealed, criminal.....	24	7	17
	Original.....	27	7	20
	Totals.....	346	126	220
1958.....	Appealed, civil.....	388	172	216
	Appealed, criminal.....	23	9	14
	Original.....	17	4	13
	Totals.....	428	185	243
1959.....	Appealed, civil.....	320	138	182
	Appealed, criminal.....	24	7	17
	Original.....	41	10	31
	Totals.....	385	155	230
1960.....	Appealed, civil.....	371	142	229
	Appealed, criminal.....	43	28	15
	Original.....	39	8	31
	Totals.....	453	178	275
1961.....	Appealed, civil.....	366	171	195
	Appealed, criminal.....	57	31	26
	Original.....	37	9	28
	Totals.....	460	211	249
1962.....	Appealed, civil.....	418	216	202
	Appealed, criminal.....	42	24	18
	Original.....	53	15	38
	Totals.....	513	255	258
1963.....	Appealed, civil.....	391	196	195
	Appealed, criminal.....	82	41	41
	Original.....	33	11	22
	Totals.....	506	248	258
1964.....	Appealed, civil.....	338	150	188
	Appealed, criminal.....	47	20	27
	Original.....	28	13	15
	Totals.....	413	183	230
1965.....	Appealed, civil.....	227	40	187
	Appealed, criminal.....	65	20	45
	Original.....	36	5	31
	Totals.....	328	65	263
1966.....	Appealed, civil.....	224	29	195
	Appealed, criminal.....	60	26	34
	Original.....	44	6	38
	Totals.....	328	61	267

SUPREME COURT SUMMARY—CONCLUDED

Year ended June 30	Cases	Disposed of	Dismissed	Submitted
1967.....	Appealed, civil.....	198	25	173
	Appealed, criminal.....	88	27	59
	Original.....	52	6	46
	Totals.....	336	58	278
1968.....	Appealed, civil.....	201	15	186
	Appealed, criminal.....	77	20	57
	Original.....	41	12	29
	Totals.....	319	47	272
1969..	Appealed, civil.....	181	14	167
	Appealed, criminal.....	79	16	63
	Original.....	38	7	31
	Totals.....	298	37	261
1970.....	Appealed, civil.....	214	30	184
	Appealed, criminal.....	80	18	62
	Original.....	51	20	31
	Totals.....	345	68	277
1971.....	Appealed, civil.....	192	22	170
	Appealed, criminal.....	85	16	69
	Original.....	44	8	36
	Totals.....	321	46	275
1972.....	Appealed, civil.....	236	34	202
	Appealed, criminal.....	96	24	72
	Original.....	41	17	24
	Totals.....	373	75	298
1973.....	Appealed, civil.....	289	34	255
	Appealed, criminal.....	81	4	77
	Original.....	50	7	43
	Totals.....	420	45	375
1974.....	Appealed, civil.....	265	30	235
	Appealed, criminal.....	70	4	66
	Original.....	29	9	20
	Totals.....	364	43	321
1975.....	Appealed, civil.....	213	28	185
	Appealed, criminal.....	119	14	105
	Original.....	20	8	12
	Totals.....	352	50	302
	Grand totals.....	19,802	6,114	13,698

TABLE A-1.—ROSTER OF JUDICIAL OFFICIALS AS OF JULY 1, 1975

COUNTY	Judicial Dist.		District Judge	Clerk of Court	Probate Judge
Allen	Div. 1	4	Floyd H. Coffman	Jeanne Smith	Leslie L. Norton
	Div. 2		Robert F. Stadler		
Anderson	Div. 1	4	Floyd H. Coffman	Roberta Bowman	Lawrence Selanders
	Div. 2		Robert F. Stadler		
Atchison	Div. 1	1	Kenneth Harmon	Mary Lou Underwood	Dolan McKelvy
	Div. 2		J. W. Lowry		
Barber	Div. 1	19	Doyle E. White	Donna Garten	H. Lynn Randels
	Div. 2		Charles H. Stewart		
Barton	Div. 1	20	Frederick Woleslagel	Irene Horner	William J. Laughlin
	Div. 2		Herb Rohleder		
Bourbon		6	Charles M. Warren	Betty O'Dell	Samuel I. Mason
Brown		22	William L. Stevenson	Mildred Davis	Dee Henry
Butler	Div. 1	13	J. Patrick Brazil	Virginia Elmore	John Michael Jaworsky
	Div. 2		Page W. Benson		
Chase		5	R. E. Miller	Virgene E. Gaines	George L. Imthurn
Chautauqua	Div. 1	13	J. Patrick Brazil	Linda Griffin	Carrol Burch
	Div. 2		Page W. Benson		
Cherokee	Div. 1	11	Don Musser	Nina Coldiron	B. J. LaTurner
	Div. 2		William P. Meek		
	Div. 3		Hal Hyler		
	Div. 4		George W. Donaldson		
Cheyenne		17	Marvin W. Meyer	Ena Zibbelman	Roy Randall
Clark		16	Robert M. Baker	Betty A. Wyatt	Glenn E. Redfield
Clay		21	Ronald D. Innes	Lucille Morrison	Chester Kent
Cloud		12	Richard W. Wahl	Marguerite Larson	Marvin L. Stortz
Coffey	Div. 1	4	Floyd H. Coffman	Audrey Hegg	George Palmer
	Div. 2		Robert F. Stadler		
Comanche		16	Robert M. Baker	Ellen M. Erwin	Ralph R. Klepinger
Cowley	Div. 1	19	Doyle E. White	Joy Detwiler	David Lord
	Div. 2		Chas. H. Stewart		
Crawford	Div. 1	11	Don Musser	Janice Caruthers	Richard D. Loffswold
	Div. 2		William P. Meek		
	Div. 3		Hal Hyler		
	Div. 4		George W. Donaldson		
Decatur		17	Marvin W. Meyer	Alice J. Vernon	Elmer J. Tacha
Dickinson	Div. 1	8	John M. Rugh	Roberta Sleichter	Tom Nold
	Div. 2		William D. Clement		
Doniphan		22	William L. Stevenson	Alice F. Crane	Virgil W. Begesse
Douglas	Div. 1	7	Frank R. Gray	Sherlyn Sampson	Mike Elwell
	Div. 2		James W. Paddock		
Edwards		24	C. Phillip Aldrich	Joan Parnell	Richard Miller
Elk	Div. 1	13	J. Patrick Brazil	Nadine Fickle	Edith M. Barkley
	Div. 2		Page W. Benson		
Ellis	Div. 1	23	Steven P. Flood	W. J. Billinger	Tom Scott
	Div. 2		Frederick Woleslagel		
Ellsworth	Div. 1	20	Herb Rohleder	Helen Katzenmeier	Clarence Kahler
	Div. 2		Bert J. Vance		
Finney		25	Robert M. Baker	Rose Murray	Donald E. Farr
Ford		16	Floyd H. Coffman	Beatrice Slattery	Camilla Haviland
Franklin	Div. 1	4	Robert F. Stadler	Ruby Sanford	H. Michael Nichols
	Div. 2				
Geary	Div. 1	8	John M. Rugh	Lillian Newman	William C. O'Keefe
	Div. 2		William D. Clement		
Gove		23	Steven P. Flood	Mabel Fagan	Bill Packard
Graham		15	C. E. Birney	Margaret A. Hildebrand	Henry C. Albertson
Grant		26	Keaton C. Duckworth	Edna Walker	Herbert Noyes
Gray		16	Robert M. Baker	Marie Babcock	Maurice L. Johnson
Greeley		25	Bert J. Vance	Margaret L. Pile	C. Ann Kennis
Greenwood	Div. 1	13	J. Patrick Brazil	Eleanor Jacoby	Harriet Shumard
	Div. 2		Page W. Benson		
Hamilton		25	Bert J. Vance	Helen Helm	J. Cecil Wood
Harper	Div. 1	19	Doyle E. White	Olive L. Ghormley	John Moore
	Div. 2		Chas. H. Stewart		
Harvey		9	Sam H. Sturm	Joe Fox	Bernard Peterson
Haskell		26	Keaton C. Duckworth	Georgia McNabb	David G. Rinehart
Hodgeman		24	C. Phillip Aldrich	Agnes Gleason	Francis Sinclair
Jackson		2	John W. Brookens	Paul Shelby	Wesley Darrow
Jefferson		2	John W. Brookens	Mary Schiller	Dennis Lee Reiling
Jewell		12	Richard W. Wahl	Eva Meyers	Jack D. Bradrick

TABLE A-1.—CONTINUED. Roster of Judicial Officials as of July 1, 1975

COUNTY	Judicial Dist.	District Judge	Clerk of Court	Probate Judge
Johnson	Div. 1 Div. 2 Div. 3 Div. 4 Div. 5 Div. 6	10 Herbert W. Walton Harold L. Hammond Buford L. Shankel Harold R. Riggs Phillip L. Woodworth Lewis C. Smith	Hazel J. Mueller	Benjamin F. Farney
Kearny	Div. 1	25 Bert J. Vance	Elizabeth Williams	Ella V. Neff
Kingman	Div. 1 Div. 2	19 Doyle E. White Chas. H. Stewart	Janis McIlrath	Gene Shay
Kiowa	Div. 1	16 Robert M. Baker	Billie Huckriede	Pauline Schwarm
Labette	Div. 1 Div. 2 Div. 3 Div. 4	11 Don Musser William P. Meek Hal Hyler George W. Donaldson	Virginia Beaty	Charles Sell
Lane	Div. 1	24 C. Phillip Aldrich	Ella E. Lawrence	Dayton Schmalzried
Leavenworth	Div. 2	1 Kenneth Harmon J. W. Lowry	Mary Kate Gausz	John L. White
Lincoln	Div. 1	12 Richard W. Wahl	Jennie Panzer	Richard S. Fern, Sr.
Linn	Div. 1	6 Charles M. Warren	Ann Stuart	Deward Austin
Logan	Div. 1	23 Steven P. Flood	H. Belle Selley	Annabell M. Peck
Lyon	Div. 1	5 R. E. Miller	Phebe Stone	Darrell D. Meyer
Marion	Div. 1 Div. 2	8 John M. Rugh William D. Clement	Geraldine Seibell	Henry F. Loveless
Marshall	Div. 1	22 William L. Stevenson	Wilma Jean Blaser	Maxine Cumro
McPherson	Div. 1	9 Sam H. Sturm	Alma Bretches	Dean Cotton
Meade	Div. 1	16 Robert M. Baker	Evelyn Dye	Clara Shore
Miami	Div. 1	6 Charles M. Warren	Vivian McCreedy	Brooks Hinkle
Mitchell	Div. 1	12 Richard W. Wahl	Neva Wagner	Opel Giddings
Montgomery	Div. 1	14 David H. Scott	Bessie Scofield	Kenneth D. David
Morris	Div. 1 Div. 2	8 John M. Rugh William D. Clement	Marie Borkert	Clarence L. Sawyer
Morton	Div. 1	26 Keaton G. Duckworth	Verda Mae Allen	Pete Orth
Nemaha	Div. 1	22 William L. Stevenson	Jane Heinen	Francis G. Holthaus
Neosho	Div. 1 Div. 2 Div. 3 Div. 4	11 Don Musser William P. Meek Hal Hyler George W. Donaldson	Virginia Embry	Dwayne Ashcraft
Ness	Div. 1	24 C. Phillip Aldrich	Opal Burdett	Valdah M. Bovard
Norton	Div. 1	17 Marvin W. Meyer	Myredith Tonne	Galen Bennett
Osage	Div. 1 Div. 2	4 Floyd H. Coffman Robert F. Stadler	Margaret Knight	Larry L. Coursen
Osborne	Div. 1	17 Marvin W. Meyer	Irene Laffon	Shirley Henderson
Ottawa	Div. 1 Div. 2	28 Morris V. Hoobler Raymond E. Haggert	Esther Plunkett	Aline Funk
Pawnee	Div. 1	24 C. Phillip Aldrich	Lois Miller	Leona Niedens
Phillips	Div. 1	17 Marvin W. Meyer	Doris Van Allen	Martha Kellogg
Pottawatomie	Div. 1	2 John W. Brookens	Deane L. Arnold	James J. Zeller
Pratt	Div. 1 Div. 2	19 Doyle E. White Chas. H. Stewart	Betty Onstott	Walter McClausky
Rawlins	Div. 1	17 Marvin W. Meyer	Bessie B. Peterson	Dorothy Reinert
Reno	Div. 1 Div. 2	27 W. A. Gossage James H. Rexroad	Sara Hill	E. Victor Wilson
Republic	Div. 1	12 Richard W. Wahl	Earl J. Baldrige	Arthur O. Williams
Rice	Div. 1 Div. 2	20 Frederick Woelzel Herb Rohleder	Laura Saint	Paul W. Cline
Riley	Div. 1	21 Ronald D. Innes	Ruth Houghton	Jerry L. Mershon
Rooks	Div. 1	15 C. E. Birney	Virginia Doughty	Gerald Johnston
Rush	Div. 1	24 C. Phillip Aldrich	Clara Humburg	Mary Lou Wheeler
Russell	Div. 1 Div. 2	20 Frederick Woelzel Herb Rohleder	Vivian Miller	Eric E. Smith
Saline	Div. 1 Div. 2	28 Morris V. Hoobler Raymond E. Haggart	Betty J. Just	John Weckel
Scott	Div. 1	25 Bert J. Vance	B. Arlista Grube	Charles E. Durham
Sedgwick	Div. 1 Div. 2 Div. 3 Div. 4 Div. 5 Div. 6 Div. 7 Div. 8 Div. 9	18 Willis W. Wall Howard C. Kline B. Mack Bryant James V. Riddel, Jr. James J. Noone Robert T. Stephan Tom Raum Nicholas W. Klein David Calvert	Dorothy I. VanArsdale	Clark V. Owens
Seward	Div. 1	26 Keaton G. Duckworth	Dessie Jenkins	Leroy Rose

TABLE A-1.—CONCLUDED. Roster of Judicial Officials as of July 1, 1975

COUNTY	Judicial Dist.	District Judge	Clerk of Court	Probate Judge
Shawnee . . . Div. 1	3	William R. Carpenter . . .	Lorene Wells	Mary Schowengerdt
Div. 2		Michael Barbara		
Div. 3		E. Newton Vickers		
Div. 4		Adrian J. Allen		
Div. 5		Kay McFarland		
Sheridan	15	C. E. Birney	Vergie Wentz	Ward Gilliland
Sherman	15	C. E. Birney	Dixie L. Chatfield . . .	Virginia E. Coen
Smith	17	Marvin W. Meyer	Betty McDonald	Clayton J. Hooper
Stafford . . . Div. 1	20	Frederick Woleslagel . .	Darlene Bartlett	Priscilla Budge
Div. 2		Herb Rohleder		
Stanton	26	Keaton G. Duckworth . . .	Bonnie Eckas	R. Keith Gaskill
Stevens	26	Keaton G. Duckworth . . .	Shirley DeCamp	B. W. Brubaker
Sumner Div. 1	19	Doyle E. White	Charlotte Liddle	Lloyd K. McDaniel
Div. 2		Chas. H. Stewart		
Thomas	15	C. E. Birney	Thelma Livingston . . .	Kenneth Tidball
Trego	23	Steven P. Flood	Cora Hladek	David L. Rhoades
Wabaunsee . . .	2	John W. Brookens	Norma J. Doty	Verle L. Swenson
Wallace	23	Steven P. Flood	Miriam E. Bell	Mary Hill
Washington . . .	12	Richard W. Wahl	Lois Acree	Steve Kaminski
Wichita	25	Bert J. Vance	Margie Ames	John E. Ley
Wilson Div. 1	11	Don Musser	Leslie V. York	Dwaine Spoon
Div. 2		William P. Meek		
Div. 3		Hal Hyler		
Div. 4		George W. Donaldson . . .		
Woodson . . . Div. 1	4	Floyd H. Coffman	Doris M. Peterson . . .	Elmer E. Light
Div. 2		Robert F. Stadler		
Wyandotte . . Div. 1	29	O. O. Claffin	Richard D. Shannon . . .	Francis J. Donnelly
Div. 2		William Cook		
Div. 3		Harry C. Miller		
Div. 4		Joe H. Swinehart		
Div. 5		Leo V. Moroney		
Div. 6		Cordell D. Meeks		
Div. 7		Wayne H. Phillips		

TABLE A-2.—SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURTS BY DISTRICTS—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

Judicial Dist.	COUNTY	Civil Cases Including Domestic Relations					Criminal Cases					
		Pending July 1, 1974	Com- menced	Ter- minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months	Pending July 1, 1975	Ter- minated	Com- menced	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months
1	Atchison	185	272	268	189	123	42	7	51	53	7	0
	Leavenworth	408	716	716	408	326	54	20	105	106	18	1
	Totals	593	988	984	597	449	96	27	156	159	25	1
2	Jackson	64	108	134	38	35	2	4	30	32	3	0
	Jefferson	73	180	183	70	59	7	12	29	33	10	2
	Pottawatomie	43	138	130	51	48	3	9	38	42	9	0
	Wabaunsee	25	42	49	18	11	7	6	19	21	6	1
	Totals	205	468	496	177	153	19	32	116	128	28	3
3	Shawnee	1,334	3,105	2,813	1,626	1,215	318	162	534	528	139	18
4	Allen	95	240	229	106	90	14	5	17	21	5	0
	Anderson	31	109	93	47	39	5	3	13	14	3	0
	Coffey	19	95	75	39	37	2	3	15	14	3	0
	Franklin	115	267	270	112	91	20	16	73	80	16	0
	Osage	87	175	174	88	74	12	5	25	37	17	0
	Woodson	37	64	64	37	22	11	2	17	8	1	1
	Totals	384	950	905	429	353	64	46	160	174	45	1
5	Chase	37	45	54	28	20	6	5	5	10	5	0
	Lyon	205	429	352	282	208	50	29	87	108	45	5
	Totals	242	474	406	310	228	56	29	92	118	50	5
6	Bourbon	73	218	224	67	60	6	5	24	23	4	0
	Lincoln	63	94	121	36	33	3	3	13	15	5	0
	Miami	123	287	290	120	106	13	16	58	63	21	0
	Totals	259	599	635	223	199	21	24	95	101	30	0
7	Douglas	546	834	816	564	399	112	73	155	168	66	9
8	Dickinson	105	279	244	140	122	14	7	39	52	19	0
	Geary	291	664	615	340	276	49	47	153	161	52	3
	Marion	62	111	128	45	40	5	4	13	13	4	0
	Morris	47	70	67	50	34	9	8	15	14	6	1
	Totals	505	1,124	1,054	575	472	77	66	220	240	81	4

TABLE A-2.—CONTINUED. Summary of District Courts by Districts—Year Ending June 30, 1975

		Civil Cases Including Domestic Relations						Criminal Cases					
Judicial Dist.	COUNTY	Pending July 1, 1974	Com-menced	Ter-minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months	Pending July 1, 1974	Com-menced	Ter-minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months
9	Harvey	183	327	329	181	129	32	51	91	112	30	26	2
	McPherson	170	221	265	126	72	22	21	71	66	26	20	4
	Totals	353	548	594	307	201	54	72	162	178	56	46	6
10	Johnson	1,930	3,372	3,145	2,157	1,651	363	183	584	568	199	183	14
	Cherokee	198	292	306	184	137	39	15	32	30	17	16	1
	Crawford	192	491	503	180	166	9	9	111	101	19	18	1
11	Labette	160	307	320	147	120	17	26	85	97	14	14	0
	Neosho	99	228	230	97	87	8	8	27	27	8	7	0
	Wilson	55	174	160	69	57	6	7	43	40	10	9	1
	Totals	704	1,492	1,519	677	567	79	65	298	295	68	64	3
12	Cloud	42	102	109	35	30	5	4	23	23	4	4	0
	Jewell	10	34	29	15	13	2	2	4	5	1	1	0
	Lincoln	15	34	29	20	14	6	3	3	4	2	2	0
	Mitchell	34	69	73	30	25	4	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Republic	43	46	61	28	19	7	2	2	3	1	1	0
	Washington	37	66	67	36	27	7	0	6	5	1	1	0
13	Totals	181	351	368	164	128	31	12	38	41	9	9	0
	Butler	328	617	609	336	270	36	61	88	100	49	32	6
	Chautauqua	26	97	80	43	37	3	4	13	6	11	3	4
	Elk	16	46	41	21	15	3	3	8	8	3	3	0
	Greenwood	59	188	174	73	62	6	9	27	30	6	3	1
14	Totals	429	948	904	473	384	48	77	136	144	69	45	11
	Montgomery	291	746	714	323	285	32	66	192	180	78	68	10
15	Graham	47	65	86	26	25	1	4	6	5	5	5	0
	Rooks	65	74	96	43	33	8	8	4	9	3	2	1
	Sheridan	17	16	24	9	7	2	0	3	1	2	2	0
	Sherman	48	133	108	73	65	7	6	13	6	13	10	2
	Thomas	48	85	84	49	37	9	3	3	4	2	2	0
	Totals	225	373	398	200	167	27	21	29	25	25	21	3

TABLE A-2.—CONTINUED. Summary of District Courts by Districts—Year Ending June 30, 1975

Civil Cases Including Domestic Relations										Criminal Cases			
Judicial Dist.	County	Pending July 1, 1974	Com-menced	Ter-minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months	Pending July 1, 1974	Com-menced	Ter-minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months
16	Clark	20	15	18	17	10	7	1	1	1	1	1	0
	Comanche	15	30	29	16	12	2	0	6	3	3	3	0
	Ford	181	405	384	202	161	29	18	13	58	20	17	3
	Gray	28	65	62	31	25	5	3	6	11	5	2	2
	Kiowa	21	29	36	14	10	2	10	4	14	2	3	0
	Meade	77	41	77	41	24	9	4	4	7	1	1	0
	Totals	342	585	606	321	242	54	36	90	94	32	27	5
17	Cheyenne	20	44	32	32	24	3	1	3	2	5	4	0
	Decatur	35	37	43	29	20	3	4	6	6	1	1	0
	Norton	26	49	51	24	20	3	16	14	28	2	2	0
	Osborne	18	72	60	30	25	3	0	5	5	1	1	0
	Phillips	29	57	50	36	26	10	0	4	4	0	0	0
	Rawlins	17	40	39	18	17	1	1	3	3	1	1	0
	Smith	22	31	37	16	14	2	4	3	6	1	1	0
	Totals	167	330	312	185	146	25	27	38	54	11	10	0
18	Sedgwick	2,915	7,265	7,302	2,878	2,718	143	333	1,440	1,441	332	330	1
19	Barber	35	80	74	41	31	4	6	11	12	5	5	0
	Cowley	151	437	405	183	164	11	14	85	81	18	18	0
	Harper	35	96	75	56	48	7	2	3	3	2	2	0
	Kingman	50	99	99	47	35	7	4	23	22	4	4	0
	Pratt	63	135	127	71	63	7	5	37	34	8	7	0
	Sumner	154	242	276	120	89	27	8	35	35	8	8	0
	Totals	488	1,086	1,056	518	430	63	39	193	187	45	44	0
20	Barton	308	474	512	270	185	52	40	127	133	34	26	6
	Ellsworth	27	59	64	22	17	4	1	24	11	2	2	0
	Rice	42	119	122	39	36	3	31	24	31	4	4	0
	Russell	41	115	103	53	43	9	7	20	17	10	9	1
	Stafford	26	63	62	27	23	4	0	14	9	5	5	0
	Totals	444	830	863	411	304	72	59	196	200	55	46	7
21	Clay	50	100	91	59	48	4	2	26	20	8	8	0
	Riley	286	525	525	286	242	35	21	137	134	24	23	0
	Totals	336	625	616	345	290	39	23	163	154	32	31	0

TABLE A-2.—CONTINUED. Summary of District Courts by Districts—Year Ending June 30, 1975

		Civil Cases Including Domestic Relations						Criminal Cases					
Judicial Dist.	COUNTY	Pending July 1, 1974	Com-menced	Ter-minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months	Pending July 1, 1974	Com-menced	Ter-minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months
22	Brown	82	126	136	72	48	13	4	17	14	7	6	0
	Douglas	73	104	101	76	53	14	6	17	15	8	8	0
	Marshall	43	87	95	35	28	6	1	9	10	0	0	0
	Nemaha	33	75	67	41	33	5	1	2	2	1	1	0
	Totals	231	392	399	224	162	38	12	45	41	16	15	0
23	Ellis	93	230	219	104	98	5	2	23	21	4	4	0
	Gove	10	35	30	15	13	1	1	8	9	0	0	0
	Logan	15	32	42	5	4	1	0	9	7	2	2	0
	Trego	19	23	27	15	11	4	2	3	4	1	1	0
	Wallace	7	29	22	14	14	0	2	10	8	4	4	0
24	Totals	144	349	340	153	140	10	7	53	49	11	11	0
	Edwards	23	56	46	33	27	4	1	12	10	3	2	0
	Hodgeman	14	28	20	22	13	5	4	3	7	0	0	0
	Lane	21	32	35	18	15	3	4	1	1	4	1	1
	Ness	15	37	33	19	16	3	0	4	4	0	0	0
25	Pawnee	58	107	96	69	47	17	0	17	15	6	6	0
	Rush	23	41	31	33	25	2	8	14	9	13	6	0
	Totals	154	301	261	194	143	34	21	51	46	26	15	1
	Finney	107	382	341	148	134	11	7	86	79	14	14	0
	Greeley	8	27	25	10	9	0	0	3	0	3	3	0
26	Hamilton	16	37	38	15	11	4	2	1	3	0	0	0
	Kearny	20	22	31	11	7	4	0	3	3	0	0	0
	Scott	17	68	58	27	25	1	5	20	22	3	3	0
	Wichita	15	51	47	19	14	3	1	5	3	3	3	0
	Totals	183	587	540	230	200	23	15	118	110	23	23	0
26	Grant	46	110	114	42	35	7	2	23	21	4	4	0
	Haskell	34	92	57	34	22	11	0	9	5	4	4	0
	Morton	19	50	39	30	29	9	0	7	5	2	2	0
	Stanton	27	34	38	23	14	8	1	6	5	2	2	0
	Stevens	39	79	65	53	41	9	0	11	7	4	4	0
26	Seward	166	320	338	148	120	19	16	73	71	18	17	1
	Totals	331	650	651	330	261	55	19	129	114	34	33	1

TABLE A-2.—CONCLUDED. Summary of District Courts by Districts—Year Ending June 30, 1975

Judicial Dist.	COUNTY	Civil Cases Including Domestic Relations						Criminal Cases				
		Pending July 1, 1974	Com- menced	Ter- minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months	Pending July 1, 1975	Ter- minated	Pending July 1, 1975	Pending less than 12 months	Pending 12 to 24 months
27	Reno	444	1,000	969	475	416	44	34	253	34	23	7
28	Ottawa	16	49	47	18	14	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Saline	418	698	647	469	352	89	19	163	19	18	1
	Totals	434	747	694	487	366	91	21	163	19	18	1
29	Wyandotte	3,515	4,193	4,815	2,893	1,876	697	232	769	202	190	9
GRAND TOTALS		18,309	35,312	35,175	18,446	14,545	2,785	1,810	6,726	1,900	1,716	120

SUMMARY OF DISTRICTS BY COUNTIES

TABLE A-3.—DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES, INCLUDING DOMESTIC RELATIONS—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

COUNTY	Total cases	Number dismissed	Number not contested	Contested Trials					Appeals docketed Supreme Court
				Number	To court	To jury	Time from petition to termination		
							Less than 12 months	12 to 24 months	
Allen	229	81	88	60	57	3	54	4	2
Anderson	93	32	26	35	34	1	31	4	0
Atchison	268	85	86	97	93	4	79	18	3
Barber	74	24	39	11	10	1	6	5	1
Barton	512	162	166	184	166	18	138	46	7
Bourbon									
Brown	224	75	111	38	37	1	32	6	0
Butler	136	43	48	45	43	2	38	7	1
Chase	609	211	242	156	148	8	119	37	2
Chautauqua	80	19	15	20	20	0	18	2	0
		22	36	22	21	1	21	1	0
Cherokee									
Cheyenne	306	92	132	82	79	3	72	10	0
Clark	32	12	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clay	18	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cloud	91	28	47	16	15	1	12	4	2
	109	24	55	30	30	0	29	1	1
Coffey									
Comanche	75	22	39	14	14	0	14	0	0
Cowley	29	15	11	3	3	0	2	1	0
Crawford	405	97	192	116	116	0	99	17	1
Decatur	503	156	244	103	90	13	94	9	0
	43	24	13	6	6	0	4	2	2
Dickinson									
Doniphan	244	82	93	69	68	1	65	4	2
Douglas	101	32	25	44	43	1	36	8	4
Edwards	816	264	411	141	138	3	120	21	4
Elk	46	18	12	16	16	0	15	1	0
	41	13	12	16	15	1	15	1	0
Ellis									
Ellsworth	219	72	72	75	70	5	61	14	3
Finney	64	15	42	7	6	1	4	3	0
Ford	341	106	150	85	84	1	79	6	5
Franklin	384	131	168	85	79	6	72	13	5
	270	76	60	134	127	7	127	7	2

TABLE A-3.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Civil Cases, Including Domestic Relations—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Total cases	Number dismissed	Number not contested	Contested Trials					Appeals docketed Supreme Court
				To court	To jury	Time from petition to termination		Number	
						Less than 12 months	12 to 24 months		
Geary	615	183	315	114	3	107	10	1	1
Gove	30	6	7	17	0	16	1	0	0
Graham	86	28	27	30	1	19	12	1	3
Grant	114	47	41	25	1	25	1	0	0
Gray	62	14	30	18	0	15	3		
Greeley	25	3	19	3	0	3	0	0	0
Greenwood	174	49	74	51	0	49	2	2	2
Hamilton	98	34	26	3	0	3	0	0	0
Harper	75	34	24	17	0	12	5	0	0
Harvey	329	90	135	104	2	81	23	1	1
Haskell	57	24	14	19	1	14	5	1	1
Hodgeman	20	5	12	3	0	3	0	0	0
Jackson	134	57	34	43	0	39	4	1	1
Jefferson	183	69	56	53	5	49	9	1	1
Jewell	29	6	20	3	0	2	1	0	0
Johnson	3,145	1,131	898	1,070	46	968	148	15	15
Kearny	31	17	6	8	0	6	2	0	0
Kingman	99	39	39	21	0	19	2	0	0
Kiowa	36	12	20	4	0	3	1	3	3
Labette	320	89	167	60	4	54	10	7	7
Lane	35	9	24	2	0	1	1	0	0
Leavenworth	716	286	265	158	7	145	20	4	4
Lincoln	29	10	8	8	0	6	2	1	1
Linn	121	42	56	21	2	18	5	1	1
Logan	42	8	21	12	1	9	4	0	0
Lyon	352	112	187	49	4	46	7	1	1
Marion	128	39	45	44	0	40	4	1	1
Marshall	95	51	21	21	0	20	1	1	1
McPherson	265	80	105	78	2	61	19	0	0
Meade	77	57	11	8	1	4	5		

TABLE A-3.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Civil Cases, Including Domestic Relations—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Total cases	Number dismissed	Number not contested	Contested Trials				Appeals docketed Supreme Court
				To court	To jury	Time from petition to termination		
						Less than 12 months	12 to 24 months	
Miami	290	92	139	52	7	44	15	0
Mitchell	73	23	36	13	1	8	6	0
Montgomery	714	209	335	165	5	155	15	3
Morris	67	16	31	20	0	15	2	2
Morton	39	14	22	3	0	1	2	0
Nemaha	67	32	18	13	4	10	7	0
Neosho	230	55	115	57	3	57	3	3
Ness	33	7	17	9	0	7	2	1
Norton	51	19	22	10	0	7	3	1
Osage	174	69	47	57	1	48	10	0
Osborne	60	19	20	20	1	19	2	1
Ottawa	47	13	19	15	0	14	1	0
Pawnee	96	29	54	13	1	10	3	1
Phillips	50	9	38	1	2	1	2	2
Pottawatomie	130	35	40	54	1	53	2	0
Pratt	127	47	42	37	1	33	5	4
Rawlins	39	13	24	1	1	1	1	0
Reno	969	268	456	220	25	211	34	5
Republic	61	15	12	34	0	25	6	1
Rice	122	45	36	38	3	35	0	0
Riley	525	190	250	81	4	75	10	0
Rooks	36	32	50	13	1	11	3	0
Rush	91	3	16	12	0	8	4	0
Russell	103	30	41	32	0	30	2	0
Saline	647	206	253	180	8	157	31	4
Scott	58	24	22	12	0	12	0	0
Sedgwick	7,302	2,485	3,188	1,553	76	1,444	185	44
Seward	338	124	163	50	1	43	8	5
Shawnee	2,313	1,122	1,066	607	18	529	96	23
Sheridan	24	7	1	15	1	12	4	0

TABLE A-3.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of Civil Cases, Including Domestic Relations—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Total cases	Number dismissed	Number not contested	Contested Trials					Appeals docketed Supreme Court
				Number	To court	To jury	Time from petition to termination		
							Less than 12 months	12 to 24 months	
Sherman	108	35	61	12	10	2	9	3	2
Smith	37	8	15	14	13	1	13	1	0
Stafford	62	14	28	20	18	2	18	2	0
Stanton	38	21	12	5	5	0	2	3	0
Stevens	65	22	36	7	6	1	5	2	3
Sumner	276	94	140	42	41	1	39	3	0
Thomas	84	32	42	10	8	2	8	2	2
Trego	27	10	10	7	7	0	7	0	2
Wabauensee	49	15	26	8	7	1	7	1	1
Wallace	22	5	13	4	3	1	3	1	1
Washington	67	20	30	17	15	2	13	4	0
Wichita	47	16	28	3	2	1	3	0	0
Wilson	160	58	79	23	22	1	21	2	0
Woodson	64	24	39	1	1	0	1	0	0
Wyandotte	4,815	1,553	1,971	1,291	1,246	45	776	515	30
Grand Totals	35,175	11,796	14,510	8,869	8,482	387	7,295	1,574	234

SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURTS BY COUNTIES

TABLE A-4.—DISPOSITION OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

COUNTY	Total cases terminated	Divorce						Separate maintenance	Recip-in	Recip-out	Other
		Total	Dismissed	Not contested	Contested	Granted	Denied				
Allen	125	112	45	53	14	68	0	3	2	6	0
Anderson	40	32	10	13	9	22	0	0	2	0	6
Atchison	138	120	28	57	35	95	0	7	6	2	1
Barber	46	42	9	28	5	33	0	3	1	0	0
Barton	259	228	59	87	82	170	0	7	11	5	2
Bourbon	120	101	20	62	19	180	1	1	13	3	1
Brown	64	55	14	27	14	41	0	1	0	0	0
Butler	339	295	107	134	54	190	0	9	11	1	3
Chase	21	21	5	7	16	16	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	31	25	7	8	10	18	0	1	4	0	1
Cherokee	178	162	51	91	20	114	0	1	8	3	0
Cheyenne	19	18	3	15	0	15	0	0	1	0	0
Clark	10	5	0	5	0	5	0	1	3	0	0
Clay	52	46	12	28	6	35	0	1	1	2	1
Cloud	75	66	13	37	16	54	0	3	2	3	0
Coffey	30	29	6	19	4	23	0	1	0	0	0
Comanche	10	8	3	3	2	5	0	0	2	0	0
Cowley	301	266	59	150	57	209	0	5	8	18	0
Crawford	272	250	67	156	27	187	0	6	12	2	0
Decatur	14	13	4	8	1	9	0	0	1	0	0
Dickinson	152	128	41	53	34	89	0	12	6	6	0
Doniphan	47	42	9	21	12	32	0	0	4	0	0
Douglas	434	383	55	266	62	334	1	6	8	7	16
Edwards	27	24	11	6	7	13	0	0	2	1	0
Elk	16	14	4	7	3	10	0	0	1	1	0
Ellis	111	84	28	31	25	61	0	13	7	7	0
Ellsworth	31	28	5	23	0	23	0	0	1	1	0
Finney	199	183	53	84	46	130	0	1	8	5	0
Ford	201	163	51	70	42	114	0	3	17	13	0
Franklin	166	150	45	26	79	109	0	3	5	6	0

TABLE A-4.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Domestic Relations Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Total cases terminated	Divorce						Separate maintenance	Recip-in	Recip-out	Other
		Total	Dismissed	Not contested	Contested	Granted	Denied	Annulment			
Geary	479	346	89	191	66	263	0	32	57	24	1
Gove	5	5	1	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0
Graham	35	32	11	15	6	21	0	0	0	2	0
Grant	76	65	22	32	14	46	0	2	5	13	1
Gray	41	27	9	11	17	19	0	0	0	0	0
Greeley	9	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0
Crenshaw	71	64	19	17	28	45	0	1	4	0	2
Hamilton	20	20	15	13	6	16	0	0	0	0	0
Harper	44	37	18	11	8	20	0	0	5	1	0
Harvey	215	177	51	85	41	126	0	4	8	21	0
Haskell	19	17	7	5	5	10	0	0	1	0	0
Hedgeman	15	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson	61	57	23	20	14	35	1	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	74	66	20	32	14	46	0	0	3	1	0
Jewell	18	18	3	13	2	15	0	0	0	0	0
Johnson	1,874	1,594	370	507	717	1,263	0	50	83	76	3
Kearny	13	13	5	5	3	8	0	0	0	0	0
Kingman	56	50	17	24	9	34	0	1	2	1	0
Kiowa	13	13	3	9	1	10	0	0	0	0	0
Labette	187	159	42	90	27	120	0	1	10	7	1
Lane	12	11	3	7	1	8	0	0	1	0	0
Leavenworth	450	382	139	173	70	248	0	9	21	13	6
Lincoln	22	17	4	9	4	13	0	0	2	0	1
Linn	47	40	12	18	10	28	0	0	3	1	2
Logan	17	13	2	9	2	10	1	0	4	0	0
Lyon	200	181	46	110	25	138	0	2	7	6	1
Marion	49	45	14	21	10	32	0	3	1	0	0
Marshall	62	47	12	21	14	37	0	1	5	6	0
McPherson	145	125	27	70	28	101	0	1	3	12	0
Meade	17	13	8	4	1	5	0	0	0	0	1
Miami	169	143	41	73	29	103	0	2	7	6	10
Mitchell	34	9	9	19	6	25	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	403	349	82	196	71	275	1	3	31	5	0
Morris	26	21	2	16	3	19	0	0	4	1	0
Morton	21	13	2	11	0	11	0	0	4	4	0

TABLE A-4.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Domestic Relations Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	terminated Total cases	Divorce						Separate main- tenance	Recip-in	Recip-out	Other
		Total	Dismissed	contested Not	Contested	Granted	Denied	Annulment			
Nemaha	28	24	8	10	6	16	0	0	2	1	0
Nescho	107	95	22	57	16	75	0	1	8	1	0
Ness	18	18	4	9	5	14	0	0	0	0	0
Norton	28	31	7	16	5	21	0	0	2	1	0
Osage	82	71	17	38	18	54	0	0	2	1	0
Osborne	30	28	11	8	9	17	0	0	5	0	0
Ottawa	31	26	7	13	6	21	0	0	1	0	0
Pawnee	60	49	10	34	5	39	0	1	2	0	0
Phillips	26	25	5	19	1	20	1	0	4	4	0
Pottawatomie	67	56	14	26	16	41	0	1	0	0	1
Pratt	78	71	27	30	14	43	0	0	5	4	1
Rawlins	20	18	4	14	0	4	0	0	6	1	0
Reno	626	560	138	308	114	425	2	0	0	0	0
Republic	26	23	4	8	11	20	2	0	23	33	0
Rice	72	65	22	25	18	43	0	0	1	0	0
Riley	329	268	75	148	45	193	0	4	4	15	4
Rooks	58	53	7	37	9	46	0	0	33	2	0
Rush	11	11	2	4	5	9	0	0	1	0	0
Russell	52	47	14	21	12	33	0	0	2	2	0
Saline	455	368	113	143	112	259	1	3	24	51	1
Scott	31	29	11	14	4	17	1	0	1	1	0
Sedgewick	4,522	3,862	1,185	1,981	796	2,710	1	44	293	180	73
Steward	193	170	56	90	24	115	0	1	13	4	1
Shawnee	1,836	1,478	442	731	305	1,042	3	27	58	148	63
Sheridan	8	7	3	1	3	5	0	0	1	0	0
Sherman	55	50	10	37	3	41	0	0	0	1	2
Smith	14	14	3	9	3	11	0	0	1	1	0
Stafford	31	29	4	19	6	25	0	1	0	0	1
Stanton	16	15	8	5	2	7	0	0	0	1	0
Stevens	32	28	8	18	2	20	0	0	1	0	0

TABLE A-4.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of Domestic Relations Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Total cases terminated	Divorce						Separate maintenance	Recip-in	Recip-out	Other
		Total	Dismissed	Not contested	Contested	Granted	Denied	Annulment			
Sumner	175	148	51	77	20	101	1	1	10	12	1
Thomas	51	49	12	31	6	37	0	0	0	1	1
Trego	13	9	0	6	3	10	0	0	1	2	1
Wagoner	19	16	2	13	1	14	0	0	0	3	0
Wagoner	6	5	2	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
Washington	32	26	4	14	8	22	0	0	0	6	0
Wichita	17	15	4	11	0	11	0	0	1	1	0
Wichita	82	76	20	41	15	57	0	1	3	2	0
Woodson	23	21	8	13	0	12	0	0	0	1	0
Wyandotte	3,164	2,527	1,003	1,113	411	1,557	0	189	108	123	28
Grand Total	21,035	17,781	5,289	8,522	3,970	12,792	18	424	633	993	246

SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURTS, BY COUNTIES
TABLE A-5.—TYPES OF CIVIL CASES COMMENCED—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

COUNTY	Divorce	Other domestic relations	Auto negligence	Other tort	Actions under 60-1507	Foreclosures	Real property	Contractual	Injunctions mandamus quo warranto	Other	Total number of cases
Allen	127	13	3	1	0	6	27	52	6	5	240
Anderson	38	10	4	0	1	1	12	18	1	25	109
Atchison	118	14	18	1	1	7	20	54	1	38	272
Barber	49	4	0	2	0	1	6	13	2	3	80
Barton	224	27	18	7	0	10	22	133	5	28	474
Bourbon	107	19	8	2	0	1	30	37	1	13	218
Brown	50	11	8	5	1	1	9	26	2	13	126
Butler	301	44	21	4	1	22	36	118	2	68	617
Chase	22	0	0	0	0	3	8	9	0	3	45
Chautauqua	27	5	3	2	0	0	8	48	1	3	97
Cherokee	167	18	13	2	1	4	48	24	3	12	292
Cheyenne	19	1	3	0	0	5	4	9	0	3	44
Clark	4	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	1	15
Clay	50	7	4	2	2	0	5	17	0	13	100
Cloud	59	7	6	0	0	2	10	11	0	7	102
Coffey	31	2	1	0	0	1	13	7	2	38	95
Comanche	13	2	1	0	0	2	3	2	0	30	30
Cowley	283	44	7	4	0	9	18	52	3	17	437
Crawford	248	21	34	6	1	6	22	118	4	31	491
Decatur	9	2	1	0	0	1	2	21	0	1	37
Dickinson	138	30	5	6	0	10	14	58	1	17	279
Doniphan	47	4	10	1	1	4	18	12	6	1	104
Douglas	420	49	33	8	2	20	13	166	5	118	834
Edwards	23	5	2	0	2	2	4	15	0	3	56
Elk	17	2	0	0	0	1	9	5	0	12	46
Ellis	87	27	15	2	0	2	11	53	1	32	230
Ellsworth	31	4	1	1	0	0	5	16	0	1	59
Finney	185	26	10	10	0	15	9	106	3	18	382
Ford	163	35	6	6	0	9	21	147	1	17	405
Franklin	158	14	9	4	1	9	8	40	3	21	267

TABLE A-5.—CONTINUED. Types of Civil Cases Commenced—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Divorce	Other domestic relations	Auto negligence	Other tort	Actions under 60-1507	Foreclosures	Real property	Contractual	Injunctions mandamus quo warranto	Other	Total number of cases
Geary	384	134	26	8	0	8	14	61	7	22	664
Gove	5	0	0	3	0	0	14	12	0	1	35
Graham	27	2	0	1	0	0	11	14	2	7	65
Grant	61	6	1	1	0	0	6	19	0	10	110
Gray	22	16	0	0	0	0	7	17	1	2	65
Greeley	11	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	0	8	27
Greenwood	74	6	2	1	0	1	23	74	0	5	188
Hamilton	19	2	0	0	0	1	3	9	0	4	37
Harper	50	6	5	2	0	0	17	17	0	13	96
Harvey	176	38	17	5	0	2	7	40	1	41	327
Haskell	17	2	1	0	0	1	0	19	0	17	57
Hodgeman	9	0	0	2	0	0	2	12	0	3	28
Jackson	51	2	7	0	1	5	4	17	0	21	108
Jefferson	68	8	5	1	0	10	17	44	4	23	180
Jewell	17	0	2	0	0	0	6	1	0	8	34
Johnson	1,646	292	165	205	0	174	38	541	52	259	3,372
Kearny	13	0	1	1	0	0	1	5	0	1	22
Kingman	48	4	0	5	0	2	7	26	0	2	96
Kiowa	12	0	2	0	0	0	5	7	0	5	29
Labette	161	26	5	2	1	8	21	59	2	22	307
Lane	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	15	32
Leavenworth	396	69	30	17	0	13	37	91	5	58	716
Lincoln	21	3	2	0	0	0	1	3	1	3	34
Linn	33	2	1	0	0	5	18	25	1	9	94
Logan	12	4	0	0	0	3	5	7	0	1	32
Lyon	217	27	16	10	0	5	29	95	3	27	429
Marion	44	3	3	3	0	0	12	36	3	6	111
Marshall	37	18	3	6	0	1	3	11	3	11	87
McPherson	110	20	10	0	0	6	13	37	2	17	221
Meade	15	5	1	3	0	1	3	13	0	0	41
Miami	153	28	8	1	0	2	20	36	0	39	287
Mitchell	32	0	3	3	0	0	10	18	0	1	69
Montgomery	363	53	83	24	0	25	38	172	0	35	746
Morris	25	3	1	0	0	3	9	20	3	6	70
Morton	19	8	4	0	0	2	5	5	0	7	50

TABLE A-5.—CONTINUED. Types of Civil Cases Commenced—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Divorce	Other domestic relations	Auto negligence	Other tort	Actions under 60-1507	Foreclosures	Real property	Contractual	Injunctions mandamus warrant	Other	* Total number of cases
Nemaha	30	9	12	0	0	0	5	5	2	12	75
Neosho	96	12	7	11	0	14	14	62	0	7	228
Ness	17	0	0	0	2	0	6	7	0	5	37
Norton	24	4	2	1	0	1	5	8	0	4	49
Osage	72	15	5	1	0	4	22	43	2	11	175
Osborne	31	4	0	4	0	3	4	21	2	3	72
Ottawa	31	4	0	0	0	1	2	9	0	0	49
Pawnee	44	13	7	3	0	1	4	17	2	16	107
Phillips	27	2	0	0	2	1	9	12	0	4	57
Pottawatomie	60	13	8	0	0	1	0	26	3	1	138
Pratt	79	9	6	6	0	1	6	20	0	8	135
Rawlins	17	1	2	0	0	1	3	8	0	8	40
Reno	599	74	38	9	4	22	31	76	10	137	1,000
Republic	22	3	2	0	0	0	1	9	0	9	46
Rice	66	7	5	3	0	1	12	14	3	8	119
Riley	264	62	29	10	0	3	17	126	3	11	525
Rooks	40	3	1	1	0	1	18	18	0	5	74
Rush	11	3	1	0	0	1	5	4	0	2	41
Russell	59	5	0	0	1	4	10	34	0	2	115
Saline	419	100	31	32	1	13	2	93	4	3	698
Scott	33	2	1	1	0	0	9	20	2	0	68
Sedgwick	3,787	656	71	547	13	203	88	1,481	73	336	7,265
Seward	163	25	16	5	1	4	8	85	3	15	320
Shawnee	1,560	389	125	61	7	104	62	320	77	400	3,105
Sheridan	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	16
Sherman	58	11	2	3	0	2	5	27	1	24	133
Smith	12	1	2	1	0	1	6	7	0	3	31
Stafford	29	1	4	4	0	1	4	7	3	6	63
Stanton	12	1	4	0	0	1	2	6	0	8	34
Stevens	29	8	2	0	0	0	2	29	0	9	79

TABLE A-5.—CONTINUED. Types of Civil Cases Commenced—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Divorce	Other domestic relations	Auto negligence	Other tort	Actions under 60-1507	Foreclosures	Real property	Contractual	Injunctions mandamus quo warranto	Other	* Total number of cases
Sumner	128	25	6	2	0	6	12	26	0	37	242
Thomas	47	4	2	0	0	3	3	18	0	8	85
Trego	7	3	0	2	0	0	0	9	0	2	23
Wabunsee	17	2	0	2	0	4	8	6	0	3	42
Wallace	6	1	3	1	0	2	4	11	0	1	29
Washington	23	3	5	0	0	5	6	23	0	1	66
Wichita	17	2	3	0	0	0	1	17	0	11	51
Wilson	81	6	3	5	1	0	19	35	4	15	174
Woodson	23	3	4	2	0	2	13	15	0	4	64
Wyandotte	2,101	592	269	208	14	275	72	313	13	386	4,193
Grand Total	17,716	3,315	1,289	1,304	62	1,124	1,329	6,061	357	2,755	35,312

* Does not include foreign transcripts.

SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURTS—STATE AS A WHOLE

TABLE A-6.—TYPES OF CIVIL CASES COMMENCED COMPARED WITH
1970, 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974, 1975

	Year ending June 30 1970	Year ending June 30 1971	Year ending June 30 1972	Year ending June 30 1973	Year ending June 30 1974	Year ending June 30 1975
Number of Cases *	28,737	29,826	31,649	31,488	33,059	35,312
Divorce	13,174	13,344	14,568	15,046	15,900	17,716
Other domestic relations	2,777	2,700	3,020	3,020	3,139	3,315
Auto negligence	2,140	2,040	1,808	1,669	1,543	1,289
Other tort	1,006	1,052	1,138	1,200	1,332	1,304
Actions under K. S. A. 60-1507	124	113	115	96	70	62
Foreclosures	1,105	1,353	1,137	1,074	1,105	1,124
Real Property	1,636	1,503	1,657	1,594	1,504	1,329
Contractual	4,850	5,068	5,432	5,155	5,511	5,061
Injunctions, mandamus quo warranto	317	366	411	382	340	357
Other	1,878	2,287	2,363	2,251	2,615	2,755

* Foreign transcript statistics were not collected for the fiscal years 1970, 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975.

SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURTS, BY COUNTIES
TABLE B-1.—DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL CASES—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

COUNTY	Number of cases	Cases not tried		Trials				Time from filing to termination		Appeals docketed to Supreme Court
		Dismissed	Plea of guilty	Jury	Court	Convicted	Acquitted	Number less than 12 months	Number over 12 months	
Allen	17	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anderson	13	8	1	1	3	3	1	4	0	2
Atchison	51	11	38	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
Barber	12	4	7	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Barton	133	43	71	8	11	13	6	19	0	0
Bourbon	24	7	12	3	2	5	0	5	0	0
Brown	14	8	4	1	1	1	1	2	0	0
Butler	100	36	57	3	4	4	3	7	0	1
Chase	5	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chautauqua	6	1	0	1	4	4	1	5	0	0
Cherokee	30	17	11	2	0	2	0	2	0	1
Cheyenne	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clark	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clay	20	5	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Cloud	23	3	18	2	0	2	0	2	0	0
Coffey	15	5	4	1	5	5	1	6	0	0
Comanche	3	1	1	2	1	0	3	1	0	1
Cowley	81	16	62	3	1	0	1	3	0	0
Crawford	101	16	81	3	1	3	1	4	0	0
Decatur	6	0	3	2	1	2	1	3	0	0
Dickinson	39	10	24	3	2	4	1	5	0	0
Doniphan	15	8	5	2	0	1	2	2	0	0
Douglas	155	47	95	7	6	11	2	13	0	2
Edwards	10	2	8	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
Elk	8	2	4	2	0	1	1	2	0	1
Ellis	21	6	14	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Ellsworth	10	2	7	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Finney	79	26	46	3	5	5	2	7	0	0
Ford	58	15	38	5	2	4	1	5	0	0
Franklin	73	20	49	5	2	3	1	4	0	0

TABLE B-1.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Criminal Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Number of cases	Cases not tried		Trials				Time from filing to termination		Appeals docketed to Supreme Court
		Dismissed	Plea of guilty	Jury	Court	Convicted	Acquitted	Number less than 12 months	Number over 12 months	
Geary	153	47	84	13	9	16	6	22	0	2
Gove	9	3	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Graham	5	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Grant	21	3	14	0	4	4	0	4	0	0
Gray	11	2	6	0	3	3	0	3	0	1
Greeley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greenwood	30	5	22	1	2	3	0	3	0	0
Hamilton	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Harper	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harvey	112	22	88	1	1	2	0	1	1	0
Haskell	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hodgeman	7	0	2	4	1	2	3	5	0	1
Jackson	30	8	9	4	9	9	4	13	0	0
Jefferson	29	15	13	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Jewell	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Johnson	568	188	304	48	28	51	25	75	1	9
Kearny	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kingman	22	8	10	2	2	3	1	4	0	0
Kiowa	14	6	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Labette	97	33	59	4	1	3	2	5	0	0
Lane	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Leavenworth	105	20	66	8	11	13	6	17	0	0
Lincoln	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Linn	13	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Logan	7	2	4	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Lyon	87	33	41	10	3	10	3	12	1	2
Marion	13	6	6	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Marshall	10	2	6	1	1	2	0	2	0	0
McPherson	66	10	51	1	4	5	0	3	0	0
Meade	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE B.1.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Criminal Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Number of cases	Cases not tried		Trials				Time from filing to termination		Appeals docketed to Supreme Court
		Dismissed	Plea of guilty	Jury	Court	Convicted	Acquitted	Number less than 12 months	Number over 12 months	
Miami	58	22	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mitchell	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Montgomery	180	64	106	9	1	6	4	10	0	1
Morris	15	6	6	1	2	3	0	2	1	0
Morton	5	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Nemaha	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neosho	27	8	17	2	0	2	0	2	0	0
Ness	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Norton	28	9	13	0	6	2	4	6	0	0
Osage	25	13	6	4	2	4	2	6	0	0
Osborne	5	2	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Ottawa	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Pawnee	15	3	11	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Phillips	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pottawatomie	38	9	19	10	0	7	3	10	0	1
Pratt	34	7	25	0	2	2	0	2	0	0
Rawlins	3	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Reno	253	61	171	20	1	16	5	21	0	6
Republic	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Rice	31	4	14	6	7	10	3	13	0	0
Riley	134	25	101	8	0	4	4	8	0	2
Rooks	9	4	4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Rush	9	0	8	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Russell	17	5	11	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Saline	163	26	105	30	2	26	6	32	0	2
Scott	22	8	2	1	11	11	1	12	0	2
Sedgwick	1,441	183	878	218	162	308	72	377	3	42
Seward	71	22	46	1	2	2	2	3	0	2
Shawnee	534	148	230	62	99	131	30	158	3	13
Sheridan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

TABLE B-1.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of Criminal Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Number of cases	Cases not tried		Trials				Time from filing to termination		Appeals docketed to Supreme Court
		Dismissed	Plea of guilty	Jury	Court	Convicted	Acquitted	Number less than 12 months	Number over 12 months	
Sherman	6	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	6	3	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Stafford	9	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanton	5	3	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0
Stevens	7	4	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Sumner	35	11	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thomas	4	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Trego	4	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Wabausee	19	4	9	2	4	6	0	6	0	0
Wallace	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	5	0	4	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Wichita	3	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Wilson	40	21	15	2	2	4	0	4	0	0
Woodson	17	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyandotte	769	281	183	94	211	234	71	300	5	16
Grand Total	6,636	1,737	3,610	636	653	995	294	1,272	17	171

SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURTS BY COUNTIES
TABLE B-2.—TYPES OF CRIMES—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

	Felonies			Misdemeanors			Appeals from lower courts			Total criminal cases commenced		
	Against person	Against property	Other	Total felonies	DWI	Other traffic	Total misdemeanors	DWI	Other traffic		Other	Total appeals
Allen	4	13	0	17	2	1	3	1	0	0	1	21
Anderson	5	0	1	6	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	14
Atchison	24	25	1	50	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	53
Barber	4	4	0	8	0	2	10	9	1	7	33	11
Barton	28	56	0	84	2	0	8	0	17	0	0	127
Bourbon	4	6	6	16	0	0	1	0	4	2	6	23
Brown	3	5	2	10	0	2	2	0	0	5	5	17
Butler	38	28	6	72	0	1	2	6	0	8	14	88
Chase	1	7	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	10
Chautauqua	1	6	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Cherokee	1	15	6	22	1	0	2	1	3	4	8	32
Cheyenne	2	3	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	6
Clark	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clay	6	14	5	25	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	26
Cloud	4	2	17	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Coffey	0	6	0	6	1	0	1	0	3	4	7	14
Comanche	1	3	1	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	6
Cowley	13	61	4	78	0	0	3	1	2	1	4	85
Crawford	42	28	3	73	9	3	12	17	7	26	0	111
Decatur	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Dickinson	4	35	1	40	0	0	0	2	3	7	12	52
Doniphan	0	11	1	12	0	0	0	0	3	2	5	17
Douglas	34	67	41	142	0	1	7	0	1	17	18	168
Edwards	1	7	1	9	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	12
Elk	2	5	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Ellis	8	13	0	21	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	23
Ellsworth	3	4	0	7	0	0	1	1	2	8	3	11
Finney	7	33	25	65	1	1	3	7	0	0	16	86
Ford	13	31	12	56	0	1	3	0	1	8	0	60
Franklin	8	48	10	66	2	0	4	3	0	6	12	80

TABLE B-2.—CONTINUED. Types of Crimes—Year Ending June 30, 1975

	Felonies			Total felonies	Misdemeanors			Appeals from lower courts				Total criminal cases commenced	
	Against person	Against property	Other		DWI	Other traffic	Other	Total mis-demeanors	DWI	Other traffic	Other		Total appeals
Miami	12	14	10	36	0	1	1	2	7	14	4	25	63
Mitchell	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	25	91	24	140	2	2	12	16	7	11	18	36	192
Morris	4	5	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	14
Morton	4	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Nemaha	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Nesho	3	16	4	23	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	2	27
Ness	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
Norton	2	9	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Osage	1	6	10	17	6	5	7	18	0	2	0	2	37
Osborne	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	5
Ottawa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pawnee	3	8	4	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	17
Phillips	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Pottawatomie	6	25	7	38	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	2	42
Pratt	0	26	7	33	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	3	37
Rawlins	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Reno	28	117	58	203	2	2	10	14	8	10	18	36	253
Republic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Rice	3	11	0	14	0	1	1	2	1	1	6	8	24
Riley	22	54	50	126	0	1	2	3	4	3	1	8	137
Rooks	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
Rush	0	8	2	10	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	2	14
Russell	4	7	4	15	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	5	20
Saline	42	95	2	139	0	1	10	11	4	2	7	13	163
Scott	0	7	3	10	0	0	2	2	0	3	5	8	20
Sedgwick	281	743	314	1,338	11	19	27	37	10	23	32	65	1,440
Seward	7	37	15	59	0	0	0	0	3	5	6	14	73
Shawnee	93	166	140	399	2	2	4	8	64	36	21	121	528
Sheridan	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3

TABLE B-2.—CONCLUDED. Types of Crimes—Year Ending June 30, 1975

	Felonies				Misdemeanors				Appeals from lower courts				Total criminal cases commenced
	Against person	Against property	Other	Total felonies	DWI	Other traffic	Other	Total misdemeanors	DWI	Other traffic	Other	Total appeals	
Sherman . . .	2	7	2	11	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	13
Smith . . .	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
Stafford . . .	6	5	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	14
Stanton . . .	3	1	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6
Stevens . . .	5	2	0	7	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	3	11
Sumner . . .	6	14	12	32	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	35
Thomas . . .	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3
Trego . . .	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Walhausen . . .	1	17	0	18	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	21
Wallace . . .	2	8	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	10
Washington . . .	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	6
Wichita . . .	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Wilson . . .	2	24	7	33	0	0	6	6	0	2	0	4	43
Woodson . . .	2	4	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	8
Wyandotte . . .	176	218	17	401	0	2	4	6	78	66	188	332	739
Grand Total	1,229	2,845	1,090	5,164	58	69	129	256	329	395	582	1,306	6,726

PROBATE COURTS
TABLE C-1.—SUMMARY OF BUSINESS HANDLED—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

County	Estates of decedents		Guardianship, conservatorship		Trusts under supervision	Juvenile cases	Habeas corpus hearings	Orders in absence of district judge	Adoption proceedings	Care and treatment proceedings	Determination of decedent	Miscellaneous	Foreign transcripts
	Opened during year	Closed during year	Opened during year	Closed during year									
Allen	39	48	20	20	16	72	0	0	14	11	37	7	5
Anderson	43	47	9	8	0	13	0	0	7	11	12	0	7
Atchison	64	50	17	10	9	41	0	0	11	49	31	0	15
Barber	47	46	11	0	32	23	0	16	5	10	40	6	30
Barton	102	125	23	21	41	297	0	17	33	76	40	10	36
Bourbon	40	35	21	15	13	194	1	10	15	15	27	10	5
Brown	54	54	8	1	8	36	0	0	12	18	20	4	9
Butler	86	102	21	8	7	131	0	0	40	40	42	0	23
Chase	14	10	4	2	3	17	0	1	1	1	8	2	6
Chautauqua	25	19	2	3	3	18	0	3	9	0	8	2	6
Cherokee	155	137	16	4	0	109	0	0	20	27	0	0	3
Cheyenne	21	26	4	2	0	12	0	0	3	7	12	0	9
Clark	19	11	0	0	4	3	0	0	2	0	10	0	7
Clay	62	74	5	7	25	0	0	0	5	1	23	2	13
Cloud	49	47	5	7	26	39	0	8	12	6	19	11	24
Coffey	38	32	7	2	4	37	0	0	3	3	19	2	16
Comanche	17	19	2	0	16	2	0	5	3	1	7	0	8
Cowley	89	87	22	22	16	219	0	0	30	21	59	12	28
Crawford	85	62	31	16	43	300	0	0	27	42	60	0	13
Decatur	23	20	1	2	4	1	0	2	4	0	9	4	6
Dickinson	85	94	19	20	40	213	0	38	18	37	32	12	13
Doniphan	26	26	7	6	9	32	1	19	8	2	11	8	9
Douglas	87	90	22	18	48	677	0	0	52	21	50	34	8
Edwards	35	33	13	3	4	15	0	11	1	1	17	76	18
Elk	13	16	6	8	2	14	0	5	3	1	9	0	11
Ellis	79	71	36	8	18	138	0	11	19	73	21	36	54
Ellsworth	45	52	7	6	7	57	0	12	5	11	15	0	13
Finney	59	45	12	5	2	224	0	0	32	32	0	31	64
Ford	76	45	20	9	35	203	0	60	18	50	38	16	23
Franklin	59	51	24	15	10	71	0	0	18	21	39	11	36

TABLE C-1.—CONTINUED. Summary of Business Handled—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTRY	Estates of decedents		Guardianship, conservatorship		Trusts under supervision	Juvenile cases	Habeas corpus hearings	Orders in absence of district judge	Adoption proceedings	Care and treatment proceedings	Determination of decedent	Miscellaneous	Foreign transcripts
	Opened during year	Closed during year	Opened during year	Closed during year									
Geary	53	51	1	12	9	169	0	19	55	17	17	14	5
Gove	22	14	3	1	5	6	0	0	3	1	15	1	20
Graham	30	35	4	10	3	0	0	0	5	7	10	0	29
Grant	9	15	5	2	13	128	0	0	5	3	3	3	27
Gray	19	17	5	4	6	24	0	8	6	10	7	5	27
Greeley	7	5	1	1	1	0	0	1	3	2	4	1	14
Greenwood	32	2	6	1	5	0	0	0	4	7	8	0	13
Hamilton	9	12	2	1	33	33	0	1	3	8	10	0	13
Harper	59	55	12	4	54	54	0	32	9	2	24	5	25
Harvey	83	105	33	20	17	186	0	4	30	52	37	13	15
Haskell	7	11	3	2	0	18	0	4	6	3	8	0	35
Hodgeman	8	16	0	0	4	4	0	4	4	7	2	0	17
Jackson	20	27	5	7	2	41	0	8	8	7	8	1	24
Jefferson	28	36	10	10	7	87	0	0	17	7	17	5	6
Jewell	17	24	2	4	4	29	0	6	2	2	15	4	13
Johnson	257	206	123	63	115	1,751	0	13	280	88	51	73	52
Kearny	14	14	0	4	4	20	0	5	4	1	13	0	28
Kingman	35	73	11	7	17	167	0	0	4	15	8	0	20
Kiowa	26	27	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	4	10	3	12
Labette	45	55	26	12	15	198	0	0	24	46	89	10	21
Lane	17	16	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	3	5	0	1
Leavenworth	64	71	24	19	15	262	0	2	73	53	43	10	14
Lincoln	31	22	5	4	5	16	0	11	0	3	19	48	13
Linn	22	17	16	4	0	34	0	0	4	2	13	3	1
Logan	22	21	1	1	0	50	0	0	3	2	11	3	24
Lyon	57	59	24	9	4	191	0	0	27	28	42	8	9
Marion	75	57	21	16	19	52	0	16	15	8	34	1	17
Marshall	42	56	10	14	18	31	1	0	9	14	45	6	13
McPherson	81	80	9	7	18	184	0	16	28	16	60	22	0
Meade	30	29	3	2	13	44	1	7	7	3	13	2	13

TABLE C-1.—CONTINUED. Summary of Business Handled—Year Ending June 30, 1975

County	Estates of decedents		Guardianship, conservatorship		Trusts under supervision	Juvenile cases	Habeas corpus hearings	Orders in absence district judge	Adoption proceedings	Care and treatment proceedings	Determination of decedent	Miscellaneous	Foreign transcripts
	Opened during year	Closed during year	Opened during year	Closed during year									
Miami	32	33	19	14	7	160	0	55	18	122	22	0	11
Mitchell	32	48	7	3	7	31	0	15	11	9	20	0	9
Montgomery	103	113	21	32	33	178	0	0	39	70	75	20	24
Morris	14	20	11	8	3	34	0	0	7	7	11	0	7
Morton	16	4	2	1	0	15	0	0	8	7	1	0	14
Nemaha	40	34	13	7	12	12	0	0	12	0	23	0	10
Neosho	76	68	17	10	9	90	0	0	19	27	19	12	14
Ness	31	26	9	5	3	0	0	0	2	1	23	1	24
Norton	31	20	5	2	8	48	0	3	4	1	13	20	8
Osage	41	39	18	12	11	36	0	23	12	8	21	0	28
Osborne	46	45	12	6	15	12	0	19	4	3	10	5	19
Ottawa	28	31	5	5	8	0	0	0	2	9	18	9	22
Paynee	64	65	21	10	27	36	0	11	6	309	9	101	25
Phillips	45	30	4	9	12	31	0	5	7	3	12	8	10
Pottawatomie	51	44	12	11	7	31	0	0	12	6	11	0	11
Pratt	44	61	6	4	28	76	0	19	16	21	18	10	41
Rawlins	20	20	5	4	3	23	0	0	7	3	4	0	4
Reno	147	166	65	40	95	432	0	0	72	85	69	21	50
Republic	47	53	10	6	4	0	0	6	1	1	23	14	8
Rice	49	65	3	12	21	45	0	14	17	12	22	3	25
Riley	76	77	17	11	44	212	0	6	57	9	21	17	13
Rooks	47	46	13	10	0	21	0	2	7	14	32	0	29
Rush	25	30	4	4	1	13	0	8	6	11	19	3	23
Russell	47	48	2	2	1	24	0	0	11	13	19	3	34
Saine	101	109	42	12	66	703	0	0	49	107	55	0	29
Scott	7	0	1	0	2	81	0	0	7	5	6	0	15
Sedgewick	580	534	266	140	334	2,635	0	0	370	1,083	156	167	59
Seward	43	16	10	7	18	180	2	0	19	158	65	0	70
Shawnee	298	333	149	99	201	2,768	0	0	202	628	165	57	44
Sheridan	17	19	3	0	6	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	19

TABLE C-1.—CONCLUDED. Summary of Business Handled—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTY	Estates of decedents		Guardianship, conservatorship		Trusts under supervision	Juvenile cases	Habeas corpus hearings	Orders in absence of district judge	Adoption proceedings	Care and treatment proceedings	Determination of decedent	Miscellaneous	Foreign transcripts
	Opened during year	Closed during year	Opened during year	Closed during year									
Sherman ..	32	18	10	6	15	81	0	0	6	3	8	3	8
Smith ..	49	50	5	3	6	9	0	4	2	11	17	0	14
Stafford ..	30	55	9	4	8	18	0	12	7	6	11	0	31
Stanton ..	11	7	3	3	0	21	0	2	2	0	10	0	20
Stevens ..	24	21	8	3	2	8	0	0	7	2	12	4	26
Sumner ..	85	95	34	19	32	117	0	0	25	13	30	17	47
Thomas ..	29	35	2	3	9	49	0	0	10	0	7	0	14
Trego ..	23	24	4	5	3	22	0	0	2	6	5	6	24
Wabausee ..	24	27	7	4	3	24	0	0	4	4	11	4	6
Wallace ..	10	12	1	2	3	4	0	0	2	2	5	0	1
Washington ..	52	31	4	1	1	16	0	0	10	4	29	2	8
Wichita ..	13	13	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	1	2	1	14
Wilson ..	55	61	18	5	11	78	0	20	10	11	33	8	15
Woodson ..	11	17	5	1	0	28	0	0	2	5	15	0	8
Wyandotte ..	249	255	110	72	25	5,072	0	0	118	187	142	104	56
Total ..	5,736	5,720	1,757	1,105	1,896	20,461	6	598	2,309	3,992	2,641	1,159	2,033

PROBATE COURTS
TABLE C-2.—ESTATES OF DECEDENTS—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

COUNTIES	Pending July 1, 1974	Filed since July 1, 1974	Total number	Wills		Bonds		Estates closed			Estates pending July 1, 1975		
				With	Without	Personal	Surety	Total number closed	Closed within 15 months		Total number pending	Pending less than 1 year	
									Number	Percent		Number	Percent
Allen	70	39	109	65	44	32	27	48	35	72	61	39	63
Anderson	37	43	80	53	27	11	15	47	36	76	33	43	77
Archison	66	64	130	83	46	22	51	50	38	76	80	64	80
Barber	57	104	161	83	21	21	15	68	31	67	58	47	81
Barton	171	102	273	198	75	63	31	125	77	61	148	102	68
Bourbon	51	40	91	52	39	27	16	35	30	85	56	40	71
Brown	121	40	161	108	53	34	26	101	27	50	107	40	37
Butler	147	86	233	169	64	23	45	102	59	58	131	86	66
Chase	16	14	30	22	8	3	8	10	7	70	20	14	70
Chautauqua	19	25	44	23	21	1	23	19	16	84	25	25	100
Cherokee	92	155	247	71	176	16	28	137	114	83	110	155	71
Cheyenne	31	21	52	31	21	5	12	26	15	57	26	21	80
Clark	23	19	42	31	11	11	7	24	3	27	31	19	61
Clay	92	62	154	115	39	46	5	74	59	79	80	62	78
Cloud	57	49	106	73	33	25	19	47	27	57	59	49	83
Coffey	31	38	69	50	19	3	30	32	22	69	37	38	97
Comanche	37	17	54	37	17	15	3	19	11	57	35	17	48
Cowley	111	89	200	157	43	54	30	87	69	79	113	89	78
Crawford	104	85	189	125	64	16	56	62	32	51	127	85	66
Decatur	16	23	39	28	11	2	16	20	18	90	19	23	82
Dickinson	96	85	181	129	52	42	26	94	76	80	87	85	97
Doniphan	37	26	63	44	19	17	10	26	20	76	37	26	70
Douglas	151	87	238	181	57	3	67	90	56	62	148	87	58
Edwards	35	25	60	68	25	14	15	33	19	57	60	35	58
Elk	29	13	42	29	13	8	6	16	11	68	26	13	50
Ellis	105	79	184	129	55	46	12	71	52	73	113	79	69
Ellsworth	50	45	95	60	35	38	4	53	46	88	43	45	95
Finney	78	51	137	86	51	12	26	99	30	66	92	59	64
Ford	47	76	123	91	32	3	38	82	25	55	78	76	97
Franklin	86	59	145	102	43	24	22	51	39	76	94	59	62

TABLE C-2.—CONTINUED. Estates of Decedents—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTIES	Pending July 1, 1974	Filed since July 1, 1974	Total number	Wills		Bonds		Estates closed			Estates pending July 1, 1975			
				With	Without	Personal	Surety	None	Total number closed	Closed within 15 months		Total number pending	Pending less than 1 year	
										Number	Percent		Number	Percent
Geary	65	53	118	84	34	9	46	63	51	32	62	67	53	79
Gove	18	22	40	23	17	12	7	21	14	3	21	26	22	84
Graham	46	30	76	54	22	26	0	50	35	20	57	41	30	73
Grant	19	9	28	24	4	3	4	21	15	6	40	13	9	69
Gray	29	19	48	31	17	11	16	21	17	8	47	31	19	61
Greenlee	18	7	25	15	10	10	1	14	5	2	40	20	7	35
Greenwood	8	32	40	23	17	17	6	17	2	2	100	38	32	84
Hamilton	27	9	36	25	11	7	4	25	12	6	50	24	9	37
Harper	69	59	128	86	42	16	9	103	55	31	56	73	59	80
Harvey	136	83	219	174	45	34	29	156	105	73	69	114	83	72
Jackell	16	7	23	18	5	3	2	18	11	8	72	12	7	58
Jadegeman	23	8	31	25	6	1	11	19	16	7	43	15	8	53
Jackson	53	20	73	41	32	16	16	41	27	13	48	46	20	43
Jafferson	56	28	84	56	28	19	17	48	36	21	58	48	28	58
Jewell	30	17	47	29	18	20	11	16	24	13	54	23	17	73
Johnson	323	257	580	448	132	64	117	399	206	132	64	374	257	68
Jornay	15	13	28	18	10	3	7	18	14	7	50	14	13	92
Kingman	95	35	130	108	22	26	9	95	73	28	38	57	35	61
Iowa	35	26	61	43	18	16	4	41	27	11	40	34	26	76
Labette	77	45	122	80	42	14	28	80	55	43	78	67	45	67
Lane	18	17	35	29	6	6	6	23	16	10	62	19	17	89
Leavenworth	131	64	195	109	86	13	74	108	71	28	39	124	64	51
Lincoln	26	31	57	39	18	14	9	34	22	15	68	35	31	88
Lincoln	34	22	56	28	28	27	6	23	17	14	82	39	22	56
Logan	34	22	56	32	24	13	6	37	21	12	57	35	22	62
Lyons	130	57	187	123	64	29	60	98	59	38	64	128	57	44
Marion	68	75	143	109	34	43	35	95	57	49	85	86	75	87
Marshall	81	42	123	70	53	17	32	74	56	33	58	67	42	62
McPherson	105	81	186	130	56	55	11	120	56	70	76	106	81	76
Meade	37	30	67	45	22	13	13	41	29	19	65	38	30	78

TABLE C-2.—CONTINUED. Estates of Decedents—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTIES	Pending July 1, 1974	Filed since July 1, 1974	Total number	Wills			Bonds		Estates closed			Estates pending July 1, 1975		
				With	Without	None	Personal	Surety	Total number closed	Closed within 15 months		Total number pending	Pending less than 1 year	
										Number	Percent		Number	Percent
Miami	48	32	80	52	28	47	2	31	33	24	72	47	32	68
Fitchell	59	32	91	69	22	64	17	10	48	37	77	43	32	74
Montgomery	153	103	256	178	78	150	2	104	113	76	67	143	103	72
Morris	34	14	48	35	13	25	10	0	20	10	50	28	14	50
Morton	0	16	16	12	4	16	0	0	4	4	100	12	16	75
Memphis	42	40	82	68	14	59	7	16	34	24	79	48	40	83
Meosho	72	76	148	101	47	103	18	27	68	59	86	80	76	95
Less	34	31	65	45	20	37	14	14	26	16	61	39	31	79
Norton	59	31	90	56	34	43	13	34	20	11	55	70	31	44
Osage	54	41	95	59	36	46	22	27	39	17	43	56	41	73
Osborne	65	46	111	68	43	51	27	33	45	28	62	66	46	69
Rawlins	43	28	71	48	23	41	26	4	31	24	77	40	28	70
Rawnee	90	64	154	100	54	124	15	15	65	52	80	89	64	71
Phillips	46	45	91	68	23	56	22	13	30	15	50	61	45	73
Pottawatomie	53	51	104	73	31	56	30	18	44	37	84	60	51	85
Pratt	80	44	124	99	25	86	24	14	61	44	72	63	44	69
Rawlins	19	20	39	27	12	22	16	1	20	10	50	19	20	95
Republic	923	147	970	269	101	259	62	49	166	114	68	204	147	72
Rice	112	47	159	88	71	53	82	24	53	77	64	106	47	44
Rice	106	49	155	105	50	111	4	40	65	42	64	90	49	54
Riley	90	76	166	119	47	86	32	48	77	47	61	89	76	85
Rooks	63	47	110	82	28	36	60	20	46	26	56	64	47	73
Rush	36	25	61	44	17	44	7	10	30	21	70	25	25	80
Russell	50	47	97	62	35	66	20	11	48	31	65	49	47	96
Saline	171	101	272	195	77	178	39	55	109	69	63	163	101	61
Scott	0	7	7	6	1	5	0	2	0	0	0	7	7	100
Seaward	1,013	580	1,593	1,107	486	933	126	534	534	323	60	1,059	580	54
Shawnee	83	42	125	73	52	83	16	26	16	3	18	109	42	38
Shawnee	568	288	856	585	289	497	139	238	333	213	63	541	298	55
Sheridan	23	17	40	29	11	21	10	9	19	16	84	21	17	80

TABLE C-2.—CONCLUDED. Estates of Decedents—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTIES	Pending July 1, 1974	Filed since July 1, 1974	Total number	Wills		Bonds		Estates closed			Estates pending July 1, 1975		
				With	Without	Personal	Surety	None	Total number closed	Closed within 15 months		Total number pending	Pending less than 1 year
										Number	Percent		
German	32	32	64	39	25	11	14	18	9	50	46	32	69
Smith	59	49	108	79	29	53	0	55	28	56	58	49	84
Afford	86	30	116	80	36	26	13	77	20	36	61	30	49
Anton	15	11	26	20	6	8	4	19	7	57	19	11	57
Evans	25	24	49	38	11	3	12	34	21	85	28	24	85
Funner	124	85	209	153	56	18	60	95	70	73	114	85	74
Thomas	59	29	88	68	20	19	6	63	15	42	53	29	54
Wego	32	23	55	31	24	28	5	22	24	16	66	21	74
Albanssee	28	24	52	32	20	15	9	28	27	19	70	25	96
Allace	19	10	29	14	15	9	7	13	12	8	66	10	58
Ashington	73	52	125	89	36	27	37	61	31	35	94	52	55
Ichita	20	13	33	22	11	31	2	0	13	53	20	13	65
Ilkon	75	55	130	86	44	29	17	84	61	47	77	69	79
Oodon	22	11	33	19	14	11	9	13	17	11	64	11	68
Wyandotte	462	249	711	368	343	134	243	334	255	170	456	249	54
Totals	8,536	5,736	14,272	9,671	4,601	2,473	3,163	8,636	5,720	3,727	8,552	5,736	67%

PROBATE COURTS
TABLE C-3.—GUARDIANSHIPS, CONSERVATORSHIPS AND TRUSTS—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

County	Guardianships and Conservatorships										Trusts				
	Number pending July 1, 1974	Number filed since July 1, 1974	Number closed during the year	Number pending June 30, 1975	Guardianships	Conservatorships	Both	Voluntary	Involuntary	Bonds		Inventory of account filed during year	Number under supervision	Number of accounts during year	
										Personal	Surety				None
Allen	139	20	20	139	5	66	88	64	95	109	39	11	29	7	7
Anderson	6	9	8	7	4	10	1	2	13	7	2	6	47	0	0
Atchison	97	17	10	104	32	34	48	12	102	28	76	10	7	9	3
Barber	41	11	0	52	2	17	33	10	42	38	14	0	84	18	14
Barton	121	23	21	123	1	56	87	52	92	51	77	16	31	46	25
Bourbon	59	21	15	65	4	22	54	14	66	48	15	17	52	42	8
Brown	51	8	1	58	16	22	21	12	47	28	17	14	8	5	3
Butler	104	21	8	117	9	14	102	101	24	46	47	32	22	27	0
Chase	32	4	2	34	8	11	17	8	28	31	4	1	41	15	2
Chautauqua	20	2	3	19	15	3	4	7	15	3	18	1	31	7	3
Cherokee	17	16	4	29	3	2	28	12	21	0	10	23	12	4	0
Cheyenne	3	4	2	5	0	3	4	4	3	2	5	0	71	5	0
Clark	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	4	1
Clay	58	5	7	56	37	26	0	22	41	48	12	3	34	22	14
Cloud	60	5	7	58	5	21	39	12	53	30	26	9	30	20	15
Coffey	57	7	2	62	24	18	22	14	50	12	38	14	48	31	4
Comanche	16	2	0	18	1	8	9	6	12	12	1	5	0	0	0
Cowley	116	22	22	116	6	70	62	29	109	67	43	28	44	61	10
Crawford	195	31	16	210	43	32	151	6	220	28	172	26	23	53	25
Decatur	19	1	2	18	2	7	11	14	6	13	4	3	25	5	2
Dickinson	96	19	20	95	0	18	97	31	84	62	37	16	86	100	34
Doniphan	47	7	6	48	0	9	45	5	49	38	11	5	44	24	6
Douglas	79	22	18	83	14	61	26	79	22	39	61	31	37	38	28
Douglas	42	13	3	52	10	23	22	22	33	20	29	6	25	14	3
Edwards	25	6	8	23	0	9	22	9	22	21	10	0	90	28	2
Elk															

TABLE C-3.—CONTINUED. Guardianships, Conservatorships and Trusts—Year Ending June 30, 1975

County	Guardianships and Conservatorships										Trusts					
	Number pending July 1, 1974	Number filed since July 1, 1974	Number closed during the year	Number pending June 30, 1975	Guardianships	Conservatorships	Both	Voluntary	Involuntary	Bonds		Inventory of account filed during year		Number under supervision	Number of accounts during year	
										Personal	Surety	None	Number			Percent of total
Ellis	138	36	8	166	30	59	85	30	144	135	15	24	46	26	9	
Ellsworth	42	7	6	43	4	24	21	11	38	34	10	5	18	36	5	
Finney	61	12	5	68	12	29	32	33	42	22	35	16	7	9	0	
Ford	90	20	9	101	5	75	30	43	67	73	88	15	33	30	17	
Franklin	97	24	15	106	16	57	48	82	39	73	23	25	51	42	7	
Geary	60	1	12	49	38	7	16	7	54	20	29	12	41	67	6	
Gove	11	3	1	13	5	2	7	3	11	7	5	2	5	35	3	
Graham	16	4	0	20	6	5	9	20	0	13	0	7	3	15	3	
Grant	7	5	2	10	0	5	7	1	11	3	8	1	2	16	6	
Gray	30	5	4	31	0	22	13	22	13	15	16	4	19	54	9	
Greeley	6	1	1	6	0	4	3	2	5	5	2	0	2	28	0	
Greenwood	22	6	1	27	4	17	7	13	15	20	8	0	4	14	5	
Hamilton	9	2	1	10	0	7	4	7	4	9	0	2	1	9	2	
Harper	22	12	4	30	6	11	17	18	16	12	6	16	10	29	6	
Harvey	132	33	20	145	38	53	74	32	133	85	63	17	22	13	12	
Haskell	7	3	2	8	1	6	3	5	5	4	4	2	2	20	0	
Hodgeman	15	0	2	13	0	6	9	5	10	9	4	2	2	13	0	
Jackson	25	5	7	23	5	13	12	13	17	15	15	0	2	40	0	
Jefferson	82	10	10	82	23	40	29	35	57	45	32	15	33	35	1	
Jewell	26	2	4	24	3	4	21	2	26	12	15	1	14	50	4	
Johnson	508	123	63	568	200	267	164	20	611	152	261	218	256	40	40	
Kearny	11	0	4	7	6	5	0	5	6	6	5	0	1	9	1	
Kingman	66	11	7	70	10	33	34	28	49	57	18	2	31	40	2	
Kiowa	18	1	8	16	4	9	6	6	13	10	8	1	8	42	7	
Labette	81	26	12	95	6	25	76	7	100	47	48	12	36	33	6	
Lane	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	8	0	3	2	3	0	0	0	
Leavenworth	135	24	19	140	9	29	121	13	146	45	72	42	16	10	8	
Lincoln	27	5	4	28	7	11	14	7	25	21	10	1	11	34	3	
Linn	40	16	4	52	6	18	42	6	50	43	8	1	30	53	3	
Logan	19	1	1	19	3	11	6	18	2	4	2	14	2	10	0	

TABLE C-3.—CONTINUED. Guardianships, Conservatorships and Trusts—Year Ending June 30, 1975

County	Guardianships and Conservatorships										Trusts					
	Number pending July 1, 1974.	Number filed since July 1, 1974.	Number closed during the year	Number pending June 30, 1975	Guardianships.	Conservatorships. . . .	Both	Voluntary	Involuntary.	Bonds		Inventory of account filed during year		Number under supervision	Number of accounts during year.	
										Personal	Surety	None	Number			Percent of total
Lyon	123	24	9	138	12	51	84	34	113	40	85	22	35	23	4	0
Marion	103	21	16	108	47	40	37	34	90	88	29	77	46	37	19	10
Marshall	112	10	14	108	19	61	42	62	60	47	52	23	59	48	18	10
McPherson	91	9	7	93	23	32	45	17	83	82	14	4	8	43	18	8
Meade	27	3	2	28	2	11	17	4	26	16	11	3	8	26	13	
Miami	84	19	14	89	22	39	42	10	93	25	62	16	19	18	7	2
Mitchell	45	7	3	49	6	8	38	38	14	37	10	5	19	36	7	
Montgomery	174	21	32	163	60	41	94	72	123	12	103	80	99	50	33	17
Morris	44	11	8	47	15	11	29	3	52	24	20	11	34	61	3	3
Morton	0	2	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Nemaha	41	13	7	47	2	19	33	29	25	23	23	8	26	48	12	9
Neosho	96	17	10	103	13	51	49	36	77	51	42	20	11	9	3	7
Ness	36	9	5	40	6	17	22	5	40	32	8	5	13	28	3	2
Norton	46	5	2	49	20	13	18	10	41	31	18	2	30	58	8	5
Osage	58	18	12	64	4	54	54	20	56	31	38	7	46	60	11	6
Osborne	37	12	6	43	14	21	14	26	23	24	22	3	5	10	15	8
Ottawa	37	5	5	37	10	10	22	5	37	21	14	7	22	52	8	1
Pawnee	54	21	10	65	11	35	29	30	45	42	13	20	29	38	27	17
Phillips	51	4	9	46	0	37	18	20	35	32	22	1	31	56	12	6
Pottawatomie	64	12	11	65	16	35	25	48	28	49	16	11	15	19	7	4
Pratt	41	6	4	43	4	16	27	22	25	30	10	7	19	40	28	20
Rawlins	24	5	4	25	1	16	12	15	14	20	4	5	8	27	23	3
Reno	260	65	40	285	82	109	134	37	288	65	199	61	158	48	95	43
Republic	56	10	6	60	21	36	9	39	27	24	40	2	29	43	4	
Rice	81	3	12	72	40	36	8	29	55	13	23	48	20	23	21	13
Riley	112	17	11	118	37	40	52	58	71	53	56	20	52	40	44	24
Rooks	55	13	10	58	15	34	19	7	16	55	12	1	23	33	44	3
Rush	6	2	4	4	2	5	1	7	1	2	4	2	4	50	1	1
Russell	12	2	2	12	5	7	2	4	10	6	6	2	2	14	1	1
Saline	176	42	12	206	35	72	111	44	174	108	86	24	69	31	66	39

TABLE C-3.—CONCLUDED. Guardianships, Conservatorships and Trusts—Year Ending June 30, 1975

County	Guardianships and Conservatorships										Trusts					
	Number pending July 1, 1974	Number filed since July 1, 1974	Number closed during the year	Number pending June 30, 1975	Guardianships	Conservatorships	Both	Voluntary	Involuntary	Bonds			Inventory of account filed during year		Number under supervision	Number of accounts during year
										Personal	Surety	None	Number	Percent of total		
Scott	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2
Sedgwick	1,477	266	140	1,603	270	473	1,000	761	982	609	880	254	726	41	334	143
Seward	85	10	7	88	28	31	36	8	87	10	53	32	60	63	18	14
Shawnee	817	149	99	867	33	231	702	164	802	415	446	105	105	10	201	129
Sheridan	22	3	0	25	5	4	16	5	20	11	6	8	7	28	6	4
Sherman	25	10	6	29	5	24	6	8	27	6	17	12	12	34	15	8
Smith	26	5	3	28	3	21	7	16	15	24	6	1	3	9	6	3
Stafford	39	9	4	44	12	13	23	12	36	19	23	6	29	60	8	5
Stanton	7	3	3	7	3	4	3	9	1	0	9	1	3	30	0	0
Stevens	17	8	3	22	2	12	11	14	11	10	11	4	2	8	2	0
Sumner	107	34	19	122	4	46	91	26	115	38	93	10	76	53	32	23
Thomas	15	2	3	14	5	8	4	3	14	12	2	3	6	35	9	4
Trego	21	4	5	20	0	17	8	5	20	22	3	0	14	56	3	3
Wabunsee	27	7	4	30	1	14	16	11	23	19	14	1	17	50	3	0
Wallace	7	1	2	6	1	3	4	6	2	4	4	0	1	12	3	2
Washington	18	4	1	21	1	3	18	4	18	17	5	0	10	45	1	1
Wichita	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wilson	36	18	5	49	8	15	31	17	37	33	13	8	8	14	11	9
Woodson	2	5	1	6	0	3	4	3	4	4	3	0	5	71	0	0
Wyandotte	561	110	72	599	152	144	375	278	393	166	309	196	159	23	25	7
Totals	8,764	1,757	1,105	9,416	1,770	3,438	5,313	3,211	7,310	4,189	4,523	1,809	3,563	3,434	1,896	1,011

COUNTY COURTS
TABLE D-1.—DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

County	Total number cases	Cases dismissed	Cases not contested	Trials			Number pending	Appeal to district courts	Garnishments	Attachments	Replevins	Forcible detainer
				Total number	By court	By jury						
Allen	192	78	43	37	37	0	34	1	3	1	0	0
Anderson	80	11	26	19	19	0	24	0	1	1	0	0
Barber	50	15	13	0	0	0	22	0	3	0	1	0
Barton	376	67	199	14	14	0	96	0	28	1	0	0
Bourbon	98	51	37	5	5	0	5	0	6	0	0	0
Brown	24	8	5	3	3	0	8	2	0	0	0	1
Butler	319	41	93	54	54	0	131	0	0	0	1	0
Chase	55	9	39	2	2	0	5	0	1	0	0	0
Chautauqua	52	21	15	4	4	0	15	0	1	2	0	0
Cherokee	28	6	16	3	3	0	3	0	5	2	1	0
Cheyenne	11	4	6	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0
Clark	21	0	19	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0
Clay	32	1	29	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Cloud	60	26	22	8	8	0	4	0	2	0	0	0
Coffey	37	11	12	1	1	0	13	0	3	3	0	0
Comanche	34	10	20	3	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Decatur	18	5	6	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	184	69	56	0	0	0	59	2	8	3	0	0
Doniphan	31	14	7	7	7	0	20	0	1	0	0	4
Douglas	857	258	1	22	22	0	576	4	57	1	0	48
Edwards	47	5	4	3	3	0	35	1	0	7	0	0
Elk	8	3	1	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
Ellis	391	101	138	106	106	0	46	3	35	25	0	2
Ellsworth	36	9	19	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	2
Finney	203	37	59	10	10	0	97	1	6	0	0	0

TABLE D-1.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Civil Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

County	Total number cases	Cases dismissed	Cases not contested	Trials			Number pending	Appeal to district courts	Garnishments	Attachments	Replevins	Forcible detainer
				Total number	By court	By jury						
Ford	419	86	28	130	130	0	175	0	10	3	0	0
Franklin	244	57	95	30	30	0	62	0	21	1	0	3
Gear	320	86	189	6	6	0	39	1	2	0	0	0
Gove	8	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Graham	24	5	15	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	0
Grant	68	9	13	2	2	0	44	2	11	0	0	0
Gray	58	25	19	1	1	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
Greeley	13	2	2	4	4	0	5	0	3	0	0	0
Greenwood	27	5	8	0	0	0	14	1	3	0	0	0
Hamilton	9	1	7	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Harper	97	6	33	3	3	0	55	0	8	1	0	0
Harvey	127	30	74	11	11	0	12	2	12	0	0	0
Haskell	34	6	13	0	0	0	16	0	1	1	0	0
Hodgeman	9	5	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
Jackson	32	4	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	56	10	11	0	0	0	35	0	10	0	0	0
Jewell	20	4	7	1	1	0	8	1	0	0	0	0
Kearny	30	10	10	0	0	0	10	0	3	0	0	0
Kingman	77	6	9	5	5	0	57	0	3	6	0	0
Kiowa	33	0	23	0	0	0	10	0	5	1	0	0
Labette	167	7	84	8	8	0	68	0	15	0	0	0
Lane	33	6	16	0	0	0	11	0	4	0	0	0
Lincoln	58	3	50	3	3	0	2	0	0	4	0	0
Linn	120	10	109	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Logan	20	5	6	0	0	0	9	0	2	0	0	0

TABLE D-1.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Civil Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

County	Total number cases	Cases dismissed	Cases not contested	Trials			Number pending	Appeal to district courts	Garnishments	Attachments	Replevins	Forcible detainer
				Total number	By court	By jury						
Lyon	467	44	273	44	43	1	106	0	55	9	0	0
Marion	21	5	1	3	3	0	12	0	0	0	0	0
Marshall	87	24	48	7	7	0	8	0	1	0	0	0
McPherson	87	18	26	9	9	0	34	0	2	1	0	0
Meade	81	8	63	2	2	0	8	1	9	0	1	0
Miami	129	55	66	8	8	0	0	1	4	0	0	0
Mitchell	46	16	32	1	1	0	7	1	1	0	0	0
Morris	102	15	82	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	0
Morton	9	2	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Nemaha	9	2	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
Ness	18	9	4	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Norton	28	7	16	1	1	0	4	1	1	3	0	0
Osage	39	21	41	8	8	0	19	1	5	14	0	0
Osborne	30	17	3	0	0	0	10	1	3	1	0	0
Ottawa	86	40	10	10	10	0	26	0	9	0	0	0
Pawnee	76	21	30	9	8	1	16	0	2	0	0	0
Phillips	53	15	23	5	5	0	10	0	4	1	0	0
Pottawatomie	140	70	26	0	0	0	44	0	7	0	0	0
Pratt	83	40	25	2	2	0	16	1	8	0	1	0
Rawlins	5	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0
Republic	51	14	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rice	168	140	27	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Riley	210	61	32	77	77	0	40	0	4	6	0	1
Rooks	85	6	41	1	1	0	37	0	0	8	0	0
Rush	36	3	20	7	7	0	6	0	3	1	0	0

TABLE D-1.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of Civil Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

County	Total number cases	Cases dismissed	Cases not contested	Trials			Number pending	Appeal to district courts	Garnishments	Attachments	Replevins	Forcible detainer
				Total number	By court	By jury						
Russell	71	25	27	0	0	0	19	0	2	0	0	0
Scott	67	1	47	0	0	0	19	0	3	0	0	0
Seward	209	46	92	4	4	0	67	0	28	27	1	0
Sheridan	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sherman	42	12	19	4	4	0	7	0	1	0	0	0
Smith	25	13	7	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Stafford	21	3	11	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
Stanton	17	6	0	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	0
Stevens	38	2	16	0	0	0	20	0	2	2	0	0
Sumner	149	34	114	1	1	0	0	0	17	3	0	0
Thomas	44	16	19	3	3	0	6	0	14	0	0	0
Trego	19	3	7	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
Wabauensee	18	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Wallace	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Washington	27	7	15	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Wichita	13	3	3	1	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
Wilson	160	45	46	49	49	0	20	0	0	0	0	0
Woodson	39	17	14	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	8,551	2,123	3,159	774	772	2	2,495	31	485	142	8	62

COUNTY COURTS
TABLE D-2.—DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL CASES—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

COUNTIES	Total number cases	Cases not tried		Bound over to district court	Trials			Pending	Paroles granted	Paroles revoked
		Number dismissed	Pleas of guilty		Total number	Acquitted	Convicted			
Allen	1,661	162	1,491	6	2	0	2	0	29	0
Anderson	1,820	57	1,523	4	4	2	2	232	0	0
Barber	1,132	23	1,035	6	32	1	31	36	2	0
Barton	3,820	335	3,321	65	68	3	65	31	8	0
Bourbon	2,128	86	2,001	9	14	1	13	18	3	0
Brown	2,261	45	2,170	12	31	6	25	3	22	0
Butler	6,324	131	6,079	60	54	13	41	0	148	0
Chase	1,855	67	1,763	4	21	1	20	0	6	0
Chautauqua	443	18	411	11	3	3	0	0	9	0
Cherokee	327	149	110	14	8	3	5	46	31	0
Cheyenne	73	9	58	4	0	0	0	2	8	0
Clark	434	16	393	10	14	12	2	11	19	3
Clay	367	3	350	23	1	1	0	3	1	0
Cloud	143	25	85	2	9	0	9	1	13	1
Coffey	4,743	264	4,431	2	46	1	45	0	5	0
Comanche	131	7	101	3	2	1	1	18	0	0
Decatur	837	4	833	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	2,206	277	1,561	23	2	0	2	343	0	0
Doniphan	872	64	690	11	26	1	25	81	11	0
Douglas	4,574	365	3,325	145	90	8	82	649	99	0
Edwards	1,780	128	1,514	9	5	1	4	124	1	0
Elk	874	13	844	9	8	1	7	0	1	0
Ellis	5,133	490	4,128	22	72	11	61	421	78	5
Ellsworth	5,901	179	5,371	4	71	0	71	276	24	0
Finney	3,251	236	2,641	48	14	7	7	312	9	0

TABLE D-2.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Criminal Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTIES	Total number cases	Cases not tried			Bound over to district court	Trials			Pending	Paroles granted	Paroles revoked
		Number dismissed	Pleas of guilty			Total number	Acquitted	Convicted			
Ford	3,616	159	2,736		50	39	7	32	632	38	6
Franklin	3,205	249	2,833		62	49	4	45	12	23	3
Geary	4,089	303	3,432		87	42	1	41	225	22	1
Gove	1,292	38	1,159		8	3	1	2	84	3	0
Graham	477	24	437		6	4	4	0	6	1	0
Grant	920	53	760		45	45	1	44	17	23	0
Gray	1,335	60	1,260		9	6	1	5	0	0	0
Greeley	152	13	128		2	2	2	0	7	10	0
Greenwood	2,724	86	2,418		11	3	0	3	206	1	0
Hamilton	684	12	669		0	3	1	2	0	0	0
Harper	1,273	49	1,213		2	1	1	0	8	10	0
Harvey	5,720	256	5,003		72	56	9	47	333	1	0
Haskell	750	59	689		2	0	0	0	0	4	0
Hodgeman	471	16	451		0	4	1	3	0	0	0
Jackson	1,890	84	1,738		17	0	0	0	51	232	0
Jefferson	507	20	477		5	5	1	4	0	0	0
Jewell	95	1	80		0	0	0	0	14	0	0
Kearny	608	32	542		3	14	3	11	17	12	1
Kingman	3,400	75	3,106		13	3	1	2	203	14	0
Kiowa	1,221	114	1,084		14	8	0	8	1	23	0
Labette	3,149	224	2,818		64	8	4	4	35	25	0
Lane	200	11	179		0	0	0	0	10	1	0
Lincoln	723	39	588		3	10	1	9	83	29	0
Linn	1,051	132	902		3	14	0	14	0	2	0
Logan	602	25	536		6	1	0	1	34	1	0

TABLE D-2.—CONTINUED. Disposition of Criminal Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTIES	Total number cases	Cases not tried		Bound over to district court	Trials			Pending	Paroles granted	Paroles revoked
		Number dismissed	Pleas of guilty		Total number	Acquitted	Convicted			
Lyon	5,607	245	5,234	88	35	12	23	5	49	25
Marion	2,536	76	2,435	14	11	2	9	0	19	0
Marshall	1,221	41	1,171	0	9	1	8	0	2	0
McPherson	5,670	65	5,224	37	48	20	28	296	142	20
Meade	1,024	82	911	3	22	0	22	6	35	0
Miami	4,313	225	4,036	26	26	13	13	0	9	1
Mitchell	801	12	783	0	6	1	5	0	0	0
Morris	450	29	366	5	1	0	1	49	0	0
Morton	313	48	195	4	1	0	1	65	0	0
Nemaha	873	28	726	1	27	4	23	91	29	0
Ness	508	13	492	3	0	0	0	0	1	0
Norton	871	26	816	2	0	0	0	27	14	0
Osage	5,563	240	4,796	21	54	3	51	452	18	1
Osborne	488	6	476	2	4	0	4	0	0	0
Ottawa	2,163	101	2,018	0	43	2	41	1	26	0
Pawnee	1,800	95	1,547	12	9	2	7	137	13	0
Phillips	1,057	93	887	0	2	0	2	75	7	0
Pottawatomie	2,803	84	2,392	51	7	6	1	269	8	22
Pratt	1,299	67	1,121	43	3	2	1	65	52	1
Rawlins	804	17	771	3	4	4	0	9	4	0
Republic	799	118	671	2	8	1	2	5	0	0
Rice	1,535	75	1,323	8	18	1	17	111	8	1
Riley	4,670	463	3,953	132	101	15	86	21	175	1
Rooks	840	29	744	3	10	4	6	54	41	0
Rush	1,178	47	1,084	5	13	1	12	29	1	0

TABLE D-2.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of Criminal Cases—Year Ending June 30, 1975

COUNTIES	Total number cases	Cases not tried		Bound over to district court	Trials			Pending	Paroles granted	Paroles revoked
		Number dismissed	Pleas of guilty		Total number	Acquitted	Convicted			
Russell	1,982	318	1,349	1	6	3	3	308	5	0
Scott	858	26	783	5	7	0	7	37	23	0
Seward	2,682	130	2,290	56	41	4	37	165	0	0
Sheridan	263	5	257	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Sherman	1,943	173	1,672	12	10	2	8	76	8	0
Smith	1,095	21	1,003	1	0	0	0	70	0	0
Stafford	1,074	41	1,001	4	0	0	0	28	6	0
Stanton	491	18	436	11	5	3	2	21	3	0
Stevens	408	24	375	5	2	0	2	2	1	0
Sumner	5,809	148	5,543	14	0	0	0	104	45	0
Thomas	1,492	50	1,287	2	23	2	21	130	2	0
Trego	1,863	69	1,613	2	10	3	7	169	0	1
Wabunsee	6,788	101	6,587	15	4	1	3	81	0	0
Wallace	147	2	137	7	6	0	0	1	3	0
Washington	954	44	890	5	6	1	5	9	23	0
Wichita	190	8	164	6	1	0	1	11	0	0
Wilson	1,144	94	904	25	11	1	10	110	125	0
Woodson	703	3	689	6	1	0	1	4	0	0
Totals	172,316	8,887	152,619	1,630	1,502	235	1,267	7,678	1,850	94

CITY COURTS
TABLE E-1.—DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

City	Total number cases	Cases dismissed	Cases not contested	Trials			Pending	Appeals	Garnishments	Attachments	Replevins	Forcible detainer
				Total number	To court	To jury						
Arkansas City	197	47	113	12	12	0	25	1	14	0	0	0
Atchison	449	102	232	13	12	1	102	1	55	4	1	3
Chanute	171	20	95	31	31	0	25	2	24	2	4	1
Court of Coffeyville	461	132	302	16	16	0	11	1	0	0	16	3
Hutchinson	1,525	253	746	30	30	0	496	4	231	0	34	28
(Magistrate court)												
Independence	231	45	178	1	1	0	7	0	34	2	2	0
Kansas City	7,111	1,666	3,935	185	185	0	1,325	57	627	60	191	799
(Magistrate court of Wyandotte Co.)												
Leavenworth	596	232	249	42	42	0	73	4	28	2	4	0
Olathe	3,942	634	1,701	169	169	0	1,438	87	327	209	123	275
(Magistrate court of Johnson Co.)												
Pittsburg	274	33	93	45	45	0	103	1	15	4	0	0
Salina	562	122	241	196	196	0	3	1	63	0	0	0
(Magistrate court of Saline Co.)												
Topeka	3,958	1,151	2,430	74	74	0	303	91	0	0	0	0
(Magistrate court of Shawnee Co.)												
Wichita	11,694	4,239	4,447	1,155	1,155	0	1,853	107	2,441	0	783	1,011
Court of Common Pleas of Sedgewick Co.)												
Winfield	179	39	95	18	18	0	27	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	31,350	8,715	14,857	1,987	1,986	1	5,791	357	3,859	283	1,158	2,120

CITY COURTS
TABLE E-2.—DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL CASES—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1975

City	Total number cases	Cases not tried		Bound over to district court	Trials			Pending	Paroles granted	Paroles revoked
		Number dismissed	Pleas of guilty		Total number	Acquitted	Convicted			
Arkansas City	973	175	730	37	13	4	9	18	52	0
Atchison	771	168	532	45	26	1	25	0	32	0
Chanute	1,732	128	1,404	20	179	6	173	1	28	1
Court of Coffeyville	1,107	79	931	73	8	0	8	16	57	0
Hutchinson (Magistrate court)	7,941	334	6,854	230	119	18	101	404	274	3
Independence	1,824	109	1,598	73	35	11	24	9	91	4
Kansas City (Magistrate court of Wyandotte Co.)	7,219	985	5,339	286	112	111	1	497	112	33
Leavenworth	3,862	651	2,805	73	86	0	86	247	89	15
Olathe (Magistrate court of Johnson Co.)	11,891	1,059	8,697	328	237	48	189	1,570	113	1
Pittsburg	2,633	6	2,416	14	185	9	176	12	4	0
Salina (Magistrate court of Saline Co.)	7,437	624	6,104	69	127	4	123	513	84	0
Topeka (Magistrate court of Shawnee Co.)	9,265	526	7,771	286	178	13	165	504	0	0
Wichita (Court of Common Pleas of Sedgewick Co.)	16,429	718	13,134	980	1,300	63	1,237	297	675	4
Winfield	1,878	220	1,542	21	25	7	18	70	91	1
Totals	74,962	5,782	59,857	2,535	2,630	295	2,335	4,158	1,702	62

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976

(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Allen Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Iola	Floyd H. Coffman* Robert F. Stadler	Jeanne Smith	4	19c	23c	15c	19c	17c	7h	6h	3h	20c	18c	15c	20c
Anderson Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Garnett	Floyd H. Coffman* Robert F. Stadler	Roberta Bowman	4	2c	6c	5c	2c	7c	2c	2c	6c	3c	1c	5c	3c
Atchison Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Atchison	Kenneth Harmon* J. W. Lowry	Mary L. Underwood	1	5 12	2	1	5 12	3	7	5	2	7 13	4	1	6
Barber Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Medicine Lodge	Doyle E. White* Chas. H. Stewart	Donna Garten	19	16c	9c	2c	2c	10c	8c	7c	2h	9c	11c	2c	2c
Barton (see note 6) Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Great Bend	Frederick Woelzel* Herb Rohleder	Irene Horner	20	7c	4c	2c	7c	5c	1c	5c	27c	1c	6c	1c	1c
Bourbon (see note 1)	Fort Scott	Chas. M. Warren	Betty O'Dell	6	5	4	3	7	10	2	7	4	13	6	3	1
Brown	Hiawatha	Wm. L. Stevenson	Mildred Davis	22	5	3	3	8	3	8	6	23	7	5	3	9
Butler Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	El Dorado	J. Patrick Brazil Page W. Benson*	Virginia Elmore	13	2	2	1	5	3	14	5	2	10	4	8	3
Chase	Cottonwood Falls	R. E. Miller	Virgene Gaines	5	26	23	2	26	24	1	26	30	27	26	2	27
Chautauqua Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Sedan	J. Patrick Brazil Page W. Benson*	Linda Griffin	13	5	2	8	5	10	7	9	6	7	1	1	6

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976—CONTINUED
(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Cherokee Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2 Div. No. 3 Div. No. 4	Columbus Columbus Div. Getena Div.	Donald Musser ^a Wm. P. Meek Hal Hyler Geo. W. Donaldson	Nina Coldiron	11	5	2	2	5	3	7	5	2	8	4	1	6
Cheyenne	St. Francis	Marvin W. Meyer	Era Zimbelman	17	5	3	1	1	17	7	1	18	8	1	9	9
Clark	Ashland	Robert M. Baker	Betty Wyatt	16	8c 16c	5c 16c	4c	8c	6c	3c	8c	5c	9c 27c	7c	4c	9c
Clay	Clay Center	Ronald D. Innes	Lucille Murrison	21	8	19	1	8	6	7	1	26	9	7	1	2
Cloud	Concordia	Richard W. Wahl	Marguerite Larson	12	5b	4b	4b	8b	4g	10b	6b	2g	7b	5g	2g	9b
Coffey Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Burlington	Floyd H. Coffman ^a Robert F. Stadler	Audrey Hegg	4	12c	9c	8c	12c	10c	14c	12c	9c	13c	11c	8c	13c
Comanche	Coldwater	Robert M. Baker	Ellen Erwin	16	7c	4c	3c	7c	3h 17c	2c	7c	4c	8c	6c	3c	6c
Cowley Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Winfield	Doyle E. White ^a Chas. H. Stewart	Joy Detwiler	19	12a	6c	8a	2c	7c	7a	2c	2h	3c	4a	5c	3c
Crawford Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2 Div. No. 3 Div. No. 4	Girard Girard Div. Pittsburg Div.	Donald Musser ^a Wm. P. Meek Hal Hyler Geo. W. Donaldson	Janice Caruthers	11	12	6	5	5	7	4	9	6	3	4	5	3
Decatur	Oberlin	Marvin W. Meyer	Alice J. Vernon	17	7	9	3	7	5	3	12	20	9	4	4	3
Dickinson Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Abilene	John M. Rugh ^a Wm. D. Clement	Roberta Sleighter	8	5 19	4 24	4 22	6 26	7 24	8 21	12 20	2 26	13 30	6 29	5 22	1 20

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976—CONTINUED
(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Doniphan	Troy	Wm. L. Stevenson	Alice F. Crane	22	6	2	2	6	17	22	7	24	8	4	2	7
Douglas (see note 2) Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Lawrence	Frank R. Gray* James W. Paddock	Sherlyn W. Sampson	7	2	2	5	2	3	4	2	6	17	8	1	3
Edwards (see note 7)	Kinsley	C. Phillip Aldrich	Joan Parnell	24	6	3	2	6	4	8	7	3	8	5	2	7
Elk Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Howard	J. Patrick Brazil Page W. Benson*	Nadine Fickle	13	12	6	15	12	3	21	12	9	20	4	1	13
Ellis	Hays	Steven P. Flood	W. J. Billinger	23	12c 26c	2c 23c	8c 29c	12c 26c	3c 25c	14c 28c	12c 28c	16c	13c 27c	4c 26c	8c 29c	13c 28c
Ellsworth (see note 6) Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Ellsworth	Frederick Woelzelag* Herb Rohleder	Helen Katzenmeter	20	27c	24c	23c	27c	25c	22c	1c	25c	28c	26c	23c	21c
Finney (see note 4)	Garden City	Bert J. Vance	Rose Murray	25	12d 15a	17a 25a	2a 5a	13a 16a	10a 18a	8a 18a	16a 20a	10a 11a	20a 10a	19a 8a	12a 19a	17a 10a
Ford	Dodge City	Robert M. Baker	Beatrice Slattery	16	9c 19c	6c	5c	9c 19c	7c	4c	9c	6c	10c 13c	8c	5c	10c
Franklin Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Ottawa	Floyd H. Coffman* Robert F. Stadler	Ruby Sanford	4	9c	13c	12c	9c	14c	11c	9c	13c	10c	8c	12c	10c
Geary Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Junction City	John M. Rugh* Wm. D. Clement	Lillian Newman	8	6 20	5 25	1 23	7 27	5 25	7 22	7 21	3 27	8 28	7 26	8 23	2 21
Gove	Gove	Steven P. Flood	Mabel Fagan	23	13c	18c	15c	5c	18c	16c	14c	18c	14c	19c	15c	15c
Graham	Hill City	C. E. Birney	Margaret Hilderbrand	15	5	2	1	1	10	3	5	2	20	1	4	6

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976—CONTINUED
(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Grant (see note 5)	Ulysses	Keaton G. Duckworth.	Edna Walker	26	8c	4c	3c	12c	6c	3c	8c	5c	2c	5c	2c	13c
Gray	Cimmaron	Robert M. Baker	Marie Babcock	16	6c	3c	2c	5c	4c	1c	6c	3c	7c	5c	2c	7c
Greeley (see note 4)	Tribune	Bert J. Vance	Margaret Pile	25	14e	23e	1e	12e	17e	7e	19e	30e	13e	18e	8e	20e
Greenwood	Eureka	J. Patrick Brazil Page W. Benson*	Elanor Jacoby	13	19	9	15	12	17	18	16	13	3	12	15	6
Hamilton (see note 4)	Syracuse	Bert J. Vance	Helen Helm	25	14f	23f	1f	12f	17f	7f	19f	30f	13f	18f	8f	20f
Harper	Anthony	Doyle E. White* Chas. H. Stewart	Olive L. Chormley	19	15c	2c	1c	1c	3c	7c	6c	2c	8c	12c	1c	1c
Harvey	Newton	Sam H. Sturm	Joe Fox	9	15a	9a	11a	8a	10a	10a	8a	26a	16a	7a	8a	9a
Haskell (see note 5)	Sublett	Keaton G. Duckworth.	Georgia McNabb	26	6c	3c	8c	1c	4c	1c	6c	3c	1c	5c	8c	1c
Hodgeman (see note 7)	Jetmore	C. Philip Aldrich	Agnes Gleason	24	6	3	2	6	4	8	7	3	8	5	2	7
Jackson	Holton	John W. Brookens	Paul Shelby	2	12	4	3	7	3	9	7	4	15	4	3	8
Jefferson	Oskaloosa	John W. Brookens	Mary Schiller	2	13	3	1	6	4	7	6	3	14	5	1	7
Jewell	Mankato	Richard W. Wahl	Eva Myers	12	7b	5b	3b	5b	5b	8b	9b	2b	9b	18b	2b	8b
Johnson	Olathe	Herbert W. Walton Harold L. Hammond Buford L. Shankel Harold R. Riggs Philip L. Woodworth Lewis C. Smith	Hazel Mueller	10	5 14 28	11 25 24	10 24	14 28	3 12 26	9 23	14 28	11 25	7 8 22	13 27	10 24	8 22

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976—CONTINUED
(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Kearny (see note 4)	Lakin	Bert J. Vance	Elizabeth Williams	25	14h	23h	8a	12h	17h	7h	19h	30h	13h	18h	8h	20h
Kingman Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Kingman	Doyle E. White Chas. H. Stewart	Janis McIlrath	19	26c	11c	4c	26c	13c	10c	9c	3h	27c	13c	4c	6c
Kiowa	Greensburg	Robert M. Baker	Billie Huckriede	16	7h	4h	3h	7h	3c	2h	7h	4h	8h	6h 12c	3h	6h
Labette (see note 3) Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2 Div. No. 3 Div. No. 4	Oswego Oswego Div. Parsons Div.	Donald Musser* Wm. P. Meek Hal Hyler Geo. W. Donaldson	Virginia Beaty	11	9 23	3 13	5 19	13 23	7 21	14 25	23	25	10 24	5 15	5 19	10 23
Lane (see note 7)	Dighton	C. Phillip Aldrich	Ella Lawrence	24	7	4	3	7	5	9	8	4	9	6	3	8
Leavenworth Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Leavenworth	Kenneth Harmon* J. W. Lowry	Mary Gausz	1	9	6	5	2	3	4	2	6	3	1	5	3
Lincoln	Lincoln	Richard W. Wahl	Jennie Panzer	12	7g	2b	3g	7b	5g	9b	9g	4b	8b	6b	15b	8g
Linn (see note 1)	Mound City	Chas. M. Warren	Ann Stuart	6	8	5	4	5	6	3	12	5	2	7	4	6
Logan	Oakley	Steven P. Flood	Belle Selley	23	5c	17c	9c	6c	17c	15c	13c	17c	7c	18c	23c	14c
Lyon	Emporia	R. E. Miller	Phebe Stone	5	28 25	3 25	31	28	26	30	28	25	29	5 27	24	29
Marion Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Marion	John M. Rugh* Wm. D. Clement	Geraldine Seibell	8	7 21	2 26	2	8 28	3 26	3	8 22	4 30	9 29	4 27	4 19	3 22
Marshall	Marysville	Wm. L. Stevenson	Wilma Blaser	22	7	4	1	7	4	7	8	25	9	6	1	8

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976—CONTINUED
(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
McPherson	McPherson	Sam H. Sturm	Alma Bretches	9	12a	6a	12a	5a	14a	11a	9a	27a	17a	4a	5a	10a
Meade	Meade	Robert M. Baker	Evelyn Dye	16	6h	3h	2h 15c	5h	4h	1h	6h	3h	7h	5h 25c	2h	7h
Miami (see note 1)	Paola	Chas. M. Warren	Vivian McCready	6	6	2	2	6	4	7	6	3	7	4	2	7
Mitchell	Beloit	Richard W. Wahl	Neva Wagner	12	6b	5g	1b	7g	6b	9g	7b	4g	8g	6g	1b	7b
Montgomery Independence Div. Coffeyville Div.	Independence	David H. Scott	Bessie Scofield	14		2										
					8	5	4	1	6	3	1	5	2	7	4	2
					7	4	3	5	5	2	7	4	1	6	3	1
							7	7					13		6	6
Morris Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Council Grove	John M. Rugh* Wm. D. Clement	Marie Bokert	8	8 22	3 27	3 25	5 29	4 27	4 24	9 23	5 31	10 27	5 28	3 18	6 23
Morton (see note 5)	Elkhart	Keaton G. Duckworth	Verda Allen	26	7c	9c	2c	6c	5c	2c	7c	4c	13c	6c	3c	2c
Nemaha	Seneca	Wm. L. Stevenson	Jane Heinen	22	8	5	4	5	18	21	9	26	10	7	4	6
Neosho Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2 Div. No. 3 Div. No. 4	Erie Erie Div. Chanute Div.	Don Musser* Wm. P. Meek Hal Hyler Geo. W. Donaldson	Virginia Embry	11	7	4	9	7	5	2	7	25	8	13	3	8
Ness (see note 7)	Ness City	C. Phillip Aldrich	Opal Burdett	24	7	4	3	7	5	9	8	4	9	6	3	8
Norton	Norton	Marvin W. Meyer	Myredith Tonne	17	8	5	15	6	6	1	6	23	7	18	8	1
Osage Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Lyndon	Floyd H. Coffman* Robt. F. Stadler	Margaret Knight	4	13c	10c	9c	13c	11c 25c	15c 8c	13c	10c	14c	12c	9c	14c

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976—CONTINUED
(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Osborne	Osborne	Marvin W. Meyer	Irene Lafoon	17	14	11	10	12	3	10	9	26	16	13	16	6
Ottawa Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Minneapolis	Morris V. Hoobler Raymond E. Haggert	Esther Plunkett	28	13	10	9	13	11	8	13	10	14	12	9	14
Pawnee (see note 7)	Larned	C. Phillip Aldrich	Lois Miller	24	5	2	1	5	3	7	6	2	7	4	1	6
Phillips	Phillipsburg	Marvin W. Meyer	Doris Van Allen	17	12	4	8	5	10	8	7	24	14	8	1	7
Pottawatomie	Westmoreland	John W. Brookens	Deane L. Arnold	2	15	5	4	5	6	10	8	5	13	7	4	6
Pratt Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Pratt	Doyle E. White Chas. H. Stewart	Betty Onstott	19	19c	10c	3c	19c	12c	9c	8c	3c	10c	18c	3c	3c
Rawlins	Atwood	Marvin W. Meyer	Bessie Peterson	17	6	2	2	2	4	2	2	19	13	5	3	2
Reno Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Hutchinson	W. A. Gossage James H. Rexroad	Sara Hill	27	2 16 9 23	6 20 13 27	5 19 12 26	2 16 9 23	7 21 14 28	4 18 11 25	2 16 9 23	6 20 13 27	3 17 10 24	1 15 8 22	5 19 12 26	3 17 10 24
Republic	Belleville	Richard W. Wahl	Earl Baldridge	12	8g	3g	2g	6g	3b	8g	8g	3g	9g	4b	3g	6g
Rice (see note 6) Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Lyons	Frederick Woelzel Herb Rohleder	Laura Saint	20	6c	2c	1c	6c	3c	7c	2h	26h	7c	4c	2c	6c
Riley	Manhattan	Ronald D. Innes	Ruth Houghton	21	12	2	8	12	3	14	12	30	13	4	15	13
Rooks	Stockton	C. E. Birney	Virginia Doughty	15	12	3	2	6	3	1	6	3	7	5	2	7
Rush (see note 7)	La Cross	C. Phillip Aldrich	Clara Humburg	24	5	2	1	5	3	7	6	2	7	4	1	6
Russell (see note 6) Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Russell	Frederick Woelzel Herb Rohleder	Vivian Miller	20	26c	23c	22c	26c	26c	28c	1h	25h	27c	27c	22c	20c

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976—CONTINUED
(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Saline Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Salina	Morris V. Hoobler* Raymond E. Haggert	Betty Just	28	6	3	2	6	4	1	6	3	7 14	5	2	7
Scott (see note 4)	Scott City	Bert J. Vance	Arlista Grube	25	13b	24b	3b	19b	24b	21b	6b	31b	14b	18b	22b	20b
Sedgwick Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2 Div. No. 3 Div. No. 4 Div. No. 5 Div. No. 6 Div. No. 7 Div. No. 8 Div. No. 9	Wichita	Willis W. Wall Howard C. Kline* B. Mack Bryant James V. Riddle, Jr. James I. Noone Robt. T. Stephan Tom Raum, Jr. Nicholas W. Klein David P. Calvert	Dorothy Van Arsdale	18	9			5					20			
Seward (see note 5)	Liberal	Keaton G. Duckworth	Dessie Jenkins	26	12	6c	5c	19c	7c	4c	2c	6c	3c	11c	5c	3c
Shawnee Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2 Div. No. 3 Div. No. 4 Div. No. 5	Topeka	Wm. R. Carpenter Michael A. Barbara E. Newton Vickers* Adrian I. Allen Kay McFarland	Lorene Wells	3	16 30 9 23	20 13 27 6	26 5 19 12	30 9 23 16	14 28 2 21	4 18 2 25	9 23 2 30	13 27 6 16	17 10 24 3	22 1 29 8	26 5 19 12	31 10 24 17
Sheridan	Hoxie	C. E. Birney	Vergie Wentz	15	8	23	4	2	17	4	2	6	1	4	5	3
Sherman	Goodland	C. E. Birney	Dixie Chatfield	15	7 21	4 18	3 17	5 19	4 18	2 14	1 14	4 16	2 16	7 21	3 15	2 16
Smith	Smith Center	Marvin W. Meyer	Betty McDonald	17	13	10	9	19	7	9	8	25	15	12	15	8
Stafford (see note 6) Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	St. John	Fredrick Woelschel* Herbert Rohleder	Darlene Bartlett	20	5c	3c	3c	5c	4c	2c	2c	26c	8c	5c	3c	7c
Stanton (see note 5)	Johnson	Keaton G. Duckworth	Bonnie Eckas	26	7h	16c	2h	6h	5h	2h	7h	4h	20c	6h	2c	2h

(See Note 9)

COURT DAYS IN DISTRICT COURTS—1976—CONCLUDED
(See Notes on Page 125)

County	County seat	Judge	Clerk	No. Jud. Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Stevens (see note 5)	Hugoton	Keaton G. Duckworth	Shirley DeCamp	26	6h	3h	15c	1h	4h	1h	6h	3h	1h	18c	3h	1h
Sumner Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Wellington	Doyle E. White ^o Chas. H. Stewart	Charlotte Liddle	19	13c	2c	1c	5c	11c	7h	12c	2c	14c	4h	1c	6c
Thomas	Colby	C. E. Birney	Thelma Livingston	15	6	5	15	7	24	8	7	5	3	6	1	1
Trego	WaKeeney	Steven P. Flood	Cora Hladek	23	13f	18f	1c	5f	18f	16f	14f	18f	14f	19f	1c	15f
Wabaunsee	Alma	John W. Brookens	Norma Doty	2	16	2	5	9	17	11	9	6	17	18	5	10
Wallace	Sharon Springs	Steven P. Flood	Miriam Bell	23	19c	17f	9f	6f	17f	15f	13f	17f	20c	18f	23f	14f
Washington	Washington	Richard W. Wahl	Lois Acree	12	8b	3b	2b	6b	4b	7b	8b	3b	20b	5b	3b	6b
Wichita (see note 4)	Leoti	Bert J. Vance	Margie Ames	25	14e	23e	1e	12e	17e	7e	19e	30e	13e	18e	8e	13c
Wilson Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2 Div. No. 3 Div. No. 4	Fredonia	Don Musser ^o Wm. P. Meek Hal Hyler Geo. W. Donaldson	Leslie York	11	6	5	11	6	6	3	8	26	7	14	4	9
Woodson Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2	Yates Center	Floyd H. Coffman ^o Robert F. Stadler	Doris Peterson	4	20c	17c	16c	20c	18c	7c	6c	3c	21c	19c	16c	21c
Wyandotte Div. No. 1 Div. No. 2 Div. No. 3 Div. No. 4 Div. No. 5 Div. No. 6 Div. No. 7	Kansas City	O. Q. Chaffin, III Wm. J. Burns Harry C. Miller ^o Joe H. Swinehart Leo J. Moroney Cordell D. Meeks Wayne H. Phillips	Richard Shannon	29	(See Note 8)											

^o Denotes administrative judge in multiple-judge districts

NOTES

Italicized dates indicate the first day of the regular term of court—
a. 9:00 a. m.; b. 9:30 a. m.; c. 10:00 a. m.; d. 10:30 a. m.; e. 11:00 a. m.;
f. 1:00 p. m.; g. 1:30 p. m.; h. 2:00 p. m.

NOTE 1. In Bourbon, Linn and Miami Counties court convenes at 10:00 a. m. for trial to the court and 9:00 a. m. for jury trials.

NOTE 2. In Douglas County all court days open at 9:30 a. m.; on days on which a term of court opens, the civil docket will be called beginning at 9:30 a. m. in Division I and at 2:00 p. m. in Division II.

NOTE 3. In Labette County, Parsons Division, July 26, and August 26, are Civil Court Days and July 27, and August 27, are Criminal Court Days.

NOTE 4. In Finney, Scott and Wichita Counties, central time is shown. In Greeley, Hamilton and Kearny Counties, mountain time is shown.

NOTE 5. In Haskell, Grant, Stanton, Morton, Stevens and Seward Counties court will convene as follows—Central Time:

First day of each Regular Term—10:00 a. m.

Motion Days—Forenoon Sessions—10:00 a. m.

Afternoon Sessions—2:00 p. m.

Jury Sessions (unless otherwise ordered)—10:00 a. m.

NOTE 6. In Barton, Ellsworth, Rice, Russell and Stafford Counties dates in July and August are Arraignment Days only.

NOTE 7. In Edwards, Hodgeman, Lane, Ness, Pawnee and Rush Counties Court will convene as follows—Local Standard Time:

Regular Term—9:00 a. m.

Motion Days—Forenoon Sessions—9:30 a. m.

Afternoon Sessions—1:30 p. m.

Jury Sessions (unless otherwise ordered)—9:30 a. m.

NOTE 8. In Wyandotte County all pre-trial motions will be heard by the division to which the case was assigned at 9:30 a. m. on Fridays, as follows:

Division 1, 3, 5 and 7 on the First and Third Friday of each month.

Division 2, 4 and 6 on the Second and Fourth Friday of each month.

All post-trial motions and matters requiring the presentation of oral testimony must be specially set by the judge to which the case is assigned. Motions in criminal cases are assigned for hearing by the Assignment Judge.

Attorneys of record or local counsel where there is one, will be notified of settings by the clerk. No regular motion days are designated during the months of July and August, and each Judge will hear his motions at such times during these months as will be fixed by him. During July and August, all pre-trial motions in domestic relations cases, and emergency matters will be heard on Friday by the Judges on duty. Arraignments in all felony cases where the defendant is bound over to the District Court are held on the third Friday of each month at 1:30 p. m. in the division assigned to criminal matters.

NOTE 9. In Sedgwick County, all pre-trial motions filed during any week, except motions in domestic relations cases, shall be heard by the Administrative Judge at 9:30 a. m. on Friday of the following week.

All post-trial motions and petitions filed under K. S. A. 60-1507, will be heard at 2:00 p. m. on the following days by the Judge of the Division which tried the case:

Division 1: First Thursday of each month;

Division 2: Every Friday of each month;

Division 3: First Friday of each month;

Division 4: Second Thursday of each month;

Division 5: Second Friday of each month;

Division 6: Third Thursday of each month;

Division 7: Third Friday of each month;

Division 8: Fourth Thursday of each month;

Division 9: Fourth Friday of each month.

All motions in civil cases shall state the date, time and Division of Court in which the motion is to be heard.

All motions in domestic relations cases which have been on file, five (5) days or more (including contempt, change of custody, and modifications of previous orders), shall be peremptorily heard by the Judge of the Division to which the case has been permanently assigned at 9:30 a. m. on the following days:

Division 1: First Thursday of each month;

Division 2: Every Friday of each month;

Division 3: First Friday of each month;

Division 4: Second Thursday of each month;

Division 5: Second Friday of each month;

Division 6: Third Thursday of each month;

Division 7: Third Friday of each month;

Division 8: Fourth Thursday of each month;

Division 9: Fourth Friday of each month.

All motions in domestic relations shall state the date, time and division of court in which the motions is to be heard.

In all felony cases where the defendant is bound over to the district court, and all appeals from inferior courts shall be arraigned on Wednesday of the second following week at 2:00 p. m. by the Administrative Judge.

Change in Address of Recipient

The KANSAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL BULLETIN is published at least once each year and is mailed without charge to lawyers, courts, public officials, libraries and other persons who are interested in the work of the Judicial Council.

In order to save unnecessary printing and mailing expenses the mailing list for the KANSAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL BULLETIN is continually being revised.

Persons receiving the KANSAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL BULLETIN, other than lawyers registered with the Supreme Court under Rule 201, should advise the Judicial Council promptly if they have changed their address, and should provide the Judicial Council with both their previous address and their new address.

Lawyers registered under Supreme Court Rule 201 need not inform the Judicial Council of a change in address, but need only comply with subsection (c) of Supreme Court Rule 201. The Clerk of the Supreme Court will then furnish the change in address to the Judicial Council.

Address all correspondence to KANSAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL, 1105 Merchants Bank Building, Topeka, Kansas 66612.

KANSAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL
1105 MERCHANTS BANK
TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612

Bulk Rate
U. S. POSTAGE

PAID

Topeka, Kansas
Permit No. 421

PRINTED BY
ROBERT R. (BOB) SANDERS, STATE PRINTER
TOPEKA, KANSAS
1975



36-1636